Structural Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models: Identification

S Adhikari

Professor of Aerospace Engineering Civil & computational Engineering Research Center Swansea University

To Sonia Adhikari Sunanda Adhikari and Tulsi Prasad Adhikari

Contents

Preface	ix
Nomenclature	xi
Chapter 1. Parametric Sensitivity of Damped Systems	1
1.1. Parametric sensitivity of undamped systems 1.1.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues 1.1.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvectors 1.2. Parametric sensitivity of viscously damped systems 1.2.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues 1.2.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvectors 1.3. Parametric sensitivity of nonviscously damped systems 1.3.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues 1.3.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues 1.3.4. Summary	1 2 3 4 6 15 17 18 30
Chapter 2. Identification of viscous damping	33
2.1. Identification of proportional viscous damping 2.1.1. Damping identification using generalised proportional damping 2.1.2. Error propagation in the damping identification method 2.1.3. Numerical examples 2.1.4. Experimental results 2.1.5. Synopsis 2.1.6. The theory of damping identification 2.2.1. The theory of damping identification 2.2.2. Numerical examples 2.2.3. Error analysis 2.2.4. Synopsis 2.3. Symmetry preserving damping identification 2.3.1. The theory of symmetric damping matrix identification 2.3.2. Numerical examples 2.3.3. Synopsis 2.4. Direct identification of the damping matrix 2.4. Numerical examples 2.3. Synopsis	 33 34 36 37 38 50 52 54 56 63 67 70 73 76 78 85
2.4.3. Synopsis	88 89

viii	Dynamic	Analysis	with	Generalis	ed Dam	ping	Models
	_	2					

Chapter 3. Identification of nonviscous damping	93
3.1. Identification of exponential nonviscous damping model	94
3.1.1. Background of complex modes	94
3.1.2. Fitting of the relaxation parameter	95
3.1.3. Fitting of the coefficient matrix	107
3.1.4. Synopsis	112
3.2. Symmetry preserving nonviscous damping identification	114
3.2.1. Theory	115
3.2.2. Numerical examples	118
3.2.3. Synopsis	120
3.3. Direct identification of nonviscous damping	121
3.3.1. Lancaster's method for nonviscously damped systems	122
3.3.2. Numerical examples	127
3.3.3. Synopsis	128
3.4. Summary	129
Chapter 4. Quantification of damping	131
4.1. Quantification of non-proportional damping	131
4.1.1. Optimal normalisation of complex modes	132
4.1.2. An index of non-proportionality	139
4.1.3. Alternative normalisation methods	145
4.1.4. Synopsis	149
4.2. Quantification of nonviscous damping	149
$4.2.1$. Nonviscosity indices \ldots	151
4.2.2. Numerical examples	156
4.2.3. Error analysis	160
4.2.4. Synopsis	162
4.3. Summary	162
Bibliography	165
Author index	185
Index	193

Preface

Among the various ingredients of structural dynamics, damping remains one of the least understood topics. The main reason is that unlike the stiffness and inertia forces, the damping forces cannot be always obtained from 'first principles'. The past two decades have seen significant developments in the modelling and analysis of damping in the context of engineering dynamic systems. Developments in composite materials including nanocomposites and their applications in advanced structures, such as new generation of aircrafts and large wind-turbines, have lead to the need for understanding damping in a superior manner. Additionally, the rise of vibration energy harvesting technology using piezoelectric and electromagnetic principles further enhanced the importance of looking at damping more rigorously. The aim of this book is to systematically present the latest developments in the area modelling and analysis of damping in the context of general linear dynamic systems with multiple degrees of freedom. The focus has been on the mathematical and computational aspects. This book will be relevant to aerospace, mechanical and civil engineering disciplines and various sub-disciplines within them. The intended readers of this book include senior undergraduate students and graduate students doing projects or doctoral research in the filed of damped vibration. Researchers, Professors and and practicing engineers working in the field of advanced vibration will find this book useful. This book will also be useful for researchers working in the fields of aeroelasticity and hydroelasticity, where complex eigenvalue problems routinely arise due to fluid-structure interactions.

There are some excellent books which already exist in the filed of damped vibration. The book by Nashif *et al.* [NAS 85] covers various material damping models and their applications in the design and analysis of dynamic systems. A valuable reference on dynamic analysis of damped structures is the book by Sun and Lu [SUN 95]. The book by Beards [BEA 96] takes a pedagogical approach towards structural vibration of damped systems. The handbook by Jones [JON 01] focuses on viscoelastic damping and analysis of structures with such damping models. These books represent the sate-of-the art at the time of their publications. Since these publications significant research works have gone into the dynamics of damped systems. The aim of this book is to cover some of these latest developments. The attention is mainly limited to theoretical and computational aspects, although some reference to experimental works are given.

One of the key feature of this book is the consideration of general nonviscous damping and how such general models can be seamlessly integrated into the framework of conventional structural dynamic analysis. New results are illustrated by numerical examples and wherever possible connections were made to well-known concepts of viscously damped systems. The book is divided into two volumes. The first volume deals with analysis of linear systems with general damping models. The second volume deals with identification and quantification of damping. There are ten chapters and one appendix in the book - covering analysis and identification of dynamic systems with viscous and nonviscous damping. Chapter 1 gives an introduction to the various damping models. Dynamics of viscously damped systems are discussed in chapter 2. Chapter 3 considers dynamics of nonviscously damped single-degree-of-freedom systems in details. Chapter 4 discusses nonviscously damped multiple-degree-of-freedom systems with general nonviscous damping are studied in Chapter 5. Chapter 6 proposes reduced computational methods for damped systems. Chapter 7 describes parametric sensitivity of damped systems. Chapter 8 takes up the problem of identification of viscous damping. The identification of nonviscous

damping is detailed in Chapter 9. Chapter 10 gives some tools for the quantification of damping. A method to deal with general asymmetric systems is described in the appendix.

This book is a result of last 15 years of research and teaching in the area of damped vibration problems. Initial chapters started taking shape when I offered a course on advanced vibration at the University of Bristol. The later chapters originated from research works with numerous colleagues, students, collaborators and mentors, I am deeply indebted to all of them for numerous stimulating scientific discussions, exchanges of ideas and in many occasions direct contributions towards the intellectual content of the book. I am grateful to my teachers Professor C. S. Manohar (Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore), Professor R. S. Langley (University of Cambridge) and in particular Professor J. Woodhouse (University of Cambridge), who was heavily involved with the works reported in chapters 8-10. I am very thankful to my colleague Professor M. I. Friswell with whom I have a long-standing collaboration. Some joint works are directly related to the content of this book (chapter 7 in particular). I would also like to thank Professor D. J. Inman (University of Michigan) for various scientific discussions during his visits to Swansea. I am thankful to Professor A. Sarkar (Carleton University) and his doctoral student M. Khalil for joint research works. I am deeply grateful to Dr A. S. Phani (University of British Columbia) for various discussions related to damping identification and contributions towards chapters 2, 5 and 8. Particular thanks to Dr N. Wagner (Intes GmbH, Stuttgart) for joint works on nonviscously damped systems and contributions in chapter 4. I am also grateful to Professor F. Papai for involving me on research works on damping identification. My former PhD students B Pascual (contributed in chapter 6), J. L. du Bois, F. A. Diaz De la O deserves particular thanks for various contributions throughout their time with me ad putting up with my busy schedules. I am grateful to Dr Y. Lei (University of Defence Technology, Changsha) for carrying out joint research with me on nonviscously damped continuous systems. I am grateful to Professor A. W. Lees (Swansea University), Professor N. Lieven, Professor F. Scarpa (University of Bristol), Professor D. J. Wagg (University of Sheffield), Professor S. Narayanan (IIT Madras), Professor G. Litak (Lublin University), E. Jacquelin (Université Lyon), Dr A. Palmeri (Loughborough University), Professor S. Bhattacharya (University of Surrey), Dr S. F. Ali (IIT Madras), Dr R. Chowdhury (IIT Roorkee), Dr P. Duffour (University College London) and Dr P. Higino, Dr G. Caprio & Dr A. Prado (Embraer Aircraft) for their intellectual contributions and discussions at different times. Beside the names taken here, I am thankful to many colleagues, fellow researchers and students working in this field of research around the world, whose name cannot be listed here for page limitations. The lack of explicit mentions by no means implies that their contributions are any less. The opinions presented in the book are entirely mine, and none of my colleagues, students, collaborators and mentors has any responsibility for any shortcomings.

I have been fortunate to receive grants from various companies, charities and government organisations including an Advanced Research Fellowship from UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC), the Wolfson research merit award from The Royal Society and the Philip Leverhulme Prize from The Leverhulme Trust. Without these finding it would be impossible to conduct the works leading to this book. Finally I want thank my colleagues at the College of Engineering in Swansea University. Their support proved to be a key factor in materialising the idea of writing this book.

Last, but by no means least, I wish to thank my wife Sonia and my parents for their constant support, encouragements and putting up with my ever so increasing long periods of 'non-engagement' with them.

Sondipon Adhikari August, 2013, Swansea, UK

Nomenclature

C'_{ii}	diagonal element of the modal damping matrix
$\alpha_{i}^{(j)}$	terms in the expansion of approximate complex modes
α_1, α_2	proportional damping constants
α_i	coefficients in Caughey series, $j = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$
$0_{i}^{'}$	a vector of j zeros
Å	state-space system matrix
\mathbf{a}_i	a coefficient vector for the expansion of <i>j</i> -th complex mode
α	a vector containing the constants in Caughey series
$\bar{h}(i\omega)$	frequency response function of a SDOF system
B	state-space system matrix
\mathbf{b}_j	a vector for the expansion of j -th complex mode
$\overline{\mathbf{f}}(s)$	forcing vector in the Laplace domain
$\overline{\mathbf{f}}'(s)$	modal forcing function in the Laplace domain
$\mathbf{\bar{p}}(s)$	effective forcing vector in the Laplace domain
$\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s)$	response vector in the Laplace domain
$\bar{\mathbf{u}}(s)$	Laplace transform of the state-vector of the first-order system
$\mathbf{\bar{y}}(s)$	modal coordinates in the Laplace domain
$\bar{\mathbf{y}}_k$	Laplace transform of the internal variable $\mathbf{y}_k(t)$
\mathbb{R}^+	positive real line
С	viscous damping matrix
C ′	modal damping matrix
\mathbf{C}_0	viscous damping matrix (with a nonviscous model)
\mathbf{C}_k	coefficient matrices in the exponential model for $k = 0,, n$, where n is the number of kernels
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}(t)$	nonviscous damping function matrix in the time domain
ΔK	error in the stiffness matrix
ΔM	error in the mass matrix
β	nonviscous damping factor
β_c	critical value of β for oscillatory motion, $\beta_c = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}}$
$\beta_i(\bullet)$	proportional damping functions (of a matrix)
$\beta_k(s)$	Coefficients in the state-space modal expansion
β_{mU}	the value of β above which the frequency response function always has a maximum
F	linear matrix pencil with time step in state-space, $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B} - rac{h}{2}\mathbf{A}$
$\mathbf{F}_1, \mathbf{F}_2$	linear matrix pencils with time step in the configuration space
\mathbf{F}_{j}	Regular linear matrix pencil for the <i>j</i> -th mode
$\mathbf{f}'(t)$	forcing function in the modal coordinates
$\mathbf{f}(t)$	forcing function

xii	Dynamic	Analysis	with	Generalised	Damping	Models
-----	---------	----------	------	-------------	---------	--------

$\mathbf{G}(s)$	nonviscous damping function matrix in the Laplace domain
\mathbf{G}_{0}	the matrix $\mathbf{G}(s)$ at $s \to 0$
\mathbf{G}_{∞}	the matrix $\mathbf{G}(s)$ at $s \to \infty$
$\mathbf{H}(s)$	frequency response function matrix
$\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i$	Real part of $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i$
$\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{i}$	Imaginary part of $\hat{\mathbf{z}}_i$
$\hat{\mathbf{Z}}_{i}$	<i>i</i> -th measured complex mode
ľ	identity matrix
К	stiffness matrix
Μ	mass matrix
\mathbf{O}_{ii}	a null matrix of dimension $i \times j$
Ω	diagonal matrix containing the natural frequencies
D	parameter vector (in chapter 1)
\mathbf{P}_i	a diagonal matrix for the expansion of j -th complex mode
ϕ_{i}	eigenvectors in the state-space
$\psi_{\dot{a}}$	left eigenvectors in the state-space
$\mathbf{a}(t)$	displacement response in the time-domain
Q ₀	vector of initial displacements
\mathbf{O}_{i}	an off-diagonal matrix for the expansion of <i>i</i> -th complex mode
$\mathbf{r}(t)$	forcing function in the state-space
\mathbf{R}_k	rectangular transformation matrices (in ??)
\mathbf{R}_{k}^{n}	residue matrix associated with pole s_k
S	a diagonal matrix containing eigenvalues s_i
т	a temporary matrix, $\mathbf{T} = \sqrt{\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{K}}$ (chapter 2)
T _h	Moore-Penrose generalised inverse of \mathbf{R}_{k}
\mathbf{T}_{k}	a transformation matrix for the optimal normalisation of the k -th complex mode
Θ	Normalisation matrix
$\mathbf{u}(t)$	the state-vector of the first-order system
u ₀	vector of initial conditions in the state-space
u _i	displacement at the time step j
$\mathbf{v}(t)$	velocity vector $\mathbf{v}(t) = \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)$
\mathbf{v}_{j}	a vector of the <i>j</i> -modal derivative in Nelson's methods (in chapter 1)
\mathbf{v}_{j}	velocity at the time step j
ε_j	Error vector associated with <i>j</i> -th complex mode
$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_k(s)$	eigenvectors of the dynamic stiffness matrix
W	coefficient matrix associated with the constants in Caughey series
X	matrix containing the undamped normal modes \mathbf{x}_j
\mathbf{x}_{j}	undamped eigenvectors, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$
$\mathbf{y}(t)$	modal coordinate vector (in ??)
$\mathbf{y}_k(t)$	vector of internal variables, $k = 1, 2, \cdots n$
$\mathbf{y}_{k,j}$	internal variable \mathbf{y}_k at the time step j
Z	matrix containing the complex eigenvectors \mathbf{z}_j
\mathbf{z}_{j}	complex eigenvectors in the configuration space
ζ	diagonal matrix containing the modal damping factors
$\boldsymbol{\zeta}_v$	a vector containing the modal damping factors
χ	merit function of a complex mode for optimal normalisation
χ_R, χ_I	merit functions for real and imaginary parts of a complex mode
Δ	perturbation in the real eigenvalues
δ	perturbation in complex conjugate eigenvalues

\dot{q}_0	initial velocity (SDOF systems)
ϵ	small error
η	ratio between the real and imaginary parts of a complex mode
${\cal F}$	dissipation function
γ	Non-dimensional characteristic time constant
γ_i	complex mode normalisation constant
γ_R, γ_I	weights for the normalisation of the real and imaginary parts of a complex mode
$\hat{\theta}(\omega)$	Frequency dependent estimated characteristic time constant
$\hat{\theta}_i$	Estimated characteristic time constant for j -th mode
\hat{t}	an arbitrary independent time variable
κ_i	real part of the complex optimal normalisation constant for the <i>j</i> -th mode
$\lambda^{'}$	complex eigenvalue corresponding to the oscillating mode (in ??)
λ_i	complex frequencies MDOF systems
\mathcal{M}_r	moment of the damping function
$\mathcal{D}^{'}$	dissipation energy
$\mathcal{G}(t)$	nonviscous damping kernel function in a SDOF system
τ	kinetic energy
Ũ	potential energy
μ	relaxation parameter
μ_k	relaxation parameters associated with coefficient matrix \mathbf{C}_k in the exponential nonviscous damping
1 10	model
ν	real eigenvalue corresponding to the overdamped mode
$\nu_k(s)$	eigenvalues of the dynamic stiffness matrix
ω	driving frequency
ω_d	damped natural frequency of SDOF systems
ω_i	undamped natural frequencies of MDOF systems, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$
ω_n	undamped natural frequency of SDOF systems
$\omega_{\rm max}$	frequency corresponding to the maximum amplitude of the response function
ω_{d_i}	damped natural frequency of MDOF systems
ρ	mass density
i	unit imaginary number, $i = \sqrt{-1}$
au	dummy time variable
θ_i	characteristic time constant for <i>j</i> -th nonviscous model
$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}(t)$	forcing function in the modal domain
ũ	normalised frequency ω/ω_n
ς_i	imaginary part of the complex optimal normalisation constant for the j -th mode
$\overset{'}artheta$	phase angle of the response of SDOF systems
ϑ_i	phase angle of the modal response
$\dot{\psi}$	a trail complex eigenvector (in ??)
Â	asymmetric state-space system matrix
Ĉ	fitted damping matrix
$\widehat{f}(\omega_i)$	fitted generalised proportional damping function (in chapter 2)
Ã	state-space system matrix for rank deficient systems
Ĩ	state-space system matrix for rank deficient systems
ĩ	integration of the forcing function in the state-space for rank deficient systems
$\widetilde{\mathbf{i}}_{r}$	integration of the forcing function in the state-space
$\stackrel{'}{\widetilde{\Phi}}$	matrix containing the state-space eigenvectors for rank deficient systems
$\tilde{\phi}$	eigenvectors in the state-space for rank deficient systems
$\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}^{j}(t)$	forcing function in the state-space for rank deficient systems
\~/	

$\widetilde{\mathbf{u}}(t)$	the state vector for rank deficient systems
$\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{k}(t)$	vector of internal variables for rank deficient systems, $k = 1, 2, \dots n$
$\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{k}$	internal variable \mathbf{y}_{k} at the time step j for rank deficient systems
$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}$	<i>i</i> th eigenvector corresponding to the k-th the internal variable for rank deficient systems
ξ	a function of ζ defined in equation [??]
Ç	viscous damping factor
Č.	critical value of ζ for oscillatory motion, $\zeta_c = \frac{4}{-\zeta}$
с.	modal damping factors
S_{j}	lower critical damping factor
ς _L	equivalent viscous damping factor
ζ_n	upper critical damping factor
SU C I	the value of f below which the frequency response function always has a maximum
SmL	nonviscous damping parameters in the exponential model
a_k, o_k	response amplitude of SDOE systems
D B	model response amplitude
D_j	viscous damping constant of a SDOE system
c	exection of a short system
c_k	coefficients of exponential damping in a SDOF system
C_{cr}	critical damping factor
a_j	a constant of the <i>j</i> -modal derivative in Nelson's methods
$E_{f(4)}$	found s modulus
$\int (t) f(t)$	forcing function (SDOF systems)
$J_d(t)$	nonviscous damping force
$G(1\omega)$	non-dimensional frequency response function
G(s)	nonviscous damping kernel function in the Laplace domain (SDOF systems)
$g_{(i)}$	scalar damping functions, $i = 1, 2, \cdots$
n	constant time step
h(t)	impulse response function of SDOF systems
n(t)	impulse response function
I_k	non-proportionally indices, $k1 = 1, 2, 3, 4$
ĸ	spring summers of a SDOF system
	length of the rod
l_e	length of an element
m	dimension of the state-space for nonviscously damped MDOF systems
m	mass of a SDOF system
IN	number of degrees of freedom
n	number of exponential kernels
n_d	number of divisions in the time axis
p	any element in the parameter vector \mathbf{p} (in chapter 1)
q(t)	displacement in the time domain
q_0	initial displacement (SDOF systems)
Q_{nc_k}	non-conservative forces
$R(\mathbf{X})$	Rayleign quotient for a trail vector x
R_1, R_2, R_3	three new Rayleigh quotients
r_j	normalised eigenvalues of nonviscously damped SDOF systems (in ??)
r_k	rank of \mathbf{U}_k matrices
s	Laplace domain parameter
s_j	eigenvalues of dynamic systems
t	time
T_n	natural time period of an undamped SDOF system

T_{min}	Minimum time period for the system
$varrho_j$	complex optimal normalisation constant for the j -th mode
x	normalised frequency-squared, $x = \omega^2 / \omega_n^2$ (in ??)
y_j	modal coordinates (in ??)
$ar{f}(s)$	forcing function in the Laplace domain
$ar{q}(s)$	displacement in the Laplace domain
$\mathbf{\hat{U}}$	Matrix containing $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{j}$
$\hat{\mathbf{V}}$	Matrix containing $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_i$
Φ	matrix containing the eigenvectors ϕ_i
$\dot{\mathbf{q}}_{0}$	vector of initial velocities
$\mathcal{F}_i(\bullet, \bullet)$	nonviscous proportional damping functions (of a matrix)
\boldsymbol{Y}_k	a matrix of internal eigenvectors
\boldsymbol{y}_{ki}	<i>j</i> th eigenvector corresponding to the <i>k</i> -th the internal variable
PSD	Power spectral density
0	a vector of zeros
\mathcal{L}	Lagrangian (in ??)
$\delta(t)$	Dirac-delta function
δ_{ik}	Kroneker-delta function
$\Gamma(\bullet)$	Gamma function
γ	Lagrange multiplier (in ??)
(●)*	complex conjugate of (\bullet)
$(\bullet)^T$	matrix transpose
$(\bullet)^{-1}$	matrix inverse
$(\bullet)^{-T}$	matrix inverse transpose
$(\bullet)^H$	Hermitian transpose of (\bullet)
$(\bullet)_e$	elastic modes
$(\bullet)_{nv}$	nonviscous modes
(•)	derivative with respect to time
\mathbb{C}	space of complex numbers
\mathbb{R}	space of real numbers
\perp	orthogonal to
$\mathcal{L}(ullet)$	Laplace transform operator
$\mathcal{L}^{-1}(ullet)$	inverse Laplace transform operator
$\det(\bullet)$	determinant of (\bullet)
$\operatorname{diag}\left[ullet ight]$	a diagonal matrix
\forall	for all
$\Im(ullet)$	imaginary part of (\bullet)
\in	belongs to
¢	does not belong to
\otimes	Kronecker product
(ullet)	Laplace transform of (\bullet)
$\Re(ullet)$	real part of (\bullet)
vec	vector operation of a matrix
O(ullet)	in the order of
ADF	Anelastic Displacement Field model
adj(●)	adjoint matrix of (\bullet)
GHM	Golla, McTavish and Hughes model
MDOF	multiple-degree-of-freedom
SDOF	single-degree-of-freedom

Chapter 1

Parametric Sensitivity of Damped Systems

Changes of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a linear vibrating system due to changes in system parameters are of wide practical interest. Motivation for this kind of study arises, on one hand, from the need to come up with effective structural designs without performing repeated dynamic analysis, and, on the other hand, from the desire to visualise the changes in the dynamic response with respect to system parameters. Besides, this kind of sensitivity analysis of eigenvalues and eigenvectors has an important role to play in the area of fault detection of structures and modal updating methods. Sensitivity of eigenvalues and eigenvectors are useful in the study of bladed disks of turbomachinery where blade masses and stiffness are nearly the same, or deliberately somewhat altered (mistuned), and one investigates the modal sensitivities due to this slight alteration. Eigensolution derivatives also constitute a central role in the analysis of stochastically perturbed dynamical systems. Possibly, the earliest work on the sensitivity of the eigenvalues was carried out by Rayleigh [RAY 77]. In his classic monograph he derived the changes in natural frequencies due to small changes in system parameters. Fox and Kapoor [FOX 68] have given exact expressions for the sensitivity of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to any design variables. Their results were obtained in terms of changes in the system property matrices and the eigensolutions of the structure in its current state, and have been used extensively in a wide range of application areas of structural dynamics. Nelson [NEL 76] proposed an efficient method to calculate eigenvector derivative which requires only the eigenvalue and eigenvector under consideration. A comprehensive review of research on this kind of sensitivity analysis can be obtained in Adelman and Haftka [ADE 86]. A brief review of some of the existing methods for calculating sensitivity of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are given in ??.

The purpose of this chapter is to consider parametric sensitivity of the eigensolutions of damped systems. We first start with undamped systems in section 1.1. Parametric sensitivity of viscously damped systems is discussed in section 1.2. In section 1.3 we discuss the sensitivity of eigensolutions of general nonviscously damped systems. In section 1.4 a summary of the techniques introduced in this chapter is provided.

1.1. Parametric sensitivity of undamped systems

. _ _ / .

__ 、

The eigenvalue problem of undamped or proportionally damped systems can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{x}_j = \lambda_j \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{x}_j \tag{1.1}$$

Here λ_j and \mathbf{x}_j are the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the dynamic system. $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{p}) : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{p}) : \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, the mass and stiffness matrices, are assumed to be smooth, continuous and differentiable functions of a parameter vector $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Note that $\lambda_j = \omega_j^2$ where ω_j is the *j*-th undamped natural frequency. The vector \mathbf{p} may consist of material properties, e.g., mass density, Poisson's ratio, Young's modulus; geometric properties, e.g., length, thickness, and boundary conditions. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors are smooth differentiable functions of the parameter vector \mathbf{p} .

1.1.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues

We rewrite the eigenvalue equation as

$$[\mathbf{K} - \lambda_j \mathbf{M}] \, \mathbf{x}_j = \mathbf{0} \tag{1.2}$$

or
$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} [\mathbf{K} - \lambda_{j} \mathbf{M}]$$
 [1.3]

The functional dependence of **p** is removed for notational convenience. Differentiating the eigenvalue equation [1.2] with respect to the element p of the parameter vector we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} - \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{x}_j + \left[\mathbf{K} - \lambda_j \mathbf{M}\right] \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.4]

Premultiplying by \mathbf{x}_j^T we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \lambda_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} - \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_{j} + \mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \left[\mathbf{K} - \lambda_{j} \mathbf{M} \right] \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}{\partial p} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$[1.5]$$

Using the identity in [1.3] we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \lambda_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} - \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_{j} = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.6]

or
$$\frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_j^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_j}{\mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_j}$$
 [1.7]

Note that when the modes are mass normalised $\mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_j = 1$. Equation [1.7] shows that the derivative of a given eigenvalue depends only eigensolutions corresponding to that particular eigensolutions only. Next we show that this fact is not true when we consider the derivative of the eigenvectors.

1.1.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvectors

Different methods have been developed to calculate the derivatives of the eigenvectors. One way to express the derivative of an eigenvector is by a linear combination of all the eigenvectors

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_j}{\partial p} = \sum_{r=1}^N \alpha_{jr} \mathbf{x}_r$$
[1.8]

This can be always done as $\mathbf{x}_r, r = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ forms a complete basis. It is necessary to find expressions for the constant α_{jr} for all $r = 1, 2, \cdots N$. Substituting this in equation [1.4] we have

$$\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} - \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{r=1}^N \left[\mathbf{K} - \lambda_j \mathbf{M}\right] \alpha_{jr} \mathbf{x}_r = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.9]

Premultiplying by \mathbf{x}_k^T we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{k}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial \lambda_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} - \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_{j} + \sum_{r=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{k}^{T} \left[\mathbf{K} - \lambda_{j} \mathbf{M} \right] \alpha_{jr} \mathbf{x}_{r} = 0$$
[1.10]

We consider r = k and the orthogonality of the eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}_r = \lambda_k \delta_{kr} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}_k^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_r = \delta_{kr}$$
[1.11]

Parametric Sensitivity of Damped Systems 3

Using these we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{k}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \lambda_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_{j} + (\lambda_{k} - \lambda_{j}) \,\alpha_{jik} = 0$$
[1.12]

From this we obtain

$$\alpha_{jik} = -\frac{\mathbf{x}_k^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{x}_j}{\lambda_k - \lambda_j}, \quad \forall k \neq j$$
[1.13]

To obtain the j-th term α_{jj} we differentiate the mass orthogonality relationship in [1.11] as

$$\frac{\partial (\mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_j)}{\partial p} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_j^T}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{x}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{x}_j + \mathbf{x}_j^T \mathbf{M} \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_j}{\partial p} = 0$$
[1.14]

Considering the symmetry of the mass matrix and using the expansion of the eigenvector derivative we have

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\mathbf{x}_{j} + 2\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{M}\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_{j}}{\partial p} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{r=1}^{N} 2\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{M}\alpha_{jr}\mathbf{x}_{r} = -\mathbf{x}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\mathbf{x}_{j}$$

$$[1.15]$$

Utilising the othonormality of the mode shapes we have

$$\alpha_{jj} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{x}_j$$
[1.16]

The complete eigenvector derivative is therefore given by

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{x}_j \right) \mathbf{x}_j + \sum_{k=1 \neq j}^N \frac{\mathbf{x}_k^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{x}_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k} \mathbf{x}_k$$
[1.17]

From equation [1.17] it can be observed that when two eigenvalues are close, the modal sensitivity will be higher as the denominator of the right hand term will be very small. Unlike the derivative of the eigenvalues given in [1.7], the derivative of an eigenvector requires all the other eigensolutions. This can be computationally demanding for large systems. The method proposed by Nelson [NEL 76] can address this problem. We will discuss Nelson's method in the context of damped system in the next sections.

1.2. Parametric sensitivity of viscously damped systems

The analytical method in the preceding section is for undamped systems. For damped systems, unless the system is proportionally damped (see ??), the mode shapes of the system will not coincide with the undamped mode shapes. In the presence of general non-proportional viscous damping, the equation of motion in the modal coordinates will be coupled through the off-diagonal terms of the modal damping matrix, and the mode shapes and natural frequencies of the structure will in general be complex. The solution procedures for such non-proportionally damped systems follow mainly two routes: the state space method and approximate methods in the configuration space, as discussed in ?? and ??. The state-space method, see [NEW 89, GÉR 97] for example, although exact in nature requires significant numerical effort for obtaining the eigensolutions as the size of the problem doubles. Moreover, this method also lacks some of the intuitive simplicity of traditional modal analysis. For these reasons there has been considerable research effort to analyse non-proportionally damped structures in the configuration space. Most of these methods either seek an optimal decoupling of the equation of motion or simply neglect the off-diagonal terms of the modal damping matrix. It may be noted that following such methodologies the mode shapes

of the structure will still be real. The accuracy of these methods, other than the light damping assumption, depends upon various factors, for example, frequency separation between the modes and driving frequency [PAR 92a, GAW 97] and the references therein for discussions on these topics. A convenient way to avoid the problems which arise due to the use of real normal modes is to incorporate complex modes in the analysis. Apart from the mathematical consistency, conducting experimental modal analysis also one often identifies complex modes: as Sestieri and Ibrahim [SES 94] have put it ' ... it is ironic that the real modes are in fact not real at all, in that in practice they do not exist, while complex modes are those practically identifiable from experimental tests. This implies that real modes are pure abstraction, in contrast with complex modes that are, therefore, the only reality!' But surprisingly in most of the current application areas of structural dynamics which utilise the eigensolution derivatives, e.g. modal updating, damage detection, design optimisation and stochastic finite element methods, do not use complex modes in the analysis but rely on the real undamped modes only. This is partly because of the problem of considering appropriate damping model in the structure and partly because of the unavailability of complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to system parameters appear to have received less attention.

In this section we determine the sensitivity of complex natural frequencies and mode shapes with respect to some set of design variables in non-proportionally damped discrete linear systems. It is assumed that the system does not posses repeated eigenvalues. In **??**, mathematical background on linear multiple-degree-of-freedom discrete systems needed for further derivations was already discussed. Sensitivity of complex eigenvalues is derived in subsection 1.2.1 in terms of complex modes, natural frequencies and changes in the system property matrices. The approach taken here avoids the use of state-space formulation. In subsection 1.2.2, sensitivity of complex eigenvectors is derived. The derivation method uses state-space representation of equation of motion for intermediate calculations and then relates the eigenvector sensitivities to the complex eigenvectors of the second-order system which shows the 'curve-veering' phenomenon has been considered to illustrate the application of the expression for rates of changes of complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors. The results are carefully analysed and compared with presently available sensitivity expressions of undamped real modes.

1.2.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues

The equation of motion for free vibration of a linear damped discrete system with N degrees of freedom can be written as

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{C}\dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.18]

where \mathbf{M} , \mathbf{C} and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ are mass, damping and stiffness matrices, $\mathbf{q}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the vector of the generalised coordinates and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ denotes time. The eigenvalue problem associated with equation [1.18] is given by

$$s_j^2 \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_j + s_j \mathbf{C} \mathbf{z}_j + \mathbf{K} \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall \, j = 1, 2, \dots 2N.$$

$$[1.19]$$

Here \mathbf{z}_j are the mode shapes and the natural frequencies s_j are defined by $s_j = i\lambda_j$. Unless system [1.18] is proportionally damped, i.e. **C** is simultaneously diagonalisable with **M** and **K** (conditions were derived by Caughey and O'Kelly [CAU 65], in general s_j and \mathbf{z}_j will be complex in nature. The calculation of complex modes and natural frequencies are discussed in details in ?? and ??.

Complex modes and frequencies can be exactly obtained by the state space (first-order) formalisms. Transforming equation [1.18] into state space form we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}(t) \tag{1.20}$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N}$ is the system matrix and $\mathbf{u}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ response vector in the state-space given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{K} & -\mathbf{M}^{-1}\mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix}; \quad \mathbf{u}(t) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{q}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) \end{array} \right\}.$$
[1.21]

In the above equation $\mathbf{O} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the null matrix and $\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the identity matrix. The eigenvalue problem associated with the above equation is now in term of an asymmetric matrix and can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\phi}_j = s_j \boldsymbol{\phi}_j, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, 2N$$
[1.22]

where s_j is the j-th eigenvalue and $\phi_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ is the j-th right eigenvector which is related to the eigenvector of the second-order system as

$$\phi_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}_j \\ s_j \mathbf{z}_j \end{array} \right\}.$$
[1.23]

The *left* eigenvector $\boldsymbol{\psi}_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ associated with s_j is defined by the equation

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_j^T \mathbf{A} = s_j \boldsymbol{\psi}_j^T \tag{1.24}$$

where $(\bullet)^T$ denotes matrix transpose. For distinct eigenvalues it is easy to show that the right and left eigenvectors satisfy an orthogonality relationship, that is

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{k}=0; \quad \forall j \neq k$$
[1.25]

and we may also normalise the eigenvectors so that

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i}^{T}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i} = 1.$$

$$[1.26]$$

The above two equations imply that the dynamic system defined by equation [1.20] posses a set of biorthonormal eigenvectors. As a special case, when all eigenvalues are distinct, this set forms a *complete* set. Henceforth in our discussion it will be assumed that all the system eigenvalues are distinct.

Suppose the structural system matrices appearing in [1.18] is a function of a parameter p. This parameter can be an element of a larger parameter vector. This can denote a material property (such as Young's modulus) or a geometric parameter (such as thickness). We wish to find the sensitivity of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to this general parameter. We aim to derive expressions of derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to p without going into the state space.

For the j-th set, equation [1.19] can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{z}_j = 0 \tag{1.27}$$

where the regular matrix pencil

$$\mathbf{F}_j = s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}.$$
[1.28]

Note that complex frequencies can be obtained by substituting $s_j = i\lambda_j$. Premultiplication of equation [1.27] by \mathbf{z}_j^T yields

$$\mathbf{z}_i^T \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{z}_j = 0.$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to p_i one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{j} \mathbf{z}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{F}_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = 0$$
[1.30]

where $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{i}}{\partial p}$ stands for $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{i}}{\partial p_{i}}$, and can be obtained by differentiating equation [1.28] as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_j}{\partial p} = \left[\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \left(2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right) + s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p}\right].$$
[1.31]

Now taking the transpose of equation [1.27] and using the symmetry property of \mathbf{F}_j it can shown that the first and third terms of the equation [1.30] are zero. Therefore we have

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{j}}{\partial p}\mathbf{z}_{j}=0$$
[1.32]

Substituting $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_{i}}{\partial p}$ from equation [1.31] into the above equation one writes

$$-\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_j^T \left(2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right) \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{z}_j^T \left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{z}_j$$
[1.33]

From this we have

$$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left(2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C} \right) \mathbf{z}_j}$$
[1.34]

which is the derivative of the *j*-th complex eigenvalue. For the undamped case, when $\mathbf{C} = 0$, $s_j \to i\omega_j$ and $\mathbf{z}_j \to \mathbf{x}_j$ (ω_j and \mathbf{x}_j are undamped natural frequencies and modes satisfying $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}_j = \omega_j^2 \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}_j$), with usual mass normalisation the denominator $\to 2i\omega_j$, and we obtain

$$-i\frac{\partial\omega_j}{\partial p} = \frac{\mathbf{x}_j^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{x}_j}{2i\omega_j} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial\omega_j^2}{\partial p} = \mathbf{x}_j^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{x}_j.$$

$$[1.35]$$

This is exactly the well-known relationship derived by Fox and Kapoor [FOX 68] for the undamped eigenvalue problem. Thus, equation [1.34] can be viewed as a generalisation of the familiar expression of the sensitivity of undamped eigenvalues to the damped case. Following observations may be noted from this result

– The derivative of a given eigenvalue requires the knowledge of only the corresponding eigenvalue and eigenvector under consideration, and thus a complete solution of the eigenproblem, or from the experimental point of view, eigensolution determination for *all* the modes is not required.

- Changes in mass and/or stiffness introduce more change in the real part of the eigenvalues whereas changes in the damping introduce more change in the imaginary part.

Since $\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p}$ is complex in equation [1.34], it can be effectively used to determine the sensitivity of the modal damping factors with respect to the system parameters. For small damping, the modal damping factor for the *j*-th mode can be expressed in terms of complex frequencies as $\zeta_j = \Im(\lambda_j)/\Re(\lambda_j)$, with $\Re(\bullet)$ and $\Im(\bullet)$ denoting real and imaginary parts respectively. Consequently the derivative can be evaluated from

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_j}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \Im(\lambda_j) / \Re(\lambda_j)}{\partial p} = \left[\frac{\Im(\frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p}) \Re(\lambda_j) - \Im(\lambda_j) \Re(\frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial p})}{\Re(\lambda_j)^2} \right].$$
[1.36]

This expression may turn out to be useful since we often directly measure the damping factors from experiment.

1.2.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvectors

1.2.2.1. Modal approach

We use the state-space eigenvectors to calculate the derivative of the eigenvectors in the configuration space. Since \mathbf{z}_j is the first N rows of ϕ_j (see equation [1.23]) we first try to derive $\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p}$ and subsequently obtain $\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p}$ using their relationships.

Differentiating [1.22] with respect to p_j one obtains

$$(\mathbf{A} - s_j)\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\phi}_j}{\partial p} = -\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p}\right)\boldsymbol{\phi}_j.$$
[1.37]

Since it has been assumed that A has distinct eigenvalues the right eigenvectors, ϕ_j , forms a complete set of vectors. Therefore we can expand $\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p}$ as

$$\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p} = \sum_{l=1}^{2N} a_{jl} \phi_l$$
[1.38]

where a_{jl} , $\forall l = 1, \dots 2N$ are set of complex constants to be determined. Substituting $\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p}$ in equation [1.37] and premultiplying by the left eigenvector ψ_k^T one obtains the scalar equation

$$\sum_{l=1}^{2N} (\boldsymbol{\psi}_k^T \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\phi}_l - s_j \boldsymbol{\psi}_k^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_l) \, a_{jl} = -\boldsymbol{\psi}_k^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\phi}_j + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\psi}_k^T \boldsymbol{\phi}_j.$$

$$[1.39]$$

Using the orthogonality relationship of left and right eigenvectors from the above equation we obtain

$$a_{jk} = \frac{\psi_k^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial p} \phi_j}{s_j - s_k}; \quad \forall k = 1, \cdots, 2N; \neq j$$
[1.40]

The a_{jk} as expressed above is not very useful since it is in terms of the left and right eigenvectors of the first-order system. In order to obtain a relationship with the eigenvectors of second-order system we assume

$$\psi_j = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \psi_{1j} \\ \psi_{2j} \end{array} \right\}$$
[1.41]

where $\psi_{1j}, \psi_{2j} \in \mathbb{C}^N$. Substituting ψ_j in equation [1.24] and taking transpose one obtains

$$s_{j}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1j} = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}$$

$$s_{j}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j} = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1j} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}$$
or
$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1j} = \left[s_{j}\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\right]\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}.$$
[1.42]

Elimination of ψ_{1j} from the above two equation yields

$$s_{j}\left(s_{j}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j} + \mathbf{C}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}\right) = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}$$

or
$$\left[s_{j}^{2}\mathbf{M} + s_{j}\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right]\left(\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j}\right) = 0.$$
 [1.43]

By comparison of this equation with equation [1.19] it can be seen that the vector $\mathbf{M}^{-1}\psi_{2j}$ is parallel to \mathbf{z}_j ; that is, there exist a non-zero $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\mathbf{M}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j} = \beta_j \mathbf{z}_j \quad \text{or} \quad \boldsymbol{\psi}_{2j} = \beta_j \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_j.$$
[1.44]

Now substituting ψ_{1j} , ψ_{2j} and using the definition of ϕ_j from equation [1.23] into the normalisation condition [1.26] the scalar *constant* β_j can be obtained as

$$\beta_j = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right] \mathbf{z}_j}.$$
[1.45]

Using ψ_{2j} from equation [1.44] into the second equation of [1.42] we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j} = \beta_{j} \mathbf{P}_{j} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}; \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{P}_{j} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{j} \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & \underline{\mathbf{M}} \\ s_{j} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N}.$$
 [1.46]

The above equation along with the definition of ϕ_j in [1.23] completely relates the left and right eigenvectors of the first-order system to the eigenvectors of the second-order system.

The derivative of the system matrix A can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial p} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{O} \\ -\mathbf{M}^{-2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} & -\mathbf{M}^{-2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix}$$
[1.47]

from which after some simplifications the numerator of the right hand side of equation [1.40] can be obtained as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{j} = -\beta_{k} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \left\{ -\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \left[\mathbf{K} + s_{j} \mathbf{C} \right] + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right\} \mathbf{z}_{j}.$$
[1.48]

Since $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}^{-1}$, $\frac{\partial \mathbf{I}}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\mathbf{M}^{-1} + \mathbf{M}\left[-\mathbf{M}^{-2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] = \mathbf{O}$ or $\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\mathbf{M}^{-1} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}$, that is \mathbf{M}^{-1} and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}$ commute in product. Using this property and also from [1.19] noting that $s_j^2\mathbf{z}_j = -\mathbf{M}^{-1}\left[s_j\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right]\mathbf{z}_j$ we finally obtain

$$a_{jk} = -\beta_k \frac{\mathbf{z}_k \left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{s_j - s_k}; \forall k = 1, \cdots, 2N; \neq j.$$
[1.49]

This equation relates the a_{jk} with the complex modes of the second-order system.

To obtain a_{jj} we begin with differentiation of the normalisation condition [1.26] with respect to p and obtain the relationship

$$\frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial p}^T \phi_j + \psi_j^T \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p} = 0.$$
[1.50]

Substitution of ψ_j from equation [1.46] further leads to

$$\beta_j \left\{ \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p}^T \mathbf{P}_j^T \phi_j + \phi_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_j}{\partial p}^T \phi_j + \phi_j^T \mathbf{P}_j^T \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p} \right\} = 0$$
[1.51]

where $\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_j}{\partial p}$ can be derived from equation [1.46] as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_{j}}{\partial p} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} + s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\frac{\mathbf{M}}{s_{j}^{2}} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{s_{j}} \end{bmatrix}.$$
[1.52]

Since \mathbf{P}_j is a symmetric matrix, equation [1.51] can be rearranged as

$$2\left(\beta_{j}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{P}_{j}\right)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}}{\partial p} = -\beta_{j}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial\mathbf{P}_{j}}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}.$$
[1.53]

Note that the term within the bracket is ψ_j^T (see equation [1.46]). Using the assumed expansion of $\frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial p}$ from [1.40] this equation reads

$$2\boldsymbol{\psi}_{j}^{T}\sum_{l=1}^{2N}a_{jl}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{l} = -\beta_{j}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial\mathbf{P}_{j}}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{j}.$$
[1.54]

The left hand side of the above equation can be further simplified

$$\phi_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_{j}}{\partial p} \phi_{j} = \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} + s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} s_{j} \left[-\frac{\mathbf{M}}{s_{j}^{2}} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} + \frac{\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}}{s_{j}} \right] s_{j} \mathbf{z}_{j} = \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j}.$$

$$(1.55)$$

Finally using the orthogonality property of left and right eigenvectors, from equation [1.54] we obtain

$$a_{jj} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}.$$
[1.56]

In the above equation a_{jj} is expressed in terms of the complex modes of the second-order system. Now recalling the definition of ϕ_j in [1.23], from the first N rows of equation [1.38] one can write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = a_{jj}\mathbf{z}_{j} + \sum_{k \neq j}^{2N} a_{jk}\mathbf{z}_{k} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}}{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j}\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}}\mathbf{z}_{j}
- \sum_{k \neq j}^{2N} \beta_{k} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{k} \left[s_{j}^{2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_{j}\frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}}{s_{j} - s_{k}}\mathbf{z}_{k}.$$
[1.57]

We know that for any real symmetric system first-order eigenvalues and eigenvectors appear in complex conjugate pairs. Using usual definition of natural frequency, that is, $s_k = i\lambda_k$ and consequently $s_k^* = -i\lambda_k^*$, where $(\bullet)^*$ denotes complex conjugate, the above equation can be rewritten in a more convenient form as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} - i \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} / 2\lambda_{j} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[\mathbf{M} - i \mathbf{C} / 2\lambda_{j} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j} \\
+ \sum_{k \neq j}^{N} \left[\frac{\alpha_{k} (\mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_{j}) \mathbf{z}_{k}}{\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{k}} - \frac{\alpha_{k}^{*} (\mathbf{z}_{k}^{*^{T}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}}{\partial p}^{*} \mathbf{z}_{j}^{*}) \mathbf{z}_{k}^{*}}{\lambda_{j} + \lambda_{k}^{*}} \right]$$
[1.58]

where

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}}{\partial p} = \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \lambda_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + i\lambda_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p}\right]$$

and $\alpha_k = i\beta_k = \frac{1}{\mathbf{z}_k^T \left[2\lambda_k \mathbf{M} - i\mathbf{C}\right] \mathbf{z}_k}.$

This result is a generalisation of the known expression of the sensitivity of real undamped eigenvectors to complex eigenvectors. The following observations can be made from this result

- Unlike the eigenvalue derivative, the derivative of a given complex eigenvector requires the knowledge of all the other complex eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

- The sensitivity depends very much on the modes whose frequency is close to that of the considered mode.

- Like eigenvalue derivative, changes in mass and/or stiffness introduce more changes in the real part of the eigenvector whereas changes in damping introduce more changes in the imaginary part.

From equation [1.58], it is easy to see that in the undamped limit $\mathbf{C} \to 0$, and consequently $\lambda_k, \lambda_k^* \to \omega_k$; $\mathbf{z}_k, \mathbf{z}_k^* \to \mathbf{x}_k$; $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}}{\partial p}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathbf{F}}}{\partial p}^* \to \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right]$ and also with usual mass normalisation of the undamped modes $\alpha_k, \alpha_k^* \to \frac{1}{2\omega_k}$ reduces the above equation exactly to the corresponding well known expression derived by Fox and Kapoor [FOX 68] for derivative of undamped modes.

1.2.2.2. Nelson's method

The method outlined in the previous subsection obtained the eigenvector derivative as a linear combination of all the eigenvectors. For large scale structures, with many degrees of freedom, obtaining all the eigenvectors is a computationally expensive task. Nelson [NEL 76] introduced the approach, extended here, where only the eigenvector of interest was required. Lee et. al. [LEE 99a] calculated the eigenvector derivatives of self-adjoint systems using a similar approach to Nelson. This subsection extends Nelson's method to non-proportionally damped systems with complex modes. This method has the great advantage that only the eigenvector of interest is required.

Since the eigenvectors are not unique, in the sense that any scalar (complex) multiple of an eigenvector is also an eigenvector. As a result their derivatives are also not unique. It is necessary to normalise the eigenvector for further mathematical derivations. There are numerous ways of introducing a normalisation to ensure uniqueness. For undamped systems mass normalisation is the most common. A useful normalisation for damped systems follows from equation [??] is

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\left[s_{j}\mathbf{M}+\left(1/s_{j}\right)\mathbf{K}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}=\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\left[2s_{j}\mathbf{M}+\mathbf{C}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}=1.$$
[1.59]

Differentiating the equation governing the eigenvalues [1.19] with respect to the parameter p, gives

$$\left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p}\right] u_j + \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right] u_j \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} + \left[s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right] \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial p} = 0.$$

$$[1.60]$$

Rewriting this, we see that the eigenvector derivative satisfies

$$\left[s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right] \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{h}_j$$
[1.61]

where the vector \mathbf{h}_j consists of the first two terms in equation [1.60], and all these quantities are now known. Equation [1.61] cannot be solved to obtain the eigenvector derivative because the matrix is singular. For distinct eigenvalues this matrix has a null space of dimension 1. Following Nelson's approach the eigenvector derivative is written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{v}_j + d_j \mathbf{u}_j \tag{1.62}$$

where \mathbf{v}_j and d_j have to be determined. These quantities are not unique since any multiple of the eigenvector may be added to \mathbf{v}_j . A convenient choice is to identify the element of maximum magnitude in \mathbf{u}_j and make the corresponding element in \mathbf{v}_j equal to zero. Although other elements of \mathbf{v}_j could be set to zero, this choice is most likely to produce a numerically well-conditioned problem. Substituting equation [1.62] into equation [1.61], gives

$$\left[s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}\right] \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{F}_j \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j.$$
[1.63]

This may be solved, including the constraint on the zero element of \mathbf{v}_i , by solving the equivalent problem,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_{j11} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F}_{j13} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{F}_{j31} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F}_{j33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{j1} \\ x_{j2} (=0) \\ \mathbf{v}_{j3} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{h}_{j1} \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{h}_{j3} \end{cases}.$$
[1.64]

where the \mathbf{F}_j is defined in equation [1.63], and has the row and column corresponding to the zeroed element of \mathbf{v}_j replaced with the corresponding row and column of the identity matrix. This approach maintains the banded nature of the structural matrices, and hence is computationally efficient.

It only remains to compute the scalar constant d_j to obtain the eigenvector derivative. For this the normalisation equation must be used. Differentiating equation [1.59], substituting equation [1.62] and rearranging produces

$$d_j = -\mathbf{u}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C}\right] \mathbf{v}_j - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}_j^T \left[2\mathbf{M}\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} + 2s_j\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{u}_j.$$
[1.65]

1.2.2.3. Example: Two-degree-of-freedom system

Sensitivity of complex frequencies

A two degree-of-freedom system has been considered to illustrate a possible use of the expressions developed so far. Figure 1.1 shows the example taken together with the numerical values. When eigenvalues are plotted



Figure 1.1: Two degree-of-system shows veering, m = 1 kg, $k_1 = 1000 \text{ N/m}$, c = 4.0 Ns/m

versus a system parameter they create family of 'root loci'. When two loci approach together they may cross or rapidly diverge. The later case is called 'curve veering'. The veering of the real part of the complex frequencies for the system considered is shown in Figure 1.2. During veering, rapid changes take place in the eigensolutions,



Figure 1.2: Real part of the complex frequencies of the two modes as a function of k_2 showing the veering phenomenon

as Leissa [LEI 74] pointed out '... the (eigenfunctions) must undergo violent change – figuratively speaking, a dragonfly one instant, a butterfly the next, and something indescribable in between'. Thus this is an interesting problem for applying the general results derived in this section.

Figure 1.3 shows the imaginary part (normalised by dividing with $\sqrt{k_1/m}$) of the derivative of first natural frequency with respect to the damping parameter 'c' over a parameter variation of k_2 and s. This plot was obtained



Figure 1.3: Imaginary part of the derivative of the first natural frequency, λ_1 , with respect to the damping parameter, c

by programming of equation [1.34] in MatlabTM with substituting $s_i = i\lambda_i$. The imaginary part has been chosen to be plotted here because a change in damping is expected to contribute a significant change in the imaginary part. The sharp rise of the rate in the low-value region of k_2 and s could be intuitively guessed because there the damper becomes the only 'connecting element' between the two masses and so any change made there is expected to have a strong effect. As we move near to the veering range ($k_2 \approx k_1$ and $s \approx 0$) the story becomes quite different. In the first mode, the two masses move in the same direction, in fact in the limit the motion approaches a 'rigid body mode'. Here, the change is no longer remains sensitive to the changes in connecting the element (i.e., only the damper since $s \approx 0$) as hardly any force transmission takes place between the two masses. For this reason we expect a sharp fall in the derivative as can be noticed along the $s \approx 0$ region of the figure. For the region when s is large, we also observe a lower value of derivative, but the reason there is different. The stiffness element 's' shares most of the force being transmitted between the two masses and hence does not depend much on the change of the value of the damper. A similar plot has been shown in Figure 1.4 for the second natural frequency. Unlike the previous case, here the derivative increases in the veering range. For the second mode the masses move in the opposite direction and in the veering range the difference between them becomes maximal. Since $s \approx 0$, only the damper is being stretched and as a result of this, a small change there produces a large effect. Thus, the use of equation [1.34] can provide good physical insight into the problem and can effectively be used in modal



Figure 1.4: Imaginary part of the derivative of the second natural frequency, λ_2 , with respect to the damping parameter, c

updating, damage detection and for design purposes by taking the damping matrix together with the mass and stiffness matrices improving the current practice of using the mass and stiffness matrices only.

Sensitivity of eigenvectors

Sensitivity of eigenvectors for the problem shown in Figure 1.1 can be directly obtained from equation [1.58]. Here we have focused our attention to calculate the sensitivity of eigenvectors with respect to the parameter k_2 . Figure 1.5 shows the real part of the sensitivity of the first eigenvector normalised by its \mathcal{L}^2 norm (that is $\Re \left\{ \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Z}_1}{\mathrm{d}k_2} \right\} / \| \mathbf{z}_1 \|$ plotted over a variation of k_2/k_1 from 0 to 3 for both the coordinates. The value of the spring constant for the connecting spring is kept fixed at s = 100 N/m. The real part of the sensitivity of complex eigenvectors has been chosen mainly for two reasons:(a) any change in stiffness is expected to have made more changes in the real part; and (b) to compare it with the corresponding changes of the real undamped modes. Derivative of the first eigenvector (normalised by its \mathcal{L}^2 norm) with respect to k_2 corresponding to the undamped system (i.e., removing the damper) is also shown in the same figure (see the figure legend for details). This is calculated from the expression derived by Fox and Kapoor [FOX 68]. Similar plots for the second eigenvector are shown in Figure 1.6. Both of these figures reveal a common feature: around the veering range i.e. $0.5 < k_2/k_1 < 0.5 < k_2/k_1 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 < 0.5 <$ 1.5, the damped and the undamped sensitivities show considerable differences while outside this region they almost traces each other. A physical explanation of this phenomenon can be given. For the problem considered here the damper acts as an additional 'connecting element' between the two masses together with the spring 's'. As a result it 'prevents' the system to be close to show a 'strong' veering effect (i.e. when $k_2 = k_1$ and the force transmission between the masses is close to zero) and thus reduces the sensitivity of both the modes. However, for the first mode both masses move in the same direction and the damper has less effect compared to second mode where the masses move in the opposite directions and have much greater effect on the sensitivities.



Figure 1.5: Real part of the derivative of the first eigenvector with respect to the stiffness parameter k_2

To analyse the results from quantitative point of view at this point it is interesting to look at the variation of the modal damping factors shown in Figure 1.7. For the first mode the damping factor is quite low (in the order of $\approx 10^{-4}$ near the veering range but still the sensitivities of the undamped mode and that of the real part of the complex mode for both coordinates are quite different. Again, away from the veering range, $k_2/k_1 > 2$, the damping factor is high but the sensitivities of the undamped mode and that of real part of the complex mode are quite similar. This is opposite to what we normally expect, as the common belief is that, when the damping factors are low, the undamped modes and the real part of complex modes should behave similarly and vice versa. For the second mode the damping factor does not change very much due to a variation of k_2 except becomes slightly higher in the vicinity of the veering range. But the difference between the sensitivities of the undamped mode and that of real part of the complex mode for both coordinates changes much more significantly than the damping factor. This demonstrates that even when the damping factors are similar, the sensitivity of the undamped modes and that of the real part of the complex modes can be significantly different. Thus, the use of the expression for the derivatives of undamped mode shapes can lead to a significant error even when the damping is very low. The expressions derived in this section should be used for any kind of study involving such a sensitivity analysis.

Since the expression in equation [1.34] and [1.58] has been derived exactly, the numerical results obtained here are also exact within the precision of the arithmetic used for the calculations. The only instance for arriving at an approximate result is when approximate complex frequencies and modes are used in the analysis. However, for this example it was verified that the use of approximate methods to obtain complex eigensolutions in the configuration space discussed in chapters ?? and ?? and the exact ones obtained from the state space method produce negligible discrepancy. Since in most engineering applications we normally do not encounter very high value of damping one can use approximate methods to obtain eigensolusions in the configuration space in conjunction with the sensitivity



Figure 1.6: Real part of the derivative of the second eigenvector with respect to the stiffness parameter k_2

expressions derived here. This will allow the analyst to study the sensitivity of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of non-classically damped systems in a similar way to those of undamped systems.

1.3. Parametric sensitivity of nonviscously damped systems

The studies so far consider only viscous damping model. However, it is well known that the viscous damping is not the only damping model within the scope of linear analysis, examples are: damping in composite materials [BAB 94], energy dissipation in structural joints [EAR 66, BEA 77], damping mechanism in composite beams [BAN 91], to mention only a few. We consider a class of nonviscous damping models in which the damping forces depend on the past history of motion via convolution integrals over some kernel functions (see chapters ?? and ??). The equation of motion describing free vibration of a *N*-degree-of-freedom linear system with such damping can be expressed by

$$\mathbf{M}\ddot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathcal{G}(t-\tau) \,\dot{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) \,\mathrm{d}\tau + \mathbf{K}\mathbf{q}(t) = \mathbf{0}.$$
[1.66]

Here **M** and $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ are the mass and stiffness matrices, $\mathcal{G}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ is the matrix of kernel functions and **0** is an $N \times 1$ vector of zeros. In the special case when $\mathcal{G}(t - \tau) = \mathbf{C} \,\delta(t - \tau)$, equation [1.66] reduces to the case of viscously damped systems. The damping model of this kind is a further generalisation of the familiar viscous damping. The central aim of this section is to extend the eigensensitivity analysis to nonviscously damped systems of the form [1.66]. In the subsequent subsections the derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors are derived. Unlike viscously damped systems, the conversion of equation [1.66] into the state-space form may not be



Figure 1.7: Modal damping factors for both the modes

advantageous because the eigenvalue problem in the state-space cannot be casted in the form of the conventional matrix eigenvalue problem involving *constant* matrices. For this reason the approach adopted here does not employ the state-space formulation of the equation of motion. An application of the derived expressions for the derivative of eigensolutions is illustrated by considering a two-degree-of-freedom system with nonviscous damping.

The determination of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of general nonviscously damped systems has been discussed in **??**. Taking the Laplace transform of equation [1.66] we have

$$s^{2}\mathbf{M}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s) + s\,\mathbf{G}(s)\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s) + \mathbf{K}\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{D}(s)\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s) = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.67]

where the dynamic stiffness matrix

$$\mathbf{D}(s) = s^2 \mathbf{M} + s \,\mathbf{G}(s) + \mathbf{K} \ \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}.$$
[1.68]

Here $\bar{\mathbf{q}}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{q}(t)] \in \mathbb{C}^N$, $\mathbf{G}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{G}(t)] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$ and $\mathcal{L}[\bullet]$ denotes the Laplace transform. In the context of structural dynamics, $s = i\omega$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^+$ denotes the frequency. We consider the damping to be 'non-proportional' (conditions for proportionality of nonviscous damping were derived in ??), that is, the mass and stiffness matrices as well as the matrix of kernel functions cannot be simultaneously diagonalised by any linear transformation. However, it is assumed that \mathbf{M}^{-1} exist and $\mathbf{G}(s)$ is such that the motion is dissipative. Conditions which $\mathbf{G}(s)$ must satisfy in order to produce dissipative motion were given by Golla and Hughes [GOL 85].

The eigenvalue problem associated with equation [1.66] can be defined from [1.67] as

$$\left[s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \, \mathbf{G}(s_j) + \mathbf{K}\right] \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{D}(s_j) \mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{0}, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, m$$
[1.69]

Parametric Sensitivity of Damped Systems 17

where $\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{C}^N$ is the *j*-th eigenvector. The eigenvalues, s_j , are roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det \left[s^2 \mathbf{M} + s \, \mathbf{G}(s) + \mathbf{K} \right] = 0. \tag{1.70}$$

We consider that the order of the characteristic equation is m. Following ?? one may group the eigenvectors as (a) elastic modes (corresponding to N complex conjugate pairs of eigenvalues), and (b) nonviscous modes (corresponding to the 'additional' m-2N eigenvalues). The elastic modes are related to the N modes of vibration of structural systems. We assume that *all* m eigenvalues are distinct. Following ?? the eigenvectors can be normalised as

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j} = \gamma_{j}$$
or
$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j}\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{G}(s_{j}) + s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_{j}} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j} = \gamma_{j}, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, m$$
[1.71]

where $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{C}$ is some non-zero constant. Note that equation [1.71] reduces to the corresponding normalisation relationship for viscously damped systems (see [VIG 86, SES 94] for example) when $\mathbf{G}(s)$ is constant with respect to *s*. Numerical values of γ_j can be selected in various ways, see the discussions in **??**.

1.3.1. Sensitivity of the eigenvalues

Suppose the system matrices in equation [1.66] are functions of some design parameter p. In this subsection we intend to obtain an expression of the derivative of the j-th eigenvalue with respect to the design parameter p. Differentiating equation [1.69] with respect to p one obtains

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2s_j \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} + s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \mathbf{G}(s_j) + s_j \frac{\partial [\mathbf{G}(s_j)]}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_j + \begin{bmatrix} s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \mathbf{G}(s_j) + \mathbf{K} \end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{0}.$$
[1.72]

The term $\frac{\partial [\mathbf{G}(s_j)]}{\partial p}$ appearing in the above equation can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{G}(s_j)\right]}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial p}|_{s=s_j}.$$
[1.73]

Premultiplying equation [1.72] by \mathbf{z}_j^T and using the symmetry property of the system matrices it may be observed that the second term of the equation vanishes due to [1.69]. Substituting [1.73] into equation [1.72] we obtain

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[s_{j}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_{j}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j} + \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{M} + \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} \mathbf{G}(s_{j}) + s_{j} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s} |_{s=s_{j}} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j} = 0.$$
[1.74]

Rearranging the preceding equation, the derivative of eigenvalues can be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{G}(s_j) + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s} |_{s=s_j} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}.$$
[1.75]

Note that the denominator of equation [1.75] is exactly the normalisation relationship given by equation [1.71]. In view of this, equation [1.75] can be expressed in a concise form as

$$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j}$$

$$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{\gamma_j} \left(\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j \right).$$
[1.76]

This is the most general expression for the derivative of eigenvalues of linear dynamic systems. Equation [1.76] can be used to derive the derivative of eigenvalues for various special cases:

1) Undamped systems (section 1.1): In this case $\mathbf{G}(s) = 0$ results

$$\mathbf{D}(s) = s^2 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K}$$

and
$$\gamma_j = 2s_j \mathbf{z}_j^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_j.$$
 [1.77]

Assuming $s_j = i\omega_j$ where $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$ is the *j*-th undamped natural frequency from equation [1.76] one obtains

$$-2\mathrm{i}\omega_{j}\mathrm{i}\frac{\partial\omega_{j}}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial\omega_{j}^{2}}{\partial p} = \frac{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\left[\frac{\partial\mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_{j}^{2}\frac{\partial\mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}}{\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{z}_{j}},$$
[1.78]

which is a well known result.

2) Viscously damped systems (section 1.2): In this case G(s) = C, a constant matrix with respect to s. This results

$$\mathbf{D}(s) = s^2 \mathbf{M} + s \mathbf{C} + \mathbf{K}$$
[1.79]

and
$$\gamma_j = \mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C} \right] \mathbf{z}_j.$$

Using these, from equation [1.76] one obtains

$$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[s_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}_j^T \left[2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{C} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}.$$
[1.80]

Thus, the result obtained in equation [1.76] generalise earlier expressions of the derivative of eigenvalues. The derivative of associated eigenvectors are considered in the next subsection.

1.3.2. Sensitivity of the eigenvectors

1.3.2.1. Modal approach

The various methods of calculating the derivative of eigenvectors can be divided into three main categories [MUR 88]: (a) adjoint method or modal method, (b) direct method, and (c) iterative method. We adopt the modal method where the derivative of each eigenvector is expanded in the space of the complete set of eigenvectors. The main difficulty in applying available methodologies for the modal method to nonviscously damped systems is that the eigenvectors do not satisfy any familiar orthogonality relationship. We propose an approach to calculate the derivative of eigenvector without using the orthogonality relationship.

It turns out that the eigenvalue problem of the dynamic stiffness matrix (given by equation [1.68]) plays an important role. For any given $s \in \mathbb{C}$, the eigenvalue problem associated with the dynamic stiffness matrix can be expressed by equation [??] given in ??. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the dynamic stiffness matrix are given by $\nu_k(s)$ and $\varphi_k(s)$ respectively. It is assumed that all the eigenvalues are distinct for any fixed value of s. The symbols $\nu_k(s)$ and $\varphi_k(s)$ indicate functional dependence of these quantities on the complex parameter s. Such a continuous dependence is expected whenever $\mathbf{D}(s)$ is a sufficiently smooth matrix function of s. It should be noted that because $\mathbf{D}(s)$ is a $N \times N$ complex matrix for a fixed s, the number of eigenvalues (and consequently the eigenvectors) must be N. Further, it can be shown that, for distinct eigenvalues, $\varphi_k(s)$ also satisfy an orthogonality relationship although \mathbf{z}_k do not enjoy any such simple relationship. We normalise $\varphi_k(s)$ as in equation [??].

It is possible to establish the relationships between the original eigenvalue problem of the system defined by equation [1.69] and that by equation [??]. Consider the case when the parameter s approaches any one of the

system eigenvalues, say s_j . Since all the $\nu_k(s)$ are assumed to be distinct, for nontrivial eigenvectors, comparing equations [1.69] and [??] we can conclude that one and only one of the $\nu_k(s)$ must be zero when $s \to s_j$. Further discussion is given in ??. Considering the *r*-th set, equation [??] can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{Z}_r(s)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(s) = \mathbf{0}$$
[1.81]

where

$$\mathbf{Z}_{r}(s) = \mathbf{D}(s) - \nu_{r}(s)\mathbf{I} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}.$$
[1.82]

In view of [??], from the preceding equation it is clear that

$$\lim_{s \to s_j} \mathbf{Z}_r(s) = \mathbf{D}(s)|_{s=s_j}.$$
[1.83]

From this equation together with [??] we conclude that in the limit $s \rightarrow s_j$ the eigenvalue problem given by equation [1.81] approaches to the original eigenvalue problem given by [1.69].

Differentiating [1.81] with respect to the design parameter p one has

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s) + \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} = \mathbf{0}$$
or $\mathbf{Z}_{r}(s) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s).$
[1.84]

Premultiplying the preceding equation by $\mathbf{D}^{-1}(s)$ and using [1.82] we have

$$\left[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{D}^{-1}(s)\nu_r(s)\right] \frac{\partial \varphi_r(s)}{\partial p} = -\mathbf{D}^{-1}(s)\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_r(s)}{\partial p}\varphi_r(s).$$
[1.85]

The derivative of eigenvector of the original system with respect to the design parameter p, that is $\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_j}{\partial p}$ should be obtained from equation [1.85] by taking the limit $s \to s_j$. Because $\lim_{s\to s_j} \mathbf{D}(s)$ is at the most of rank (N-1), it is not possible to obtain $\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_j}{\partial p}$ directly from equation [1.85]. We avoid this difficulty by expanding $\mathbf{D}^{-1}(s)$ in terms of the poles and their associated residues. From equation [??] the inverse of the dynamic stiffness matrix can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{D}^{-1}(s) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mathbf{R}_j}{s - s_j}$$
[1.86]

where

$$\mathbf{R}_j = \frac{\mathbf{z}_j \mathbf{z}_j^T}{\gamma_j}.$$

Substituting $\mathbf{D}^{-1}(s)$ from equation [1.86] into equation [1.85], using [??] and [1.87], and taking the limit as $s \to s_j$ one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = -\lim_{s \to s_{j}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{k} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{T}}{\gamma_{j}(s - s_{k})} \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)$$

$$= a_{jj} \mathbf{z}_{j} - \sum_{k=1 \atop k \neq j}^{m} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p}|_{s = s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\gamma_{k}(s_{j} - s_{k})} \mathbf{z}_{k}$$
[1.88]

where

$$a_{jj} = -\lim_{s \to s_j} \frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_r(s)}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(s)}{\gamma_j(s - s_j)}.$$
[1.89]

In deriving equation [1.88] we have also made use of the relationships [??] and [1.83]. Note that the limiting value of a_{jj} , the coefficient associated with \mathbf{z}_j , cannot be obtained from [1.89] because the denominator approaches to zero in the limit. A different approach is presented below to bypass this difficulty.

For a fixed value of $s, \varphi_k(s), \forall k = 1, \dots, N$ form a complete basis. For this reason $\frac{\partial \varphi_r(s)}{\partial p} \in \mathbb{C}^N$ can be expanded uniquely in terms of all $\varphi_k(s)$, that is, one can write

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_r(s)}{\partial p} = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^{(r)}(s) \varphi_k(s), \tag{1.90}$$

where $\alpha_k^{(r)}(s) \in \mathbb{C}$ are non-zero constants. The normalisation relationship for the *r*-th mode can be expressed from equation [??] as

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r^T(s)\mathbf{D}(s)\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(s) = \nu_r(s).$$
[1.91]

Differentiating this equation with respect to the design parameter p we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)}{\partial p} \mathbf{D}(s) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s) \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p} \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s) \mathbf{D}(s) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial \nu_{r}(s)}{\partial p}.$$
[1.92]

Using the symmetry property of D(s) and [1.82] the above equation can be rearranged as

$$2\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)\mathbf{D}(s)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} = -\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)\frac{\partial\mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s).$$
[1.93]

Substituting $\frac{\partial \varphi_r(s)}{\partial p}$ from equation [1.90] and using the orthogonality relationship given by [??] from the above equation one obtains

$$\alpha_r^{(r)}(s) = -\frac{\varphi_r^T(s)\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_r(s)}{\partial p}\varphi_r(s)}{2\nu_r(s)}.$$
[1.94]

Now, taking the limit $s \rightarrow s_j$ on equation [1.90] and using [??] we have

$$\lim_{s \to s_j} \frac{\partial \varphi_r(s)}{\partial p} = \lim_{s \to s_j} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k^{(r)}(s) \varphi_k(s)$$
or
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = \left(\lim_{s \to s_j} \alpha_r^{(r)}(s)\right) \mathbf{z}_j + \lim_{s \to s_j} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \ k \neq r}}^N \alpha_k^{(r)}(s) \varphi_k(s).$$
[1.95]

Because it is assumed that all the eigenvalues are distinct, the associated eigenvectors are also distinct. Thus, $\lim_{s\to s_j} \varphi_k(s) \neq \mathbf{z}_j, \forall k = 1, \dots, N; \neq r$. So, comparing the coefficient of \mathbf{z}_j in equations [1.88] and [1.95] it is clear that

$$a_{jj} = \lim_{s \to s_j} \alpha_r^{(r)}(s)$$

$$= -\lim_{s \to s_j} \frac{\varphi_r^T(s) \frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_r(s)}{\partial p} \varphi_r(s)}{2\nu_r(s)} \qquad (\text{from [1.94]}).$$
[1.96]

The above limit cannot be evaluated directly because from [??] $\lim_{s\to s_j} \nu_r(s) = 0$. Now, differentiate equation [1.81] with respect to p to obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_r(s)}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(s) + \mathbf{Z}_r(s)\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_r(s)}{\partial p} = \mathbf{0}.$$
[1.97]

Premultiplying the above equation by $\varphi_r^T(s)$ one obtains

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s) + \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)\mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s)}{\partial p} = 0.$$
[1.98]

Taking transpose of equation [1.81] and considering the symmetry property of $\mathbf{Z}_r(s)$ it follows that the second term of the left-hand side of the above equation is zero. Thus, equation [1.98] reduces to

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}^{T}(s)\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_{r}(s)}{\partial p}\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{r}(s) = 0.$$
[1.99]

The above equation shows that in the limit the left hand side of equation [1.96] has a '0 by 0' form. So, applying l'Hôspital's rule, using [??], [1.83] and [??] in ??, from equation [1.96] one obtains

$$a_{jj} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial^2[\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j}{2\frac{\partial \nu_r(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_j}} = -\frac{\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial^2[\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j}{2\left(\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j\right)}.$$
[1.100]

This expression can now be used to obtain the derivative of \mathbf{z}_j in equation [1.88].

The denominator in the above equation can be related to the normalisation constant γ_j given by equation [1.71]. The term $\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p}|_{s=s_j}$ appearing in the numerator may be obtained by differentiating equation [1.68] as

$$\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p}|_{s=s_j} = 2s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial p}|_{s=s_j} + s_j \frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{G}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p}|_{s=s_j}$$
[1.101]

From equations [1.88] and [1.101] the derivative of \mathbf{z}_{j} is obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2\gamma_j} \left(\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial^2[\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p} |_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j \right) \mathbf{z}_j - \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq j}}^m \frac{\mathbf{z}_k^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_j} \mathbf{z}_j}{\gamma_k (s_j - s_k)} \mathbf{z}_k.$$
[1.102]

This is the most general expression for the derivative of eigenvectors of linear dynamic systems. Equation [1.102] can be applied directly to derive the derivative of eigenvectors for various special cases:

1) Undamped systems (section 1.1): In this case $\mathbf{G}(s) = 0$ results the order of the characteristic polynomial m = 2N; s_j is purely imaginary so that $s_j = i\omega_j$. Using [1.77], equation [1.101] results

$$\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \, \partial p} |_{s=s_j} = 2s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}.$$
[1.103]

Recalling that the eigenvalues appear in complex conjugate pairs and all \mathbf{z}_i are real, from [1.102] one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(2\mathrm{i}\omega_{j} \mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_{j}\right)}{2\mathrm{i}\omega_{j} \left(\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_{j}\right)} \mathbf{z}_{j} - \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq j}}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_{j}^{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p}\right] \mathbf{z}_{j}}{2\mathrm{i}\omega_{k} \left(\mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_{k}\right)} \left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\omega_{j} - \mathrm{i}\omega_{k}} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}\omega_{j} + \mathrm{i}\omega_{k}}\right] \mathbf{z}_{k}$$
[1.104]

Considering the unity mass normalisation, that is, $\mathbf{z}_k^T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z}_k = 1, \forall k = 1, \dots, N$ the preceding equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_j \right) \mathbf{z}_j + \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k\neq j}}^N \frac{\mathbf{z}_k^T \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p} - \omega_j^2 \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_j}{(\omega_j^2 - \omega_k^2)} \mathbf{z}_k$$
[1.105]

which is a well known result.

2) Viscously damped systems (section 1.2): In this case G(s) = C, a constant matrix with respect to s and m = 2N. Using [1.79], equation [1.101] results

$$\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \,\partial p}|_{s=s_j} = 2s_j \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p}.$$
[1.106]

Recalling that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors appear in complex conjugate pairs, from [1.102] one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = -\frac{1}{2\gamma_{j}} \left(\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \left[2s_{j} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p} \right] \mathbf{z}_{j} \right) \mathbf{z}_{j} - \frac{1}{\gamma_{j}^{*} 2\mathbf{i} \Im(s_{j})} \left(\mathbf{z}_{j}^{*T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j} \right) \mathbf{z}_{j}^{*} - \sum_{\substack{k=1\\k \neq j}}^{N} \left[\frac{\mathbf{z}_{k}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\gamma_{k} \left(s_{j} - s_{k}\right)} \mathbf{z}_{k} + \frac{\mathbf{z}_{k}^{*T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p} |_{s=s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\gamma_{k}^{*} \left(s_{j} - s_{k}^{*}\right)} \mathbf{z}_{k}^{*} \right].$$
[1.107]

Thus, the result obtained in equation [1.102] generalise earlier expressions of the derivative of eigenvectors.

1.3.2.2. Numerical example: A two-degree-of-freedom system

We consider a two-degree-of-freedom system shown in Figure 1.8 to illustrate a possible use of the expressions derived so far. The system considered here is similar to the one used in subsubsection 1.2.2.3 except that the



Figure 1.8: A two degrees-of-freedom spring-mass system with nonviscous damping, m = 1 Kg, $k_1 = 1000$ N/m, $k_3 = 100$ N/m, $g(t) = c (\mu_1 e^{-\mu_1 t} + \mu_2 e^{-\mu_2 t}), c = 4.0$ Ns/m, $\mu_1 = 10.0$ s⁻¹, $\mu_2 = 2.0$ s⁻¹

dissipative element connected between the two masses is not a simple viscous dashpot but a nonviscous damper. The equation of motion describing the free vibration of the system can be expressed by [1.66], with

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0\\ 0 & m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_3 & -k_3\\ -k_3 & k_2 + k_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
[1.108]

and

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = g(t)\hat{\mathbf{I}}, \text{ where } \hat{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 [1.109]
Parametric Sensitivity of Damped Systems 23

The damping function g(t) is assumed to be the GHM model [GOL 85, MCT 93] so that

$$g(t) = c\left(\mu_1 e^{-\mu_1 t} + \mu_2 e^{-\mu_2 t}\right); \quad c, \mu_1, \mu_2 \ge 0,$$
[1.110]

where c is a constant and μ_1 and μ_2 are known as the relaxation parameters. In equation [1.110] if the function associated with c was a delta-function, c would serve the purpose of the familiar viscous damping constant. Taking the Laplace transform of [1.109] one obtains

$$\mathbf{G}(s) = G(s)\hat{\mathbf{I}},$$

where $G(s) = \mathcal{L}[g(t)] = c\left(\frac{\mu_1}{s+\mu_1} + \frac{\mu_2}{s+\mu_2}\right).$ [1.11]

Substituting [1.108] and [1.111] in equation [1.70] it may be shown that the system has six eigenvalues – four of which correspond to the two elastic modes (together with corresponding complex conjugate pairs) and the rest two correspond to two nonviscous modes. For convenience arrange the eigenvalues as

$$s_{e_1}, s_{e_2}, s_{e_1}^*, s_{e_2}^*, s_{nv_1}, s_{nv_1}$$

$$[1.112]$$

where $(\bullet)_e$ denotes elastic modes and $(\bullet)_{nv}$ denotes nonviscous modes.

We consider the derivative of eigenvalues with respect to the relaxation parameter μ_1 . The derivative of the system matrices with respect to this parameter may be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu_1} = \mathbf{O}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial \mu_1} = \hat{\mathbf{I}} \frac{c \, s}{\left(s + \mu_1\right)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mu_1} = \mathbf{O}.$$
 [1.113]

Further, from equation [1.111] one also obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s} = -\hat{\mathbf{I}}c \left\{ \frac{\mu_1}{(s+\mu_1)^2} + \frac{\mu_2}{(s+\mu_2)^2} \right\}$$

$$[1.114]$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{G}(s)]}{\partial s \,\partial \mu_1} = -\hat{\mathbf{I}}c \frac{s-\mu_1}{(s+\mu_1)^3}.$$

Using equations [1.113] and [1.114] the terms γ_j , $\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial p}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 [\mathbf{D}(s)]}{\partial s \partial \mu_1}$ appearing in equations [1.76] and [1.102] can be evaluated.

Figures 1.9 and 1.10 show the real part of the derivative of first and second eigenvalue with respect to μ_1 over a parameter variation of k_2 and k_3 . These results are obtained by direct application of equation [1.76]. The system considered here shows the so called 'veering' [BOI 11, BOI 09] when the eigenvalues are plotted versus a system parameter. In the veering range (that is when $k_2 \approx k_1$ and $k_3 \approx 0$) rapid changes take place in the eigensolutions. From Figures 1.9 and 1.10 it may be noted that around the veering range the first eigenvalue is not very sensitive to μ_1 while the second eigenvalue is very sensitive in this region. In the first mode both the blocks move in the same direction and consequently the damper is not stretched, resulting insensitiveness to the relaxation parameter μ_1 . In the second mode the blocks move away from each other. This results stretching of the damping block and increases sensitiveness to the relaxation parameter μ_1 .

It is useful to understand the effect of different parameters on the eigenvalues. Figures 1.11 and 1.12 show the imaginary part of the derivative of first and second eigenvalue with respect to the damping parameters c, μ_1 and μ_2 over a parameter variation of k_2 . The value of k_3 is fixed at $k_3 = 100$. These plots show that the damping parameters not only affect the real part of the eigenvalues but also affect the imaginary part. Again, observe that in the veering range the first eigenvalue is insensitive to the damping parameters while the second eigenvalue is sensitive to them.



Figure 1.9: Real part of the derivative of the first eigenvalue with respect to the relaxation parameter μ_1

Now we turn our attention to the derivative of eigenvectors. Figures 1.13 and 1.14 show the real part of the derivative of first and second eigenvector with respect to k_2 over a parameter variation of k_2 . It is useful to compare these results with the corresponding results by considering the damping mechanism to be viscous, that is, when g(t) given by equation [1.110] has the form $g(t) = c \delta(t)$. In Figures 1.13 and 1.14 the derivative of both eigenvectors for the corresponding viscously damped system is also plotted. Observe that around the veering range the derivatives of both eigenvectors are different for viscously and nonviscously damped systems. This illustrates that the nature of damping affects the parameter sensitivity of the real part of complex modes.

1.3.2.3. Nelson's method

For large scale structures with nonviscous damping, obtaining all of the eigenvectors is a computationally expensive task because the number of eigenvectors of a nonviscously damped system is much larger, in general, than the number for a viscously damped system. This motivates the extension of Nelson's method to calculate the derivatives of eigenvectors of nonviscously damped systems.

Differentiating equation [1.69] with respect to the design parameter p we have

$$\mathbf{D}(s_j)\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{h}_j \tag{1.115}$$

where

$$\mathbf{h}_{j} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s_{j})}{\partial p}\mathbf{z}_{j} = -\left[2s_{j}\frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p}\mathbf{M} + s_{j}^{2}\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial p}\mathbf{G}(s_{j}) + s_{j}\frac{\partial\left[\mathbf{G}(s_{j})\right]}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial p}\right]\mathbf{z}_{j}$$

$$[1.116]$$



Figure 1.10: Real part of the derivative of the second eigenvalue with respect to the relaxation parameter μ_1

is known. For unique results we need to normalise the eigenvectors. There are many approaches to the normalisation of the eigenvectors. A convenient approach (see ??) is to normalise z_j such that

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_{j}} \mathbf{z}_{j} = \gamma_{j}$$
[1.117]

or
$$\mathbf{z}_j^T \mathbf{D}'(s_j) \mathbf{z}_j = \gamma_j, \quad \forall j = 1, \cdots, m$$
 [1.118]

where

~

$$\mathbf{D}'(s) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}(s)}{\partial s} = [2s\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{G}(s) + s\mathbf{G}'(s)] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$$
[1.119]

and $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{C}$ is some non-zero constant.

Equation [1.115] cannot be solved to obtain the eigenvector derivative because the matrix is singular. For distinct eigenvalues this matrix has a null space of dimension 1. Following Nelson's approach the eigenvector derivative is written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial p} = \mathbf{v}_j + d_j \mathbf{z}_j \tag{1.120}$$

where \mathbf{v}_j and d_j have to be determined. These quantities are not unique since any multiple of the eigenvector may be added to \mathbf{v}_j . A convenient choice is to identify the element of maximum magnitude in \mathbf{z}_j and make the corresponding element in \mathbf{v}_j equal to zero. Although other elements of \mathbf{v}_j could be set to zero, this choice is



Figure 1.11: Imaginary part of the derivative of the first eigenvalue with respect to the damping parameters c, μ_1 and μ_2

most likely to produce a numerically well-conditioned problem. Because $\mathbf{D}(s_j)\mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{0}$ due to equation [1.69], substituting equation [1.120] into equation [1.115], gives

$$\mathbf{D}_j \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{h}_j \tag{1.121}$$

where

$$\mathbf{D}_j = \mathbf{D}(s_j) = \left[s_j^2 \mathbf{M} + s_j \, \mathbf{G}(s_j) + \mathbf{K}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}.$$
[1.122]

This may be solved, including the constraint on the zero element of \mathbf{v}_j , by solving the equivalent problem,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{j11} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D}_{j31} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{D}_{j31} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D}_{j33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{v}_{j1} \\ x_{j2} (=0) \\ \mathbf{v}_{j3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{h}_{j1} \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{h}_{j3} \end{pmatrix}$$
[1.123]

where the D_j is defined in equation [1.122], and has the row and column corresponding to the zeroed element of v_j replaced with the corresponding row and column of the identity matrix. This approach maintains the banded nature of the structural matrices, and hence is computationally efficient.

It only remains to compute the scalar constant, d_j , to obtain the eigenvector derivative. For this the normalisation equation [1.118] must be used. Differentiating equation [1.118] and using the symmetry property of $\mathbf{D}'(s)$ we have

$$\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}'(s_{j})}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_{j} + 2\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{D}'(s_{j}) \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_{j}}{\partial p} = 0.$$
[1.124]



Figure 1.12: Imaginary part of the derivative of the second eigenvalue with respect to the damping parameters c, μ_1 and μ_2

Substituting $\frac{\partial \mathbf{Z}_j}{\partial p}$ from equation [1.120] one has

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}'(s_{j})}{\partial p}\mathbf{z}_{j} + \mathbf{v}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{D}'(s_{j})\mathbf{z}_{j} + d_{j}\mathbf{z}_{j}^{T}\mathbf{D}'(s_{j})\mathbf{z}_{j} = 0.$$
[1.125]

Noticing that the coefficient associated with d_i is the normalisation constant given by equation [1.118], we have

$$d_j = -\frac{1}{\gamma_j} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{z}_j^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}'(s_j)}{\partial p} \mathbf{z}_j + \mathbf{z}_j^T \mathbf{D}'(s_j) \mathbf{v}_j \right\}.$$
[1.126]

The first term in the right-hand side can be obtained by substituting $s = s_j$ into equation [1.119] and differentiating

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}'(s_j)}{\partial p} = 2\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p}\mathbf{M} + 2s_j\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{G}(s_j)\right]}{\partial p} + \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p}\mathbf{G}'(s_j) + s_j\frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{G}'(s_j)\right]}{\partial p}$$

$$[1.127]$$

where $\frac{\partial [\mathbf{G}(s_j)]}{\partial p}$ is given in equation [1.73] and

$$\frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{G}'(s_j)\right]}{\partial p} = \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'(s)}{\partial s}|_{s=s_j} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}'(s)}{\partial p}|_{s=s_j}$$

$$= \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial p} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial s^2}|_{s=s_j} + \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial p \, \partial s}|_{s=s_j}.$$
[1.128]

Equation [1.120], combined with \mathbf{v}_j obtained by solving equation [1.123] and d_j obtained from equation [1.126] completely defines the derivative of the eigenvectors.



Figure 1.13: Real part of the derivative of the first eigenvector with respect to k_2

1.3.2.4. Numerical example

We consider a two-degree-of-freedom system shown in Figure 1.8 to illustrate the use of the expressions derived here. Here the dissipative element connected between the two masses is not a simple viscous dashpot but a nonviscous damper. The equation of motion describing the free vibration of the system can be expressed by equation [1.66], with

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} m & 0\\ 0 & m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{K} = \begin{bmatrix} k_1 + k_3 & -k_3\\ -k_3 & k_2 + k_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
[1.129]

and

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = g(t)\hat{\mathbf{I}}, \text{ where } \hat{\mathbf{I}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 [1.130]

The damping function g(t) is assumed to be a 'double exponential model', with

$$g(t) = c \left(\mu_1 e^{-\mu_1 t} + \mu_2 e^{-\mu_2 t}\right); \quad c, \mu_1, \mu_2 \ge 0,$$
[1.131]

where c is a constant and μ_1 and μ_2 are known as the relaxation parameters. In equation [1.131] if the function associated with c was a delta-function, c would be the familiar viscous damping constant. Taking the Laplace transform of equation [1.130] one obtains

$$\mathbf{G}(s) = c\hat{\mathbf{I}}\left\{ \left(1 + s/\mu_1\right)^{-1} + \left(1 + s/\mu_2\right)^{-1} \right\}.$$
[1.132]



Figure 1.14: Real part of the derivative of the second eigenvalue with respect to k_2

Quantity	Elastic Mode 1	Elastic Mode 2	Nonviscous Mode 1	Nonviscous Mode 2
s_j	$-0.0387 \pm 38.3232 \mathrm{i}$	$-1.5450 \pm 97.5639 \mathrm{i}$	-2.8403	-5.9923
\mathbf{z}_{j}	$ \begin{cases} -0.7500 \pm 0.0043 i \\ -0.6616 \mp 0.0041 i \end{cases} $	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.6622 \mp 0.0035i \\ -0.7501 \pm 0.0075i \end{array} \right\} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} -0.0165\\ 0.0083 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.0055\\ -0.0028 \end{array} \right\} $

Table 1.1: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for the example

Substituting Eqs. [1.129] and [1.132] into equation [1.70] shows that the system has six eigenvalues – four of which occur in complex conjugate pairs and correspond to the two elastic modes. The other two eigenvalues are real and negative and they correspond to the two nonviscous modes. The eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of the system are shown in Table 1.1. The normalisation constants γ_j are selected such that $\gamma_j = 2s_j$ for the elastic modes and $\gamma_j = 1$ for the nonviscous modes.

We consider the derivative of eigenvalues with respect to the stiffness parameter k_1 and the relaxation parameter μ_1 . The derivative of the relevant system matrices with respect to k_1 may be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial k_1} = \mathbf{O}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial k_1}|_{s=s_j} = \mathbf{O}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial k_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
[1.133]

Quantity	Elastic Mode 1	Elastic Mode 2	Nonviscous Mode 1	Nonviscous Mode 2
$rac{\partial s_j}{\partial k_1}$	$0.0001 \pm 0.0073 i$	$0.0001 \pm 0.0022 i$	-2.7106×10^{-4}	$-2.9837 imes 10^{-5}$
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial k_1} \times 10^3$	$ \begin{cases} 0.1130 \mp 0.0066i \\ 0.0169 \pm 0.0041i \end{cases} $	$ \begin{cases} 0.0385 \mp 0.0015i \\ 0.0494 \mp 0.0026i \end{cases} $	$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0.0072 \\ 0.0046 \end{matrix} \right\} $	$\begin{cases} -0.0018\\ -0.0018 \end{cases}$

Table 1.2: Derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to the stiffness parameter k_1

Table 1.3: Derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors with respect to the relaxation parameter μ_1

Quantity	Elastic Mode 1	Elastic Mode 2	Nonviscous Mode 1	Nonviscous Mode 2
$\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial \mu_1}$	$-0.0034 \pm 0.0196 \mathrm{i}$	$-0.2279 \pm 2.0255 \mathrm{i}$	-0.0570	-0.4804
$\frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_j}{\partial \mu_1} \times 10^3$	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0.0022 \pm 0.0004 i \\ -0.0021 \mp 0.0003 i \end{array} \right\} $	$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} -0.0045 \mp 0.0012i \\ 0.0098 \pm 0.0015i \end{matrix} \right\} $	$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} -0.0002 \\ 0.0001 \end{matrix} \right\} $	$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} 0.0022 \\ -0.0011 \end{matrix} \right\} $

$$\frac{\partial [\mathbf{D}(s_j)]}{\partial k_1} = \left(2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{G}(s_j) - cs_j \hat{\mathbf{I}} \left\{ \mu_1^{-1} \left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-2} + \mu_2^{-1} \left(1 + s_j/\mu_2\right)^{-2} \right\} \right) \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial k_1} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial k_1} \quad [1.134]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{D}'(s_j)\right]}{\partial k_1} = \left(2\mathbf{M} - 2c\hat{\mathbf{I}}\left\{\mu_1^{-1}\left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-3} + \mu_2^{-1}\left(1 + s_j/\mu_2\right)^{-3}\right\}\right)\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial k_1}.$$
[1.135]

Using these expressions, the derivative of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are obtained from Eqs. [1.80] and [1.120] shown in Table 1.2.

The derivatives of the eigensolutions with respect to the relaxation parameter μ_1 may be obtained using similar manner. The derivative of the relevant system matrices with respect to μ_1 may be obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu_1} = \mathbf{O}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mu_1} = \mathbf{O}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{G}(s)}{\partial \mu_1}|_{s=s_j} = c \hat{\mathbf{I}} s_j \mu_1^{-2} \left(1 + s_j / \mu_1\right)^{-2}, \tag{1.136}$$

$$\frac{\partial [\mathbf{D}(s_j)]}{\partial \mu_1} = \left(2s_j \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{G}(s_j) - cs_j \hat{\mathbf{I}} \left\{ \mu_1^{-1} \left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-2} + \mu_2^{-1} \left(1 + s_j/\mu_2\right)^{-2} \right\} \right) \frac{\partial s_j}{\partial \mu_1} + c \hat{\mathbf{I}} s_j^2 \mu_1^{-2} \left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-2} \quad [1.137]$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \left[\mathbf{D}'(s_j)\right]}{\partial \mu_1} = \left(2\mathbf{M} - 2c\hat{\mathbf{I}}\left\{\mu_1^{-1}\left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-3} + \mu_2^{-1}\left(1 + s_j/\mu_2\right)^{-3}\right\}\right)\frac{\partial s_j}{\partial \mu_1} + 2c\hat{\mathbf{I}}s_j\mu_1^{-2}\left(1 + s_j/\mu_1\right)^{-3}.$$
 [1.138]

Using these expressions, the derivative of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors are obtained from Eqs. [1.80] and [1.120] shown in Table 1.3.

1.4. Summary

Sensitivity of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of linear damped discrete systems with respect to the system parameters have been derived. In the presence of general non-proportional viscous damping, the eigenvalues and

eigenvectors of the system become complex. The results are presented in terms of changes in the mass, damping, stiffness matrices and complex eigensolutions of the second-order system so that the state-space representation of equation of motion can be avoided. The expressions derived hereby generalise earlier results on derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of undamped systems to the damped systems. It was shown through an example problem that the use of the expression for the derivative of undamped modes can give rise to erroneous results even when the modal damping is quite low. For non-classically damped systems the expressions for the sensitivity of eigenvalues and eigenvectors developed in this chapter should be used. These complex eigensolution derivatives can be useful in various application areas, for example, finite element model updating, damage detection, design optimisation and system stochasticity analysis relaxing the present restriction to use the real undamped modes only.

In general structural systems are expected to be nonviscously damped. The derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of nonviscously damped discrete linear systems have been derived. The assumed nonviscous damping forces depend on the past history of velocities via convolution integrals over suitable kernel functions. The familiar viscous damping model is a special case corresponding to a 'memory-less' kernel. It has been assumed that, in general, the mass and the stiffness matrices as well as the matrix of the kernel functions cannot be simultaneously diagonalised by any linear transformation. The analysis is, however, restricted to systems with non-repetitive eigenvalues and non-singular mass matrices. Eigenvectors of linear dynamic systems with general nonviscous damping do not satisfy any kind of orthogonality relationship (not even in the usual state-space). For this reason none of the established methodologies for determination of the derivative of eigenvectors are applicable to nonviscously damped systems. An approach is shown which utilises the eigenvalue problem of the associated complex dynamic stiffness matrix. The original eigenvalue problem is a limiting case of this eigenvalue problem. The expressions derived for the derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors (equations [1.76] and [1.102]) are very general and also valid for undamped and viscously damped systems. This analysis opens up the possibility of extending the conventional modal updating and parameter estimation techniques to nonviscously damped systems.

So far in this book we have discussed dynamics of damped systems with known parameters. In the next two chapters we show how the damping parameters can be identified from structural dynamic experiments.

Bibliography

- [ABR 65] ABRAMOWITZ M., STEGUN I. A., Handbook of Mathematical Functions, with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables., Dover Publications, Inc., New York, USA, 1965.
- [ABU 09] ABUAZOUM L. A., GARVEY S. D., "Eigenvalue and eigenvector derivatives of second-order systems using structure-preserving equivalences", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 323, num. 3-5, p. 1061-1076, JUN 19 2009.
- [ABU 12] ABU-MALLOUH R., ABU-ALSHAIKH I., ZIBDEH H. S., RAMADAN K., "Response of fractionally damped beams with general boundary conditions subjected to moving loads", *Shock and Vibration*, vol. 19, num. 3, p. 333-347, 2012.
- [ADE 86] ADELMAN H. M., HAFTKA R. T., "Sensitivity analysis of discrete structural system", AIAA Journal, vol. 24, num. 5, p. 823-832, May 1986.
- [ADH 98] ADHIKARI S., Energy Dissipation in Vibrating Structures, Master's thesis, Cambridge University Engineering Department, Cambridge, UK, May 1998, First Year Report.
- [ADH 99a] ADHIKARI S., "Modal analysis of linear asymmetric non-conservative systems", *ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics*, vol. 125, num. 12, p. 1372-1379, December 1999.
- [ADH 99b] ADHIKARI S., "Rates of change of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in damped dynamic systems", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 37, num. 11, p. 1452-1458, November 1999.
- [ADH 99c] ADHIKARI S., MANOHAR C. S., "Dynamic analysis of framed structures with statistical uncertainties", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, vol. 44, num. 8, p. 1157-1178, 1999.
- [ADH 00a] ADHIKARI S., "Calculation of derivative of complex modes using classical normal modes", Computer and Structures, vol. 77, num. 6, p. 625-633, August 2000.
- [ADH 00b] ADHIKARI S., Damping Models for Structural Vibration, PhD thesis, Cambridge University Engineering Department, Cambridge, UK, September 2000.
- [ADH 00c] ADHIKARI S., "On symmetrizable systems of second kind", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 67, num. 4, p. 797-802, December 2000.
- [ADH 01a] ADHIKARI S., "Classical normal modes in non-viscously damped linear systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 39, num. 5, p. 978-980, May 2001.
- [ADH 01b] ADHIKARI S., "Eigenrelations for non-viscously damped systems", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 39, num. 8, p. 1624-1630, August 2001.
- [ADH 01c] ADHIKARI S., WOODHOUSE J., "Identification of damping: part 1, viscous damping", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 243, num. 1, p. 43-61, May 2001.
- [ADH 01d] ADHIKARI S., WOODHOUSE J., "Identification of damping: part 2, non-viscous damping", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 243, num. 1, p. 63-88, May 2001.
- [ADH 02a] ADHIKARI S., "Derivative of eigensolutions of non-viscously damped linear systems", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 40, num. 10, p. 2061-2069, October 2002.
- [ADH 02b] ADHIKARI S., "Dynamics of non-viscously damped linear systems", ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 128, num. 3, p. 328-339, March 2002.
- [ADH 02c] ADHIKARI S., "Lancaster's method of damping identification revisited", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 124, num. 4, p. 617-627, October 2002.

- 166 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [ADH 02d] ADHIKARI S., WOODHOUSE J., "Identification of damping: part 3, symmetry-preserving method", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 251, num. 3, p. 477-490, March 2002.
- [ADH 02e] ADHIKARI S., WOODHOUSE J., "Identification of damping: part 4, error analysis", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 251, num. 3, p. 491-504, March 2002.
- [ADH 03a] ADHIKARI S., WAGNER N., "Analysis of asymmetric non-viscously damped linear dynamic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 70, num. 6, p. 885-893, December 2003.
- [ADH 03b] ADHIKARI S., WOODHOUSE J., "Quantification of non-viscous damping in discrete linear systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 260, num. 3, p. 499-518, February 2003.
- [ADH 04a] ADHIKARI S., "Optimal complex modes and an index of damping non-proportionality", Mechanical System and Signal Processing, vol. 18, num. 1, p. 1-27, January 2004.
- [ADH 04b] ADHIKARI S., WAGNER N., "Direct time-domain approach for exponentially damped systems", Computer and Structures, vol. 82, num. 29-30, p. 2453-2461, November 2004.
- [ADH 05] ADHIKARI S., "Qualitative dynamic characteristics of a non-viscously damped oscillator", Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series- A, vol. 461, num. 2059, p. 2269-2288, July 2005.
- [ADH 06a] ADHIKARI S., "Damping modelling using generalized proportional damping", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 293, num. 1-2, p. 156-170, May 2006.
- [ADH 06b] ADHIKARI S., FRISWELL M. I., "The calculation of eigensolution derivatives for non-viscously damped systems using Nelson's method", AIAA Journal, vol. 44, num. 8, p. 1799-1806, August 2006.
- [ADH 07a] ADHIKARI S., "On the quantification of damping model uncertainty", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 305, num. 1-2, p. 153-171, September 2007.
- [ADH 07b] ADHIKARI S., LEI Y., FRISWELL M. I., "Modal analysis of non-viscously damped beams", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 74, num. 5, p. 1026-1030, September 2007.
- [ADH 08] ADHIKARI S., "Dynamic response characteristics of a non-viscously damped oscillator", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 75, num. 1, p. 011003:1-12, January 2008.
- [ADH 09a] ADHIKARI S., PASCUAL B., "Eigenvalues of linear viscoelastic systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 325, num. 4-5, p. 1000-1011, September 2009.
- [ADH 09b] ADHIKARI S., PHANI A., "Experimental identification of generalized proportional damping", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 131, num. 1, p. 011008:1-12, January 2009.
- [ADH 10] ADHIKARI S., "A general derivation of dynamic response of viscoelastic structures", International Journal of Aerospace Innovations, vol. 2, num. 1-2, p. 29-41, 2010.
- [ADH 11a] ADHIKARI S., "An iterative approach for nonproportionally damped systems", *Mechanics Research Communica*tions, vol. 38, num. 3, p. 226-230, 2011.
- [ADH 11b] ADHIKARI S., PASCUAL B., "Iterative methods for eigenvalues of viscoelastic systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 133, num. 2, p. 021002:1-7, April 2011.
- [AHM 84a] AHMADIAN M., INMAN D. J., "Classical normal modes in asymmetric nonconservative dynamic systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 22, num. 7, p. 1012-1015, July 1984.
- [AHM 84b] AHMADIAN M., INMAN D. J., "On the nature of eigenvalues of general nonconservative systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 51, p. 193-194, March 1984.
- [AHM 87] AHMADIAN M., CHOU S.-H., "A new method for finding symmetric form of asymmetric finite-dimensional dynamic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 54, p. 700-705, September 1987.
- [ALI 13] ALI S. F., ADHIKARI S., "Energy harvesting dynamic vibration absorbers", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 80, num. 4, p. 041004:1-9, 2013.
- [ALL 82] ALLEMANG R. J., BROWN D. L., "A correlation coefficient for modal vector analysis", Proceedings of the 1st International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), Orlando, FL, p. 110-116, 1982.
- [ALV 97] ALVIN K. F., PETERSON L. D., PARK K. C., "Extraction of normal modes and full modal damping from complex modal parameters", AIAA Journal, vol. 35, num. 7, p. 1187-1194, July 1997.

- [AND 12] ANDRIANNE T., DIMITRIADIS G., "Damping identification of lightly damped linear dynamic systems using common-base proper orthogonal decomposition", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 28, num. SI, p. 492-506, 2012.
- [ARO 09a] ARORA V., SINGH S. P., KUNDRA T. K., "Comparative study of damped FE model updating methods", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 23, num. 7, p. 2113-2129, 2009.
- [ARO 09b] ARORA V., SINGH S. P., KUNDRA T. K., "Damped FE model updating using complex updating parameters: Its use for dynamic design", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 324, num. 1-2, p. 350-364, 2009.
- [ARO 09c] ARORA V., SINGH S. P., KUNDRA T. K., "Damped model updating using complex updating parameters", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 320, num. 1-2, p. 438-451, 2009.
- [ARO 09d] ARORA V., SINGH S. P., KUNDRA T. K., "Finite element model updating with damping identification", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 324, num. 3-5, p. 1111-1123, 2009.
- [ARO 10] ARORA V., SINGH S. P., KUNDRA T. K., "Further experience with model updating incorporating damping matrices", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 24, num. 5, SI, p. 1383-1390, 2010.
- [BAB 94] BABURAJ V., MATSUKAI Y., "A study on the material damping of thin angle-ply laminated plates", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 172, num. 3, p. 415-419, 1994.
- [BAG 83] BAGLEY R. L., TORVIK P. J., "Fractional calculus-a different approach to the analysis of viscoelastically damped structures", AIAA Journal, vol. 21, num. 5, p. 741-748, May 1983.
- [BAI 02] BAI Z., "Krylov subspace techniques for reduced-order modeling of large-scale dynamical systems", Applied Numerical Mathematics, vol. 43, num. 1-2, p. 9-44, 2002, cited By (since 1996)170.
- [BAI 05] BAI Z., SU Y., "Soar: A second-order Arnoldi method for the solution of the quadratic eigenvalue problem", SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, vol. 26, num. 3, p. 640-659, 2005.
- [BAL 95] BALMÈS E., Structural Dynamics Toolbox, User's Manual 2.0, Sèrves, France: Scientific Software Group, France, 1995.
- [BAL 96] BALMES E., "Frequency domain identification of structural dynamics using the pole/residue parametrization", Proceedings of the 14th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), vol. 1, Dearbon, MI, p. 540-546, 1996.
- [BAL 97] BALMES E., "New results on the identification of normal modes from experimental complex modes", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 11, num. 2, p. 229-243, 1997.
- [BAN 83] BANDSTRA J. P., "Comparison of equivalent viscous damping in discrete and continuous vibrating system", Transaction of ASME, Journal of Vibration, Acoustics, Stress and Reliability in Design, vol. 105, p. 382-392, July 1983.
- [BAN 91] BANKS H. T., INMAN D. J., "On damping mechanisms in beams", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 58, p. 716-723, September 1991.
- [BAR 97] BARUCH M., Identification of the Damping Matrix, Report num. TAE No.803, Technion, Israel, Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, May 1997.
- [BAT 76] BATHE K. J., WILSON E. L., Numerical Methods in Finite Element Analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1976.
- [BAT 95] BATHE K.-J., Finite Element Procedures, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA, 1995.
- [BEA 77] BEARDS C. F., WILLIAMS J. L., "The damping of structural vibration by rotational slip in structural joint", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 53, num. 3, p. 333-340, 1977.
- [BEA 96] BEARDS C., Structural Vibration,: Analysis and Damping, ButterHeinem ST, Oxford, UK, 1996.
- [BEL 60] BELLMAN R., Introduction to Matrix Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, USA, 1960.
- [BÉL 76] BÉLIVEAU J.-G., "Identification of viscous damping in structures from modal information", *Transactions of ASME*, *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 43, p. 335-339, June 1976.
- [BÉL 77] BÉLIVEAU J.-G., "Eigenrelations in structural dynamics", AIAA Journal, vol. 15, num. 7, p. 1039-1041, July 1977.
- [BEL 90] BELLOS J., INMAN D. J., "Frequency response of non-proportionally damped, lumped parameter, linear systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 112, p. 194-201, April 1990.
- [BER 73] BERT C. W., "Material damping: an introductory review of mathematical models, measure and experimental techniques", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 29, num. 2, p. 129-153, 1973.

- 168 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [BHA 95] BHASKAR A., "Estimates of errors in the frequency response of non-classically damped systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 184, num. 1, p. 59-72, 1995.
- [BHA 99] BHASKAR A., "Mode shapes during asynchronous motion and non-proportionality indices", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 224, num. 1, p. 1-16, 1999.
- [BIL 06] BILBAO A., AVILES R., AGIRREBEITIA J., AJURIA G., "Proportional damping approximation for structures with added viscoelastic dampers", *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, vol. 42, num. 6, p. 492-502, 2006.
- [BIO 55] BIOT M. A., "Variational principles in irreversible thermodynamics with application to viscoelasticity", *Physical Review*, vol. 97, num. 6, p. 1463-1469, 1955.
- [BIO 58] BIOT M. A., "Linear thermodynamics and the mechanics of solids", *Proceedings of the Third U. S. National Congress on Applied Mechanics*, New York, ASME, p. 1-18, 1958.
- [BIS 79] BISHOP R. E. D., PRICE W. G., "An investigation into the linear theory of ship response to waves", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 62, num. 3, p. 353-363, 1979.
- [BIS 86] BISHOP R. E. D., PRICE W. G., "A note on hysteretic damping of transient motion", ELISHAKOFF I., LYON R. H., Eds., *Random Vibration Status and Recent Developments*, Amsterdam, Elsevier, p. 39-45, 1986.
- [BLA 60] BLAND D. R., Theory of Linear Viscoelasticity, Pergamon Press, London, 1960.
- [BOI 09] DU BOIS J. L., ADHIKARI S., LIEVEN N. A. J., "Mode veering in stressed framed structures", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 322, num. 4-5, p. 1117-1124, May 2009.
- [BOI 11] DU BOIS J. L., ADHIKARI S., LIEVEN N. A. J., "On the quantification of eigenvalue curve veering: A veering index", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 78, num. 4, p. 041007:1-8, 2011.
- [BRA 84] BRANDON J. A., "Derivation and significance of second-order modal design sensitivities", AIAA Journal, vol. 22, num. 5, p. 723-724, May 1984.
- [BRA 91] BRANDON J. A., "Second-order design sensitivities to assess the applicability of sensitivity analysis", AIAA Journal, vol. 29, num. 1, p. 135-139, January 1991.
- [BUH 82] BUHARIWALA K. J., Dynamic Response of Viscoelastic Fibre Reinforced Composite Materials, Master's thesis, University of Toronto, Institute for Aerospace Studies, 1982.
- [BUR 09] BURCHETT B. T., "QZ-Based Algorithm for System Pole, Transmission Zero, and Residue Derivatives", AIAA Journal, vol. 47, num. 8, p. 1889-1901, 2009.
- [CAL 09] CALIM F. F., "Dynamic analysis of beams on viscoelastic foundation", *European Journal of Mechanics a-Solids*, vol. 28, num. 3, p. 469-476, 2009.
- [CAL 11] CALIM F. F., AKKURT F. G., "Static and free vibration analysis of straight and circular beams on elastic foundation", *Mechanics Research Communications*, vol. 38, num. 2, p. 89-94, 2011.
- [CAP 01] CAPECCHI D., DE FELICE G., "Hysteretic systems with internal variables", ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 127, num. 9, p. 891-898, September 2001.
- [CAR 74] CARAVANI P., THOMSON W. T., "Identification of damping coefficients in multidimensional linear systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 41, p. 379-382, June 1974.
- [CAR 11] CARPINTERI A., PUGNO N., SAPORA A., "Dynamic response of damped von Koch antennas", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 17, num. 5, p. 733-740, 2011.
- [CAU 60] CAUGHEY T. K., "Classical normal modes in damped linear dynamic systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 27, p. 269-271, June 1960.
- [CAU 65] CAUGHEY T. K., O'KELLY M. E. J., "Classical normal modes in damped linear dynamic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 32, p. 583-588, September 1965.
- [CAU 93] CAUGHEY T. K., MA F., "Complex modes and solvability of non-classical linear systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 60, p. 26-28, March 1993.
- [CAV 09] CAVACECE M., VALENTINI P. P., VITA L., "Identification of modal damping ratios of four-flue chimney of a thermoelectrical plant using pseudo-inverse matrix method", *Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*, vol. 18, num. 2, p. 203-216, 2009.

- [CHA 78] CHAKRABARTI S., BHATTACHARYYA B. B., SWAMY M. N. S., "On simultaneous diagonalization of a collection of hermitian matrices", *The Matrix and Tensor Quarterly*, vol. 29, num. 2, p. 35-54, December 1978.
- [CHA 98] CHASSIAKOS A. G., F.MASRI S., SMYTH A. W., CAUGHEY T. K., "On-line identification of hysteretic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 65, p. 194-203, March 1998.
- [CHA 10] CHAKRABORTY S., ROY S., "Element Level Identification of a Viscously Damped System", *International Journal of Acoustics And Vibration*, vol. 15, num. 3, p. 113-120, 2010.
- [CHA 11] CHA P. D., SABATER A. B., "Eigenvalue sensitivities of a linear structure carrying lumped attachments", AIAA Journal, vol. 49, num. 11, p. 2470-2481, NOV 2011.
- [CHA 13] CHANG S.-Y., "Nonlinear performance of classical damping", *Earthquake Engineering and Engineering Vibration*, vol. 12, num. 2, p. 279-296, Institute of Engineering Mechanics, China Earthquake Administration, 2013.
- [CHE 93] CHERNG A., ABDELHAMID M. K., "On the symmetrization of Asymmetric finite dimensional linear dynamic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 115, p. 417-421, October 1993.
- [CHE 94a] CHEN S. H., QIU Z., LIU Z., "Perturbation method for computing eigenvalue bounds in structural vibration systems with interval parameters", *Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 10, num. 2, p. 121-134, 1994.
- [CHE 94b] CHEN S.-H., SONG D.-T., MA A.-J., "Eigensolution reanalysis of modified structures using perturbations and Rayleigh quotients", *Communications in Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 10, num. 2, p. 111-119, 1994.
- [CHE 96a] CHEN S. Y., JU M. S., TSUEI Y. G., "Estimation of mass stiffness and damping matrices from frequency response function", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 118, p. 78-82, January 1996.
- [CHE 96b] CHEN S. Y., JU M. S., TSUEI Y. G., "Extraction of normal modes for highly coupled incomplete systems with general damping", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 10, num. 1, p. 93-106, 1996.
- [CHE 06] CHEN S. H., WU X. M., YANG Z. J., "Eigensolution reanalysis of modified structures using epsilon-algorithm", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, vol. 66, num. 13, p. 2115-2130, JUN 25 2006.
- [CHE 08] CHEN K.-F., ZHANG S.-W., "On the impulse response precursor of an ideal linear hysteretic damper", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 312, num. 4-5, p. 576 - 583, 2008.
- [CHE 11] CHEN W.-R., "Bending vibration of axially loaded Timoshenko beams with locally distributed Kelvin-Voigt damping", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 330, num. 13, p. 3040-3056, 2011.
- [CHI 09] CHIROIU V., MUNTEANU L., DONESCU S., "On the Beams with External Auxetic Patches", Advances in Mechanical Engineering, 2009.
- [CHO 04] CHOI K. M., JO H. K., KIM W. H., LEE I.-W., "Sensitivity analysis of non-conservative eigensystems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 274, num. 3-5, p. 997-1011, JUL 22 2004.
- [CHO 07] CHOUCHANE M., GUEDRIA N., SMAOUI H., "Eigensensitivity computation of asymmetric damped systems using an algebraic approach", *Mechanical System and Signal Processing*, vol. 21, num. 7, p. 2761-2776, OCT 2007.
- [CHR 13] CHRONOPOULOS D., ICHCHOU M., TROCLET B., BAREILLE O., "Predicting the broadband vibroacoustic response of systems subject to aeroacoustic loads by a Krylov subspace reduction", *Applied Acoustics*, vol. 74, num. 12, p. 1394 - 1405, 2013.
- [CHU 86] CHUNG K. R., LEE C. W., "Dynamic reanalysis of weakly non-proportionally damped systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 111, p. 37-50, 1986.
- [CLO 76] CLOUGH R. W., MOJTAHEDI S., "Earthquake response analysis considering non-proportional damping", Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, vol. 4, p. 489-496, 1976.
- [CLO 93] CLOUGH R. W., PENZIEN J., Dynamics of Structures, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [COO 01] COOK R. D., MALKUS D. S., PLESHA M. E., WITT R. J., Concepts and Applications of Finite Element Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA, fourth edition, 2001.
- [COR 06a] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "An approximate numerical method for the complex eigenproblem in systems characterised by a structural damping matrix", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 296, num. 1-2, p. 166-182, 2006.
- [COR 06b] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "Longitudinal vibration of a damped rod. Part 1: Complex natural frequencies and mode shapes", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 48, num. 9, p. 969-975, 2006.

- 170 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [COR 06c] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "Computational methods for complex eigenproblems in finite element analysis of structural systems with viscoelastic damping treatments", *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, vol. 195, num. 44-47, p. 6448-6462, 2006.
- [COR 07a] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "Finite element formulations for transient dynamic analysis in structural systems with viscoelastic treatments containing fractional derivative models", *International Journal for Numerical Methods* in Engineering, vol. 69, num. 10, p. 2173-2195, 2007.
- [COR 07b] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "Viscoelastic materials characterisation using the seismic response", *Materials & Design*, vol. 28, num. 7, p. 2054-2062, 2007.
- [COR 08] CORTES F., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "Forced response of a viscoelastically damped rod using the superposition of modal contribution functions", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 315, num. 1-2, p. 58-64, 2008.
- [COR 09] CORTES F., MATEOS M., ELEJABARRIETA M. J., "A direct integration formulation for exponentially damped structural systems", *Computers & Structures*, vol. 87, num. 5-6, p. 391-394, 2009.
- [COU 89] COURANT R., HILBERT D., *Methods of mathematical physics: Volume 1*, John Wiley & Sons, New York., first edition, 1989.
- [CRA 70] CRANDALL S. H., "The role of damping in vibration theory", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 11, num. 1, p. 3-18, 1970.
- [CRA 91] CRANDALL S. H., "The hysteretic damping model in vibration theory", Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, vol. 205, p. 23-28, 1991.
- [CRE 73] CREMER L., HECKL M., Structure-Borne Sound, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Germany, second edition, 1973, Translated by E. E. Ungar.
- [CRI 85] CRIGHTON D. G., "Acoustics of a stiff locally reacting structure", Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series- A, vol. 397, p. 99-120, 1985.
- [CRO 76] CRONIN D. L., "Approximation for determining harmonically excited response of non-classically damped system", ASME Journal of Engineering for Industry, vol. 98, num. 1, p. 43-47, 1976.
- [CRO 90] CRONIN D. L., "Eigenvalue and eigenvector determination of non-classically damped dynamic systems", *Computer and Structures*, vol. 36, num. 1, p. 133-138, 1990.
- [DAL 99] DALENBRING M., "Damping function estimation based on measured vibration frequency responses and finiteelement displacement modes", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 13, num. 4, p. 547-569, 1999.
- [DAW 84] DAWE D., *Matrix and Finite Element Displacement Analysis of Structures*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1984.
- [DAY 01] DAYA E. M., POTIER-FERRY M., "A numerical method for nonlinear eigenvalue problems and application to vibrations of viscoelastic structures", *Computers & Structures*, vol. 79, p. 533-541, 2001.
- [DIC 98] DICKSON L. E., "A new solution of the cubic equation", American Mathematical Monthly, vol. 5, p. 38-39, 1898.
- [DIN 11] DING Y., LAW S. S., "Structural damping identification based on an iterative regularization method", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 330, num. 10, p. 2281-2298, 2011.
- [DIP 11] DI PAOLA M., FAILLA G., SOFI A., ZINGALES M., "A mechanically based approach to non-local beam theories", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 53, num. 9, p. 676-687, 2011.
- [DIP 13] DI PAOLA M., FAILLA G., ZINGALES M., "Non-local stiffness and damping models for shear-deformable beams", *European Journal of Mechanics A-Solids*, vol. 40, p. 69-83, 2013.
- [DUN 79] DUNCAN P. E., TAYLOR R. E., "A note on the dynamic analysis of non-proportionally damped systems", *Earth-quake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, vol. 7, p. 99-105, 1979.
- [EAR 66] EARLS S. W. E., "Theoretical estimation of frictional energy dissipation in a simple lap joint", Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science, vol. 8, num. 2, p. 207-214, 1966.
- [ECK 39] ECKRAT C., YOUNG G., "A principal axis transformation for non-hermitian matrices", *Bulletin of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 45, p. 118-121, February 1939.
- [ELB 09] ELBEHEIRY E., "On eigenproblem solution of damped vibrations associated with gyroscopic moments", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 320, num. 3, p. 691 704, 2009.

- [ELV 13] ELVIN N., ERTURK A., Advances in Energy Harvesting Methods, Springer, New York, USA, 2013.
- [ERL 07] ERLICHER S., ARGOUL P., "Modal identification of linear non-proportionally damped systems by wavelet transform", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 21, num. 3, p. 1386-1421, 2007.
- [ERT 11] ERTURK A., INMAN D. J., Piezoelectric Energy Harvesting: Modelling and Application, Wiley-Blackwell, Sussex, UK, 2011.
- [EWI 84] EWINS D. J., Modal Testing: Theory and Practice, Research Studies Press, Taunton, 1984.
- [EWI 00] EWINS D. J., *Modal Testing: Theory and Practice*, Research Studies Press, Baldock, England, second edition, 2000.
- [FAB 88] FABUNMI J., CHANG P., VORWALD J., "Damping matrix identification using the spectral basis technique", Transaction of ASME, Journal of Vibration, Acoustics, Stress and Reliability in Design, vol. 110, p. 332-337, July 1988.
- [FAI 13] FAILLA G., SANTINI A., ZINGALES M., "A non-local two-dimensional foundation model", Archive of Applied Mechanics, vol. 83, num. 2, p. 253-272, 2013.
- [FAN 94] FANG J., LYONS G. J., "Material damping of free hanging pipes: Theoretical and experimental studies", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 172, num. 3, p. 371-389, 1994.
- [FAW 76] FAWZY I., BISHOP R. E. D., "On the dynamics of linear non-conservative systems", Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series- A, vol. 352, p. 25-40, 1976.
- [FAW 77a] FAWZY I., "Orthogonality of generally normalized eigenvectors and eigenrows", AIAA Journal, vol. 15, num. 2, p. 276-278, February 1977.
- [FAW 77b] FAWZY I., BISHOP R. E. D., "On the nature of resonance in non-conservative systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 55, num. 4, p. 475-485, 1977.
- [FEL 93] FELSZEGHY S. F., "On uncoupling and solving the equations of motion of vibrating linear discrete systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 60, p. 456-462, June 1993.
- [FEL 94] FELSZEGHY S. F., "Development of biorthonormal eigenvectors for modal analysis of linear discrete non-classically damped systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 176, num. 2, p. 225-269, 1994.
- [FOS 58] FOSS K. A., "Co-ordinates which uncouple the equations of motion of damped linear dynamic systems", *Transac*tions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 25, p. 361-364, September 1958.
- [FOX 68] FOX R. L., KAPOOR M. P., "Rates of change of eigenvalues and eigenvectors", AIAA Journal, vol. 6, num. 12, p. 2426-2429, December 1968.
- [FRI 86] FRITZEN C.-P., "Identification of mass, damping and stiffness matrices of mechanical systems", Transaction of ASME, Journal of Vibration, Acoustics, Stress and Reliability in Design, vol. 108, p. 9-16, January 1986.
- [FRI 95a] FRISWELL M. I., "Calculation of second and higher order eigenvector derivatives", Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics, vol. 18, num. 4, p. 919-921, 1995.
- [FRI 95b] FRISWELL M. I., MOTTERSHEAD J. E., Finite Element Model Updating in Structural Dynamics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 1995.
- [FRI 97] FRISWELL M. I., INMAN D. J., LAM M. J., "On the realisation of GHM Models in viscoelasticity", Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, vol. 8, num. 11, p. 986-993, November 1997.
- [FRI 99] FRISWELL M. I., INMAN D. J., "Reduced-order models of structures with viscoelastic components", AIAA Journal, vol. 37, num. 10, p. 1318-1325, October 1999.
- [FRI 00] FRISWELL M. I., ADHIKARI S., "Derivatives of complex eigenvectors using Nelson's method", AIAA Journal, vol. 38, num. 12, p. 2355-2357, December 2000.
- [FRI 01] FRISWELL M. I., MOTTERSHEAD J. E., AHMADIAN H., "Finite element model updating using experimental test data: parametrization and regularization", *Philosophical Transactions of The Royal Society of London Series A - Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 359, num. 1778, p. 169-186, 2001.
- [FRI 07a] FRISWELL M. I., ADHIKARI S., LEI Y., "Nonlocal finite element analysis of damped beams", International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 44, num. 22-23, p. 7564-7576, November 2007.

- 172 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [FRI 07b] FRISWELL M. I., ADHIKARI S., LEI Y., "Vibration analysis of beams with non-local foundations using the finite element method", *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 71, num. 11, p. 1365-1386, September 2007.
- [FRI 10a] FRISWELL M. I., DUTT J. K., ADHIKARI S., LEES A. W., "Time domain analysis of a viscoelastic rotor using internal variable models", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 52, num. 10, p. 1319-1324, 2010.
- [FRI 10b] FRISWELL M. I., PENNY J. E. T., GARVEY S. D., LEES A. W., Dynamics of Rotating Machines, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- [FUN 65] FUNG Y. C., Foundations of Solid Mechanics, Prentice-Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1965.
- [GAL 03] GALLINA P., "Effect of damping on asymmetric systems", *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics-Transactions of the ASME*, vol. 125, num. 3, p. 359-364, 2003.
- [GAR 73] GARG S., "Derivatives of eigensolutions for a general matrix", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 11, num. 8, p. 1191-1194, August 1973.
- [GAR 95] GARVEY S. D., PENNY J. E. T., FRISWELL M. I., "The relationship between the real and imaginary parts of complex modes", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 212, num. 1, p. 75-83, 1995.
- [GAR 02a] GARVEY S. D., FRISWELL M. I., PRELLS U., "Co-ordinate transformations for second order systems. Part I: General transformations", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 258, num. 5, p. 885-909, 2002.
- [GAR 02b] GARVEY S. D., FRISWELL M. I., PRELLS U., "Co-ordinate transformations for, second order systems. Part II: Elementary structure-preserving transformations", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 258, num. 5, p. 911-930, 2002.
- [GAR 04] GARVEY S. D., PRELLS U., FRISWELL M. I., CHEN Z., "General isospectral flows for linear dynamic systems", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 385, p. 335-368, 2004.
- [GAR 11] GARCIA-BARRUETABENA J., CORTES F., ABETE J. M., "Influence of Nonviscous Modes on Transient Response of Lumped Parameter Systems With Exponential Damping", *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics-Transactions of the ASME*, vol. 133, num. 6, p. 064502:1-8, 2011.
- [GAR 12] GARCIA-BARRUETABENA J., CORTES F., ABETE J. M., "Dynamics of an exponentially damped solid rod: Analytic solution and finite element formulations", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 49, num. 3-4, p. 590-598, 2012.
- [GAR 13] GARCÍA-PALENCIA A., SANTINI-BELL E., "A two-step model updating algorithm for parameter identification of linear elastic damped structures", *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, vol. 28, num. 7, p. 509-521, 2013.
- [GAU 91] GAUL L., KLEIN P., KEMPLE S., "Damping description involving fractional operators", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 5, num. 2, p. 81-88, 1991.
- [GAU 99] GAUL L., "The influence of damping on waves and vibrations", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 13, num. 1, p. 1-30, 1999.
- [GAW 97] GAWRONSKI W., SAWICKI J. T., "Response errors of non-proportionally lightly damped structures", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 200, num. 4, p. 543-550, 1997.
- [GEN 07] GENG Z., POPOV A. A., COLE D. J., "Measurement, identification and modelling of damping in pneumatic tyres", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 49, num. 10, p. 1077-1094, 2007.
- [GEN 10] GENTA G., AMATI N., "Hysteretic damping in rotordynamics: An equivalent formulation", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 329, num. 22, p. 4772 4784, 2010.
- [GÉR 97] GÉRADIN M., RIXEN D., *Mechanical Vibrations*, John Wiely & Sons, New York, NY, second edition, 1997, Translation of: Théorie des Vibrations.
- [GOL 85] GOLLA D. F., HUGHES P. C., "Dynamics of viscoelastic structures a time domain finite element formulation", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 52, p. 897-906, December 1985.
- [GON 12] GONZALEZ-LOPEZ S., FERNANDEZ-SAEZ J., "Vibrations in Euler-Bernoulli beams treated with non-local damping patches", Computers & Structures, vol. 108, num. SI, p. 125-134, 2012.
- [GUE 06] GUEDRIA N., SMAOUI H., CHOUCHANE M., "A direct algebraic method for eigensolution sensitivity computation of damped asymmetric systems", *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 68, num. 6, p. 674-689, NOV 5 2006.

- [GUE 07] GUEDRIA N., CHOUCHANE M., SMAOUI H., "Second-order eigensensitivity analysis of asymmetric damped systems using Nelson's method", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 300, num. 3-5, p. 974-992, MAR 6 2007.
- [HAL 99] HALEVI Y., KENIGSBUCH R., "Model updating of the complex modeshapes and the damping matrix", FRISWELL M. I., MOTTERSHEAD J. E., LEES A. W., Eds., *Inverse Problems in Engineering*, Swansea, Wales, UK, p. 251-260, 1999.
- [HAR 98] HARVILLE D. A., Matrix Algebra from a Statistician's Perspective, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [HAS 72] HASSELSMAN T. K., "A method of constructing a full modal damping matrix from experimental measurements", AIAA Journal, vol. 10, num. 4, p. 526-527, 1972.
- [HAS 76] HASSELSMAN T. K., "Modal coupling in lightly damped structures", AIAA Journal, vol. 14, p. 1627-1628, 1976.
- [HOL 12a] HOLLAND D. E., RODGERS J. R., EPUREANU B. I., "Measurement point selection and modal damping identification for bladed disks", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 33, p. 97-108, 2012.
- [HOL 12b] HOLLAND D. E., EPUREANU B. I., FILIPPI S., "Structural damping identification for mistuned bladed disks and blisks", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 134, num. 2, p. 024504:1-3, 2012.
- [HOL 13] HOLLAND D., EPUREANU B., "A component damping identification method for mistuned blisks", Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 2013, in press.
- [HUG 00] HUGHES T. J. R., *The Finite Element Method : Linear Static and Dynamic Finite Element Analysis*, Dover Publications, New York, USA, 2000.
- [HUI 07] HUI-QING X., HUA D., "Derivatives of repeated eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of damped systems", *Applied Mathematics And Mechanics*, vol. 28, num. 6, p. 837-845, JUN 2007.
- [HUS 73] HUSEYIN K., LIEPHOLZ H. H. E., "Divergence instability of multiple parameter circulatory systems", Quarterly Journal of Applied Mathematics, vol. 31, p. 185-197, July 1973.
- [HUS 78] HUSEYIN K., Vibration and Stability of Multiple Parameter Systems, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, The Netherlands, 1978.
- [HWA 93] HWANG J. H., MA F., "On the approximate solution of non-classically damped linear systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 60, p. 695-701, September 1993.
- [IBR 83a] IBRAHIM S. R., "Computation of normal modes from identified complex modes", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 21, num. 3, p. 446-451, March 1983.
- [IBR 83b] IBRAHIM S. R., "Dynamic modeling of structures from measured complex modes", AIAA Journal, vol. 21, num. 6, p. 898-901, June 1983.
- [IBR 89] IBRAHIMBEGOVIC A., WILSON E. L., "Simple numerical algorithms for the mode superposition analysis of linear structural systems with non-proportional damping", *Computer and Structures*, vol. 33, num. 2, p. 523-531, 1989.
- [IBR 95] IBRAHIM S. R., SESTIERI A., "Existence and normalization of complex modes in post experimental use in modal analysis", *Proceedings of the 13th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC)*, vol. 1, Nashville, TN, p. 483-489, 1995.
- [IMR 95] IMREGUN M., EWINS D. J., "Complex modes Origin and limits", Proceedings of the 13th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), Nashville, TN, p. 496-506, 1995.
- [INM 83] INMAN D. J., "Dynamics of asymmetric non-conservative systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 50, p. 199-203, March 1983.
- [INM 89] INMAN D. J., "Vibration analysis of viscoelastic beams by separation of variables and modal analysis", *Mechanics Research Communications*, vol. 16, num. 4, p. 213-218, 1989.
- [INM 03] INMAN D. J., Engineering Vibration, Prentice Hall PTR, NJ, USA, 2003.
- [JON 01] JONES D. I. G., Handbook of Viscoelastic Vibration Damping, Wiley-Blackwell, Sussex, UK, 2001.
- [KAW 11] KAWANO D. T., MORZFELD M., MA F., "The decoupling of defective linear dynamical systems in free motion", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 330, num. 21, p. 5165-5183, 2011.
- [KHA 07] KHALIL M., ADHIKARI S., SARKAR A., "Linear system identification using proper orthogonal decomposition", *Mechanical System and Signal Processing*, vol. 21, num. 8, p. 3123-3145, November 2007.
- [KHA 09] KHALIL M., SARKAR A., ADHIKARI S., "Nonlinear filters for chaotic oscillatory systems", Nonlinear Dynamics, vol. 55, num. 1-2, p. 113-137, January 2009.

- 174 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [KHA 10] KHALIL M., SARKAR A., ADHIKARI S., "Tracking noisy limit cycle oscillation with nonlinear filters", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 329, num. 2, p. 150-170, January 2010.
- [KLI 92] KLIEM W. R., "Symmetrizable systems in mechanics and control theory", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 69, p. 454-456, June 1992.
- [KOR 13] KORUK H., SANLITURK K. Y., "A novel definition for quantification of mode shape complexity", *Journal of Sound* and Vibration, vol. 332, num. 14, p. 3390-3403, 2013.
- [KRE 99] KREYSZIG E., Advanced engineering mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, eigth edition, 1999.
- [KRE 06] KREYSZIG E., Advanced engineering mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, nine edition, 2006.
- [L´12] LÁZARO M., PÉREZ-APARICIO J. L., EPSTEIN M., "Computation of eigenvalues in proportionally damped viscoelastic structures based on the fixed-point iteration", *Applied Mathematics And Computation*, vol. 219, num. 8, p. 3511-3529, 2012.
- [L'13a] LÁZARO M., PEREZ-APARICIO J. L., "Multiparametric computation of eigenvalues for linear viscoelastic structures", *Computers & Structures*, vol. 117, p. 67-81, 2013.
- [L´13b] LÁZARO M., PÉREZ-APARICIO J. L., EPSTEIN M., "A viscous approach based on oscillatory eigensolutions for viscoelastically damped vibrating systems", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 40, num. 2, p. 767-782, 2013, in press.
- [LAL 95] LALLEMENT G., INMAN D. J., "A tutorial on complex eigenvalues", Proceedings of the 13th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), Nashville, TN, p. 490-495, 1995.
- [LAN 61] LANCASTER P., "Expression of damping matrices in linear vibration problems", *Journal of Aerospace Sciences*, vol. 28, Page256, 1961.
- [LAN 66] LANCASTER P., Lambda-matrices and Vibrating System, Pergamon Press, London, 1966.
- [LAZ 59] LAZAN B. J., "Energy dissipation mechanisms in structures with particular reference to material damping", RUZCKA J. E., Ed., Structural Dynamics, Atlantic City, N. J, ASME Annual Meeting, 1959.
- [LAZ 68] LAZAN B. J., Damping of Materials and Members in Structural Mechanics, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1968.
- [LEE 99a] LEE I.-W., KIM D.-O., JUNG H.-H., "Natural frequency and mode shape sensitivities of damped systems: Part I, Distinct natural frequencies", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 223, num. 3, p. 399-412, 1999.
- [LEE 99b] LEE I.-W., KIM D.-O., JUNG H.-H., "Natural frequency and mode shape sensitivities of damped systems: Part II, Multiple natural frequencies", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 223, num. 3, p. 413-424, 1999.
- [LEI 74] LEISSA A. W., "On a curve veering aberration", *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics (ZAMP)*, vol. 25, p. 99-111, 1974.
- [LEI 06] LEI Y., FRISWELL M. I., ADHIKARI S., "A Galerkin method for distributed systems with non-local damping", International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 43, num. 11-12, p. 3381-3400, 2006.
- [LEI 13a] LEI Y., FRISWELL M. I., ADHIKARI S., "Vibration of nonlocal Kelvin-Voigt viscoelastic damped Timoshenko beams", *International Journal of Engineering Science*, vol. 66-67, num. 1, p. 1-13, 2013.
- [LEI 13b] LEI Y., MURMU T., ADHIKARI S., FRISWELL M. I., "Dynamic characteristics of damped viscoelastic nonlocal Euler-Bernoulli beams", European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids, vol. 42, num. 12, p. 125-136, 2013.
- [LES 90] LESIEUTRE G. A., MINGORI D. L., "Finite element modeling of frequency-dependent material properties using augmented thermodynamic fields", AIAA Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics, vol. 13, p. 1040-1050, 1990.
- [LES 92] LESIEUTRE G. A., "Finite elements for dynamic modeling of uniaxial rods with frequency dependent material properties", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, vol. 29, num. 12, p. 1567-1579, 1992.
- [LES 95] LESIEUTRE G. A., BIANCHINI E., "Time-domain modeling of linear viscoelasticy using anelastic displacement fields", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 117, num. 4, p. 425-430, October 1995.
- [LES 96a] LESIEUTRE G. A., GOVINDSWAMY K., "Finite element modeling of frequency-dependent and temperaturedependent dynamic behaviour of viscoelastic material in simple shear", *International Journal of Solids an Structures*, vol. 33, p. 419-432, 1996.
- [LES 96b] LESIEUTRE G. A., LEE U., "A finite element for beams having segmented active constrained layers with frequency-dependent viscoelastics", *Smart Materials and Structures*, vol. 5, num. 5, p. 615-627, 1996.

- [LES 04] LESIEUTRE G. A., OTTMAN G. K., HOFMANN H. F., "Damping as a result of piezoelectric energy harvesting", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 269, num. 3-5, p. 991-1001, 2004.
- [LI 05] LI W., "Evaluation of the damping ratio for a base-excited system by the modulations of responses", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 279, num. 3-5, p. 1181-1194, 2005.
- [LI 09] LI X. Y., LAW S. S., "Identification of structural damping in time domain", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 328, num. 1-2, p. 71-84, 2009.
- [LI 12a] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., LING L., "Computation of eigensolution derivatives for nonviscously damped systems using the algebraic method", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 50, num. 10, p. 2282-2284, OCT 2012.
- [LI 12b] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., "A parallel way for computing eigenvector sensitivity of asymmetric damped systems with distinct and repeated eigenvalues", *Mechanical System and Signal Processing*, vol. 30, p. 61-77, JUL 2012.
- [LI 13a] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., "Improved approximate methods for calculating frequency response function matrix and response of MDOF systems with viscoelastic hereditary terms", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 332, num. 15, p. 3945-3956, 2013.
- [LI 13b] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., "Numerical methods for evaluating the sensitivity of element modal strain energy", *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, vol. 64, p. 13-23, FEB 2013.
- [LI 13c] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., "A study on design sensitivity analysis for general nonlinear eigenproblems", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 34, num. 1-2, p. 88 105, 2013.
- [LI 13d] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., LING L., "Eigensensitivity analysis for asymmetric nonviscous systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 51, num. 3, p. 738-741, 2013.
- [LI 13e] LI L., HU Y., WANG X., LING L., "Eigensensitivity analysis of damped systems with distinct and repeated eigenvalues", *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, vol. 72, p. 21-34, SEP 15 2013.
- [LIA 92] LIANG Z., TONG M., LEE C. G., "Complex modes in damped linear systems", International Journal of Analytical and Experimental Modal Analysis, vol. 7, num. 1, p. 1-20, January 1992.
- [LIA 11] LIANG Z., LEE G. C., DARGUSH G. F., SONG J., Structural Damping: Applications in Seismic Response Modification, Advances in Earthquake Engineering, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2011.
- [LIM 09] DE LIMA A., RADE D., NETO F. L., "An efficient modeling methodology of structural systems containing viscoelastic dampers based on frequency response function substructuring", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 23, num. 4, p. 1272 - 1281, 2009.
- [LIM 10] DE LIMA A., DA SILVA A., RADE D., BOUHADDI N., "Component mode synthesis combining robust enriched Ritz approach for viscoelastically damped structures", *Engineering Structures*, vol. 32, num. 5, p. 1479 - 1488, 2010.
- [LIN 06] LIN R. M., ZHU J., "Model updating of damped structures using FRF data", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 20, num. 8, p. 2200-2218, 2006.
- [LIN 09] LIN R. M., ZHU J., "On the relationship between viscous and hysteretic damping models and the importance of correct interpretation for system identification", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 325, num. 1-2, p. 14-33, 2009.
- [LIU 94] LIU Q. K., SNECKENBERGER J. E., "Free vibration analysis of linear vibrating deficient systems with arbitrary damping", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 177, num. 1, p. 43-55, 1994.
- [LIU 00] LIU K., KUJATH M. R., ZHENG W., "Quantification of non-proportionality of damping in discrete vibratory systems", *Computer and Structures*, vol. 77, num. 5, p. 557-569, 2000.
- [LIU 05] LIU C. Q., "A method of symmetrisation of asymmetric dynamical systems", *Shock and Vibration*, vol. 12, num. 4, p. 309-315, 2005.
- [LIU 07] LIU H., LIU B., YUAN D., RAO J., "Identification for sucker-rod pumping system's damping coefficients based on chain code method of pattern recognition", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 129, num. 4, p. 434-440, 2007.
- [LIU 08a] LIU C.-S., "Identifying time-dependent damping and stiffness functions by a simple and yet accurate method", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 318, num. 1-2, p. 148-165, 2008.
- [LIU 08b] LIU C.-S., "A Lie-Group Shooting Method Estimating Nonlinear Restoring Forces in Mechanical Systems", CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, vol. 35, num. 2, p. 157-180, 2008.

- 176 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [LIU 08c] LIU C.-S., "A lie-group shooting method for simultaneously estimating the time-dependent damping and stiffness coefficients", CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences, vol. 27, num. 3, p. 137-149, 2008.
- [LIU 08d] LIU C.-S., CHANG J.-R., CHANG K.-H., CHEN Y.-W., "Simultaneously estimating the time-dependent damping and stiffness coefficients with the aid of vibrational data", CMC-COMPUTERS MATERIALS & CONTINUA, vol. 7, num. 2, p. 97-107, 2008.
- [LIU 09] LIU C.-S., KUO C.-L., "New methods for the computations of direct and inverse mechanical vibration problems", SAPRI R., Ed., Mechanical Vibrations: Measurement, Effects and Control, p. 953-993, Nova Science Publishers Inc, 2009.
- [LIU 10] LIU M. H., ZHENG G. T., "Component synthesis method for transient response of nonproportionally damped structures", AIAA Journal, vol. 48, num. 11, p. 2556-2563, 2010.
- [LUO 89] LUO D. Z., "A graphic explanation of undamped and damped mode shapes and its application", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 135, p. 351-356, 1989.
- [MA 95] MA F., CAUGHEY T. K., "Analysis of linear conservative vibrations", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 62, p. 685-691, September 1995.
- [MA 04] MA F., NG C. H., "On the orthogonality of natural modes of vibration", *Mechanics Research Communications*, vol. 31, num. 3, p. 295-299, 2004.
- [MA 09] MA F., IMAM A., MORZFELD M., "The decoupling of damped linear systems in oscillatory free vibration", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 324, num. 1-2, p. 408-428, 2009.
- [MA 10] MA F., MORZFELD M., IMAM A., "The decoupling of damped linear systems in free or forced vibration", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 329, num. 15, p. 3182-3202, 2010.
- [MAI 97a] MAIA N. M. M., SILVA J. M. M., Eds., *Theoretical and Experimental Modal Analysis*, Engineering Dynamics Series, Research Studies Press, Taunton, England, 1997, Series Editor, J. B. Robetrs.
- [MAI 97b] MAIA N. M. M., SILVA J. M. M., RIBEIRO A. M. R., "A contribution to understanding the identification of systems with general damping", *Proceedings of the 17th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC)*, vol. 2, p. 1145-1151, 1997.
- [MAI 98] MAIA N. M. M., SILVA J. M. M., RIBEIRO A. M. R., "On a general model for damping", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 218, num. 5, p. 749-767, 1998.
- [MAK 99] MAKRIS N., "Frequency-independent dissipation and causality", SPANOS P., Ed., Computational Stochastic Mechanics, Rotterdam, Balkema, p. 435-441, 1999.
- [MAL 97] MALONE D. P., CRONIN D. L., RANDOLPH T. W., "Eigenvalue and eigenvector determination for damped gyroscopic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 64, p. 710-712, September 1997.
- [MAN 98] MANOHAR C. S., ADHIKARI S., "Dynamic stiffness of randomly parametered beams", Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics, vol. 13, num. 1, p. 39-51, January 1998.
- [MCI 78] MCINTYRE M. E., WOODHOUSE J., "The influence of geometry on damping", Acustica, vol. 39, num. 4, p. 210-224, 1978.
- [MCI 88] MCINTYRE M. E., WOODHOUSE J., "On measuring the elastic and damping constants of orthotropic sheet materials", Acta Metallurgica, vol. 36, num. 6, p. 1397-1416, 1988.
- [MCT 93] MCTAVISH D. J., HUGHES P. C., "Modeling of linear viscoelastic space structures", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 115, p. 103-110, January 1993.
- [MEA 02] MEAD D. J., "Structural damping and damped vibration", *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, vol. 55, num. 6, p. R45-10pp, 2002.
- [MEI 67] MEIROVITCH L., Analytical Methods in Vibrations, Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1967.
- [MEI 80] MEIROVITCH L., Computational Methods in Structural Dynamics, Sijthoff & Noordohoff, Netherlands, 1980.
- [MEI 85] MEIROVITCH L., RYLAND G., "A perturbation technique for gyroscopic systems with small internal and external damping", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 100, num. 3, p. 393-408, 1985.
- [MEI 97] MEIROVITCH L., Principles and Techniques of Vibrations, Prentice-Hall International, Inc., New Jersey, 1997.
- [MEN 12a] MENDIGUREN J., CORTES F., GALDOS L., "A generalised fractional derivative model to represent elastoplastic behaviour of metals", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 65, num. 1, p. 12-17, 2012.

- [MEN 12b] MENTRASTI L., "Exact deflation in the complex modal analysis of low-rank non-classically damped structures", *Engineering Structures*, vol. 45, p. 496-508, DEC 2012.
- [MIN 91] MINAS C., INMAN D. J., "Identification of a nonproportional damping matrix from incomplete modal information", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 113, p. 219-224, April 1991.
- [MIN 12] MIN C., PARK H., PARK S., "Direct identification of non-proportional modal damping matrix for lumped mass system using modal parameters", *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, vol. 26, num. 4, p. 993-1002, 2012.
- [MIT 90] MITCHELL L., "Complex modes: a review", *Proceedings of the 8th International Modal Analysis Conference* (*IMAC*), vol. 2, Kissimmee, Florida, p. 891-899, Jan 29- Feb 1 1990.
- [MOO 04] MOON Y. J., KIM B. W., KO M. G., LEE I.-W., "Modified modal methods for calculating eigenpair sensitivity of asymmetric damped system", *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, vol. 60, num. 11, p. 1847-1860, 2004.
- [MOR 08a] MORZFELD M., AJAVAKOM N., MA F., "A remark about the decoupling approximation of damped linear systems", *Mechanics Research Communications*, vol. 35, num. 7, p. 439-446, 2008.
- [MOR 08b] MORZFELD M., MA F., AJAVAKOM N., "On the decoupling approximation in damped linear systems", Journal of Vibration and Control, vol. 14, num. 12, p. 1869-1884, 2008.
- [MOR 09] MORZFELD M., AJAVAKOM N., MA F., "Diagonal dominance of damping and the decoupling approximation in linear vibratory systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 320, num. 1-2, p. 406-420, 2009.
- [MOR 11a] MORZFELD M., MA F., "The decoupling of damped linear systems in configuration and state spaces", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 330, num. 2, p. 155-161, 2011.
- [MOR 11b] MORZFELD M., MA F., PARLETT B. N., "The transformation of second-order linear systems into independent equations", *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 71, num. 4, p. 1026-1043, 2011.
- [MOT 90] MOTTERSHEAD J. E., "Theory for the estimation of structural vibration parameters from incomplete data", AIAA Journal, vol. 28, num. 3, p. 559-561, 1990.
- [MOT 93] MOTTERSHEAD J. E., FRISWELL M. I., "Model updating in structural dynamics a survey", *Journal of Sound* and Vibration, vol. 167, num. 2, p. 347-375, 1993.
- [MUL 05] MULLER P., "Are the eigensolutions of a 1-d.o.f. system with viscoelastic damping oscillatory or not?", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 285, num. 1-2, p. 501-509, July 2005.
- [MUR 88] MURTHY D. V., HAFTKA R. T., "Derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a general complex matrix", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, vol. 26, num. 2, p. 293-311, 1988.
- [MUR 97a] MURAVYOV A., "Analytical solutions in the time domain for vibration problems of discrete viscoelastic systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 199, num. 2, p. 337-348, 1997.
- [MUR 97b] MURAVYOV A., HUTTON S. G., "Closed-form solutions and the eigenvalue problem for vibration of discrete viscoelastic systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 64, p. 684-691, September 1997.
- [MUR 98a] MURAVYOV A., "Forced vibration responses of a viscoelastic structure", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 218, num. 5, p. 892-907, December 1998.
- [MUR 98b] MURAVYOV A., HUTTON S. G., "Free vibration response characteristics of a simple elasto-hereditary system", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 120, num. 2, p. 628-632, April 1998.
- [MUS 05] MUSCOLINO G., PALMERI A., RICCIARDELLI F., "Time-domain response of linear hysteretic systems to deterministic and random excitations", *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, vol. 34, p. 1129-1147, 2005.
- [NAI 86] NAIR S. S., SING R., "Examination of the validity of proportional damping approximations with two further numerical indices", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 104, p. 348-350, 1986.
- [NAS 85] NASHIF A. D., JONES D. I. G., HENDERSON J. P., Vibration Damping, John Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [NAY 70] NAYLOR V. D., "Some fallacies in modern damping theory", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 11, p. 278-280, 1970.
- [NEL 76] NELSON R. B., "Simplified calculation of eigenvector derivatives", AIAA Journal, vol. 14, num. 9, p. 1201-1205, September 1976.

- 178 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [NEL 79] NELSON H. D., GLASGOW D. A., "Eigenrelations for general second-order systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 17, num. 7, p. 795-797, July 1979.
- [NEW 87] NEWLAND D. E., "On the modal analysis of nonconservative linear systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 112, num. 1, p. 69-96, 1987.
- [NEW 89] NEWLAND D. E., Mechanical Vibration Analysis and Computation, Longman, Harlow and John Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [NIC 87a] NICHOLSON D. W., "Response bounds for non-classically damped mechanical systems under transient loads", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 54, p. 430-433, 1987.
- [NIC 87b] NICHOLSON D. W., "Stable response of non-classically damped mechanical systems", Applied Mechanics Reviews, ASME, vol. 40, num. 6, p. 733-740, June 1987.
- [NIC 96] NICHOLSON D. W., BAOJIU L., "Stable response of non-classically damped mechanical systems II", Applied Mechanics Reviews, ASME, vol. 49, num. 10, Part-2, p. S49-S54, October 1996.
- [NIG 83] NIGAM N. C., Introduction to Random Vibration, The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1983.
- [OLI 96] OLIVETO G., SANTINI A., "Complex modal analysis of a continuous model with radiation damping", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 192, num. 1, p. 15-33, 1996.
- [OME 12] OMENZETTER P., "Sensitivity analysis of the eigenvalue problem for general dynamic systems with application to bridge deck flutter", *Journal of Engineering Mechanics-ASCE*, vol. 138, num. 6, p. 675-682, JUN 2012.
- [OTR 07a] OTRIN M., BOLTEZAR M., "Damped lateral vibrations of straight and curved cables with no axial pre-load", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 300, num. 3-5, p. 676-694, MAR 6 2007.
- [OTR 07b] OTRIN M., BOLTEZAR M., "The vibration over a spatially curved steel wire with an outer band", *Strojniski Vestnik-Journal of Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 53, num. 10, p. 635-656, 2007.
- [OTR 09a] OTRIN M., BOLTEZAR M., "On the modeling of vibration transmission over a spatially curved cable with casing", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 325, num. 4-5, p. 798-815, 2009.
- [OTR 09b] OTRIN M., BOLTEZAR M., "On the modeling of vibration transmission over a spatially curved cable with casing", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 325, num. 4-5, p. 798-815, 2009.
- [PAL 03] PALMERI A., RICCIARDELLI F., LUCA A. D., MUSCOLINO G., "State space formulation for linear viscoelastic dynamic systems with memory", ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 129, num. 7, p. 715-724, July 2003.
- [PAL 04] PALMERI A., RICCIARDELLI F., MUSCOLINO G., LUCA A. D., "Random vibration of systems with viscoelastic memory", ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 130, num. 9, p. 1052-1061, September 2004.
- [PAL 11a] PALMERI A., ADHIKARI S., "A Galerkin-type state-space approach for transverse vibrations of slender doublebeam systems with viscoelastic inner layer", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 330, num. 26, p. 6372-6386, 2011.
- [PAL 11b] PALMERI A., MUSCOLINO G., "A numerical method for the time-domain dynamic analysis of buildings equipped with viscoelastic dampers", *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, vol. 18, num. 5, p. 519–539, 2011.
- [PAN 13] PAN Y., WANG Y., "Frequency-domain analysis of exponentially damped linear systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 332, num. 7, p. 1754-1765, 2013.
- [PAP 12] PAPAI F., ADHIKARI S., WANG B., "Estimation of modal dampings for unmeasured modes", Slovak Journal of Civil Engineering, vol. 20, num. 4, p. 17-27, 2012.
- [PAR 86] PARTER G., SING R., "Quantification of the extent on non-proportional damping discrete vibratory systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 104, num. 1, p. 109-125, 1986.
- [PAR 92a] PARK I. W., KIM J. S., MA F., "On the modal coupling in non-classically damped linear systems", *Mechanics Research Communications*, vol. 13, p. 407-413, 1992.
- [PAR 92b] PARK S., PARK I. W., MA F., "Decoupling approximation of non-classically damped structures", AIAA Journal, vol. 30, num. 9, p. 2348-2351, September 1992.
- [PAR 94] PARK I. W., KIM J. S., MA F., "Characteristics of modal coupling in non-classically damped systems under harmonic excitation", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 61, p. 77-83, March 1994.
- [PAR 99] PARK C. H., INMAN D. J., LAM M. J., "Model reduction of viscoelastic finite element models", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 219, num. 4, p. 619-637, 1999.

- [PAW 13] PAWLAK Z., LEWANDOWSKI R., "The continuation method for the eigenvalue problem of structures with viscoelastic dampers", *Computers & Structures*, vol. 125, num. 9, p. 53 - 61, 2013.
- [PAZ 80] PAZ M., Structural Dynamics: Theory and Computation, Van Nostrand, Reinhold, second edition, 1980.
- [PEA 67] PEARL M. H., "A decomposition theorem for matrices", *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 19, p. 344-349, 1967.
- [PER 95] PERES-DA-SILVA S. S., CRONIN D. L., RANDOLPH T. W., "Computation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of nonclassically damped systems", *Computer and Structures*, vol. 57, num. 5, p. 883-891, 1995.
- [PET 98] PETYT M., Introduction to Finite Element Vibration Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1998.
- [PHA 03] PHANI A. S., "On the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of classical normal modes in damped linear dynamic systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 264, num. 3, p. 741-745, 2003.
- [PHA 04] PHANI A. S., Damping Identification in Linear Vibrations, PhD thesis, Cambridge University Engineering Department, Trumpington street, Cambridge, CB2 1PZ, January 2004.
- [PHA 07] PHANI A. S., WOODHOUSE J., "Viscous damping identification in linear vibration", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 303, num. 3-5, p. 475-500, 2007.
- [PHA 08] PHANI S. A., ADHIKARI S., "Rayleigh quotient and dissipative systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 75, num. 6, p. 061005:1-6, November 2008.
- [PHA 09] PHANI A. S., WOODHOUSE J., "Experimental identification of viscous damping in linear vibration", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 319, num. 3-5, p. 832-849, 2009.
- [PIL 97] PILKEY D. F., INMAN D. J., "Iterative approach to viscous damping matrix identification", Proceedings of the 15th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), vol. 2, p. 1152-1157, 1997.
- [PIL 98] PILKEY D. F., INMAN D. J., "Survey of damping matrix identification", Proceedings of the 16th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), vol. 1, p. 104-110, 1998.
- [PLA 73] PLAUT R. H., HUSEYIN K., "Derivative of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in non-self adjoint systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 11, num. 2, p. 250-251, February 1973.
- [PLA 91] PLACIDI F., POGGI F., SESTIERI A., "Real modes computation from identified modal parameters with estimate of general damping", Proceedings of the 9th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), London, 1991.
- [POM 87] POMMER C., KLIEM W. R., "Simultaneously normalizable matrices", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 94, p. 113-125, 1987.
- [POT 13] POTAPOV V. D., "Stability via nonlocal continuum mechanics", International Journal of Solids and Structures, vol. 50, num. 5, p. 637-641, MAR 1 2013.
- [PRA 09] PRANDINA M., MOTTERSHEAD J. E., BONISOLI E., "An assessment of damping identification methods", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 323, num. 3-5, p. 662-676, 2009.
- [PRA 12a] PRADHAN S., MODAK S. V., "A method for damping matrix identification using frequency response data", Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 33, p. 69-82, 2012.
- [PRA 12b] PRADHAN S., MODAK S. V., "Normal response function method for mass and stiffness matrix updating using complex FRFs", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 32, num. SI, p. 232-250, 2012.
- [PRE 92] PRESS W. H., TEUKOLSKY S. A., VETTERLING W. T., FLANNERY B. P., Numerical Recipes in C, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [PRE 00] PRELLS U., FRISWELL M. I., "A measure of non-proportional damping", Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 14, num. 2, p. 125-137, 2000.
- [PRE 09] PRELLS U., GARVEY S. D., "On the class of strictly isospectral systems", Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, vol. 23, num. 6, p. 2000-2007, 2009.
- [QIA 95] QIAN D., HANSEN J. S., "A Time Domain Substructure Synthesis Method for Viscoelastic Structures", Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 62, p. 407-413, 1995.
- [RAO 71] RAO C. R., MITRA S. K., Generalized Inverse of Matrices and its Applications, John Wiely and Sons, New York, 1971.

- 180 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [RAO 11] RAO S. S., Mechanical Vibrations, Prentice Hall PTR, NJ, USA, 2011.
- [RAY 77] RAYLEIGH J. W., Theory of Sound (two volumes), Dover Publications, New York, 1945 re-issue, second edition, 1877.
- [RAY 85] RAYLEIGH J. W., "A theorem relating to the time-moduli of dissipative systems", *Report of the British Association*, p. 911-912, 1885.
- [REN 97] REN G., ZHENG Z., "A quasi-decoupling approach for nonclassical linear systems in state-space", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 64, p. 946-950, December 1997.
- [ROE 92] ROEMER M. J., MOOK D. J., "Mass, siffness and damping matrix identification: an integrated approach", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 114, p. 358-363, July 1992.
- [ROG 70] ROGERS L. C., "Derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors", AIAA Journal, vol. 8, num. 5, p. 943-944, May 1970.
- [ROS 97] ROSSIKHIN Y. A., SHITIKOVA M. V., "Applications of fractional calculus to dynamic problems of linear and nonlinear hereditary mechanics of solids", *Applied Mechanics Reviews, ASME*, vol. 50, num. 1, p. 15-67, 1997.
- [ROY 09] ROY S., CHAKRABORTY S., "Identification of damping parameters of linear dynamic system", International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics, vol. 9, num. 3, p. 473-487, 2009.
- [RUD 74] RUDISILL C. S., "Derivatives of eigenvalues and eigenvectors for a general matrix", AIAA Journal, vol. 12, num. 5, p. 721-722, May 1974.
- [RUG 00] RUGE P., WAGNER N., "Quadratic time-interpolation versus discontinuous Hamiltonian approach", Acta technica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, vol. 108, num. 1-2, p. 161-175, 2000.
- [RUG 01] RUGE P., "Restricted Padé scheme in computational structural dynamics", Computer and Structures, vol. 79, num. 20-21, p. 1913-1921, August 2001.
- [SCA 70] SCANLAN R. H., "Linear damping models and causality in vibrations", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 13, num. 4, p. 499-503, 1970.
- [SEG 87] SEGALMAN D. J., "Calculation of Damping Matrices for Linearly Viscoelastic Structures", Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 54, p. 585-588, 1987.
- [SEG 06] SEGALMAN D. J., "Modelling joint friction in structural dynamics", Structural Control & Health Monitoring, vol. 13, num. 1, p. 430-453, 2006.
- [SES 94] SESTIERI A., IBRAHIM R., "Analysis of errors and approximations in the use of modal coordinates", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 177, num. 2, p. 145-157, 1994.
- [SHA 88] SHAHRUZ S. M., MA F., "Approximate decoupling of the equations of motion of linear underdamped system", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 55, p. 716-720, September 1988.
- [SHA 89] SHAHRUZ S. M., MA F., "On symmetrizability of asymmetric non-conservative systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 56, p. 474-476, June 1989.
- [SHA 90] SHAHRUZ S. M., "Approximate decoupling of the equations of motion of damped linear systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 136, num. 1, p. 51-64, 1990.
- [SHA 95] SHAHRUZ S. M., "Comments on 'An index of damping non-proportionality for discrete vibrating systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 186, num. 3, p. 535-542, 1995, Letters to the editor.
- [SHA 97] SHAHRUZ S. M., SRIMATSYA P. A., "Approximate solutions of non-classically damped linear systems in normalized and physical coordinates", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 201, num. 2, p. 262-271, 1997.
- [SHE 09] SHEN H., DUAN Z., "A Time-History Analysis Algorithm of a Non-viscously Damped System Using Gauss Precise Integration", DENG H., WANG L., WANG F., LEI J., Eds., Artificial Intelligence and Computational Intelligence, vol. 5855 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, p. 221-230, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.
- [SIE 08] SIEBER J., WAGG D. J., ADHIKARI S., "On the interaction of exponential non-viscous damping with symmetric nonlinearities", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 314, num. 1-2, p. 1-11, 2008.
- [SIL 98] SILVA J. M. M., MAIA N. M. M., Eds., Modal Analysis and Testing: Proceedings of the NATO Advanced Study Institute, NATO Science Series: E: Applied Science, Sesimbra, Portugal, 3-15 May 1998.

- [SIV 09] SIVAPRASAD R., VENKATESHA S., MANOHAR C. S., "Identification of dynamical systems with fractional derivative damping models using inverse sensitivity analysis", *Computers, Materials & Continua*, vol. 9, num. 9, p. 179-207., 2009.
- [SKA 12] SKAROLEK A., "Exponential Damping as an Approach to Internal Hysteretic Damping of Rotor Systems: FEM Model of Timoshenko Rotating Beam with Maxwell-Weichert Damping Model", BERAN J., BÃLEK M., HEJNOVA M., ZABKA P., Eds., Advances in Mechanisms Design, vol. 8 of Mechanisms and Machine Science, p. 253-261, Springer Netherlands, 2012.
- [SLA 93] SLATER J. C., BELVIN W. K., INMAN D. J., "A survey of modern methods for modeling frequency dependent damping in finite element models", *Proceedings of the 11th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC)*, p. 1508-1512, 1993.
- [SMI 06] SMITH D. E., SIDDHI V., "Generalized approach for incorporating normalization conditions in design sensitivity analysis of eigenvectors", AIAA Journal, vol. 44, num. 11, p. 2552-2561, NOV 2006.
- [SOO 83] SOOM A., KIM C., "Roughness-induced dynamic loading at dry boundary-lubricated sliding contacts", ASME Journal of Lubrication Technology, vol. 105, p. 514-517, 1983.
- [SRA 11] SRACIC M. W., ALLEN M. S., "Method for identifying models of nonlinear systems using linear time periodic approximations", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 25, num. 7, p. 2705-2721, 2011.
- [STA 97] STAREK L., INMAN D. J., "A symmetric inverse vibration problem for nonproportional underdamped systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 64, p. 601-605, September 1997.
- [STR 88] STRANG G., *Linear algebra and its applications*, Hardcourt Brace Jovanovich, Orlando, Florida, USA., third edition, 1988.
- [SUA 87] SUAREZ L. E., SING M. P., "Eigenproperties of nonclassically damped primary structure and oscillator systems", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics*, vol. 54, p. 668-673, September 1987.
- [SUA 89] SUAREZ L. E., SING M. P., "Dynamic synthesis of nonclassically damped substructures", ASCE Journal of Engineering Mechanics, vol. 115, num. 1, p. 52-70, 1989.
- [SUL 10] SULTAN C., "Proportional damping approximation using the energy gain and simultaneous perturbation stochastic approximation", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 24, num. 7, p. 2210-2224, OCT 2010.
- [SUL 13] SULTAN C., "Decoupling approximation design using the peak to peak gain", *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, vol. 36, num. 2, p. 582-603, APR 2013.
- [SUN 95] SUN C. T., LU Y. P., Vibration Damping of Structural Elements, Prentice Hall PTR, NJ, USA, 1995.
- [TAN 97] TAN G. B., Non-Linear Vibration of Cable-Deployed Space Structures, PhD thesis, Cambridge University Engineering Department, Cambridge, UK, 1997.
- [TAU 59] TAUSSKY O., ZASSENHAUS H., "On the similarity transformation between a matrix and its transpose", Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 9, p. 893-896, 1959.
- [TAU 68] TAUSSKY O., "Positive definite matrices and their role in the study of the characteristic roots of general matrices", Advances in Mathematics, vol. 2, p. 175-186, 1968.
- [TAU 72] TAUSSKY O., "The role of symmetric matrices in the study of general matrices", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 5, p. 147-154, 1972.
- [THO 69] THOMPSON R. C., "On Pearl's paper 'A decomposition theorem for matrices", *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, vol. 12, p. 805-808, 1969.
- [THO 74] THOMSON W. T., CALKINS C., CARAVANI P., "A numerical study of damping", *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, vol. 3, p. 97-103, 1974.
- [TIS 01] TISSEUR F., MEERBERGEN K., "The quadratic eigenvalue problem", *SIAM Review*, vol. 43, num. 2, p. 235-286, 2001.
- [TIS 11] TISSEUR F., GARVEY S. D., MUNRO C., "Deflating quadratic matrix polynomials with structure preserving transformations", *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 435, num. 3, SI, p. 464-479, 2011.
- [TON 92] TONG M., LIANG Z., LEE G. C., "On non-proportionality of generally damped systems", Proceedings of the 10th International Modal Analysis Conference (IMAC), San Diego, CA, USA, p. 1302-1308, 1992.

- 182 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models
- [TON 94] TONG M., LIANG Z., LEE G. C., "An index of damping non-proportionality for discrete vibrating systems", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 174, p. 37-55, 1994.
- [TOR 87] TORVIK P. J., BAGLEY R. L., "Fractional derivatives in the description of damping: materials and phenomena", *The Role of Damping in Vibration and Noise Control*, ASME DE-5, p. 125-135, 1987.
- [TRI 00] TRINDADE M. A., BENJEDDOU A., OHAYON R., "Modeling of frequency-dependent viscoelastic materials for active-passive vibration damping", *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics - Transactions of The ASME*, vol. 122, num. 2, p. 169-174, 2000.
- [TRI 02] TRINKS C., RUGE P., "Treatment of dynamic systems with fractional derivatives without evaluating memoryintegrals", *Computational Mechanics*, vol. 29, num. 6, p. 471-476, 2002.
- [TRI 06] TRINDADE M. A., "Reduced-order finite element models of viscoelastically damped beams through internal variables projection", *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics - Transactions of The ASME*, vol. 128, num. 4, p. 501-508, 2006.
- [TSA 09] TSAI T.-C., TSAU J.-H., CHEN C.-S., "Vibration analysis of a beam with partially distributed internal viscous damping", *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, vol. 51, num. 11-12, p. 907-914, 2009.
- [UDW 90] UDWADIA F. E., ESFANDIARI R. S., "Non-classically damped dynamic systems: An iterative approach", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 57, p. 423-433, June 1990.
- [UDW 09] UDWADIA F. E., "A Note on Nonproportional Damping", *Journal of Engineering Mechanics-ASCE*, vol. 135, num. 11, p. 1248-1256, 2009.
- [UNG 62] UNGAR E. E., KERWIN E. M., "Loss factors of viscoelastic systems in terms of energy concepts", *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, vol. 34, num. 7, p. 954-957, July 1962.
- [UNG 73] UNGAR E. E., "The status of engineering knowledge concerning the damping of built-up structures", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 26, p. 141-154, 1973.
- [UNG 00] UNGAR E. E., "Damping by viscoelastic layers", *Applied Mechanics Reviews, ASME*, vol. 53, num. 6, p. R33-R38, June 2000.
- [VAS 06] VASQUES C. M. A., MACE B. R., GARDONIO P., RODRIGUES J. D., "Arbitrary active constrained layer damping treatments on beams: Finite element modelling and experimental validation", *Computers & Structures*, vol. 84, num. 22-23, p. 1384 - 1401, 2006.
- [VAS 10a] VASQUES C. M. A., MOREIRA R. A. S., RODRIGUES J. D., "Viscoelastic damping technologies Part I: Modeling and finite element implementation", *Journal of Advanced Research in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 1, num. 2, p. 76-95, 2010.
- [VAS 10b] VASQUES C. M. A., MOREIRA R. A. S., RODRIGUES J. D., "Viscoelastic damping technologies Part II: Experimental identification procedure and validation", *Journal of Advanced Research in Mechanical Engineering*, vol. 1, num. 2, p. 76-95, 2010.
- [VAS 11] VASQUES C. M. A., CARDOSO L. C., "Viscoelastic Damping Technologies: Finite Element Modeling and Application to Circular Saw Blades", VASQUES C., DIAS RODRIGUES J., Eds., Vibration and Structural Acoustics Analysis, p. 207-264, Springer Netherlands, 2011.
- [VEL 86] VELETSOS A. S., VENTURA C. E., "Modal analysis of non-classically damped linear systems", Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, vol. 14, p. 217-243, 1986.
- [VES 11] VESELIC K., Damped Oscillations of Linear Systems: A Mathematical Introduction, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, New York, USA, 2011.
- [VIG 86] VIGNERON F. R., "A natural modes model and modal identities for damped linear structures", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 53, p. 33-38, March 1986.
- [VIN 03] VINOKUR R., "The relationship between the resonant and natural frequency for non-viscous systems", Journal of Sound and Vibration, vol. 267, p. 187-189, 2003.
- [WAG 03] WAGNER N., ADHIKARI S., "Symmetric state-space formulation for a class of non-viscously damped systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 41, num. 5, p. 951-956, 2003.
- [WAN 13] WANG Y., INMAN D. J., "Finite element analysis and experimental study on dynamic properties of a composite beam with viscoelastic damping", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2013, in press.

- [WAR 77] WARBURTON G. B., SONI S. R., "Errors in response calculations for non-classically damped structures", *Earth-quake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, vol. 5, p. 365-376, 1977.
- [WIL 39] WILLIAMSON J., "Note on a principal axis transformation for non-hermitian matrices", Bulletin of American Mathematical Society, vol. 45, p. 920-922, 1939.
- [WIL 88] WILKINSON J. H., The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1988.
- [WOO 98] WOODHOUSE J., "Linear damping models for structural vibration", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, vol. 215, num. 3, p. 547-569, 1998.
- [XIE 08] XIE H., DAI H., "Calculation of derivatives of multiple eigenpairs of unsymmetrical quadratic eigenvalue problems", International Journal of Computer Mathematics, vol. 85, num. 12, p. 1815-1831, 2008.
- [XIE 12] XIE H., "An iterative method for partial derivatives of eigenvectors of quadratic eigenvalue problems", BIT Numerical Mathematics, vol. 52, num. 2, p. 525-536, JUN 2012.
- [XIE 13] XIE H., "Simultaneous iterative method for eigenpair derivatives of damped systems", *AIAA Journal*, vol. 51, num. 1, p. 236-239, JAN 2013.
- [XU 10] XU T., XU T., ZUO W., HAO L., "Fast sensitivity analysis of defective system", Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 217, num. 7, p. 3248-3256, DEC 1 2010.
- [XUE 08] XUE-CHUAN Z., YONG-JUN L., JIAN-PING Z., "Strain analysis of nonlocal viscoelastic Kelvin bar in-tension", *Applied Mathematics and Mechanics-English Edition*, vol. 29, num. 1, p. 67-74, 2008.
- [YAE 87] YAE K. H., INMAN D. J., "Response bounds for linear underdamped systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Applied Mechanics, vol. 54, p. 419-423, June 1987.
- [YAN 93] YANG B., "Exact receptances of non-proportionally damped dynamic systems", Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 115, p. 47-52, January 1993.
- [YAN 98] YANG B., WU X., "Modal expansion of structural systems with time delays", AIAA Journal, vol. 36, num. 12, p. 2218-2224, 1998.
- [YAN 12] YANG S., SRACIC M. W., ALLEN M. S., "Two algorithms for mass normalizing mode shapes from impact excited continuous-scan laser doppler vibrometry", *Transactions of ASME, Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, vol. 134, num. 2, p. 021004:1-8, 2012.
- [YIU 93] YIU Y. C., "Finite element analysis of structures with classical viscoelastic materials", 34th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics & Materials Conference, vol. 4, La Jolla, CA, USA, p. 2110-2119, April 1993.
- [YIU 94] YIU Y. C., "Substructure and finite element formulation for linear viscoelastic materials", 35th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics & Materials Conference, vol. 3, Hilton Head, SC, USA, p. 1585-1594, April 1994.
- [YUK 05] YUKSEL S., DALLI U., "Longitudinally vibrating elastic rods with locally and non-locally reacting viscous dampers", *Shock and Vibration*, vol. 12, num. 2, p. 109-118, 2005.
- [ZEN 95] ZENG Q. H., "Highly accurate modal method for calculating eigenvector derivatives in viscous damping systems", AIAA Journal, vol. 33, num. 4, p. 746-751, April 1995.
- [ZHA 04] ZHANG Z. Y., ZHANG H. S., "Eigensensitivity analysis of a defective matrix with zero first-order eigenvalue derivatives", AIAA Journal, vol. 42, num. 1, p. 114-123, 2004.
- [ZHA 07a] ZHANG J., ZHENG G. T., "The Biot model and its application in viscoelastic composite structures", Journal of Vibration and Acoustics, vol. 129, p. 533 - 540, 2007.
- [ZHA 07b] ZHANGPING L., JINWU X., "Novel modal method for efficient calculation of complex eigenvector derivatives", AIAA Journal, vol. 45, num. 6, p. 1406-1414, JUN 2007.
- [ZHA 11] ZHANG Z.-Y., "Approximate method for eigensensitivity analysis of a defective matrix", *Journal of Computational* and Applied Mathematics, vol. 235, num. 9, p. 2913-2927, MAR 1 2011.
- [ZHI 06] ZHI J. Y., SU H. C., WU X. M., "A method for modal reanalysis of topological modifications of structures", International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, vol. 65, num. 13, p. 2203-2220, 2006.
- [ZHO 95] ZHOU K., DOYLE J. C., GLOVER K., Robust and Optimal Control, Prentice-Hall Inc, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, 1995.

[ZIE 91] ZIENKIEWICZ O. C., TAYLOR R. L., The Finite Element Method, McGraw-Hill, London, fourth edition, 1991.

Author index

Abdelhamid, M. K. 200, 204	Argoul, P. 19	Bert, C. W. 3, 10, 305
Abete, J. M. 8, 17	Arora, V. 19	Bhaskar, A. 13–15, 348, 351, 360
Abramowitz, M. 73	Aviles, R. 11, 186	Bhattacharyya, B. B. 134
Abu-Alshaikh, I. 8	Baburaj, V. 10, 227	Bianchini, E. 9, 175, 185
Abu-Mallouh, R. 8	Bagley, R. L. 9, 10, 175, 185, 363	Bilbao, A. 11, 186
Abuazoum, L. A. 14, 22	Bai, Z. 37	Biot, M. A. 6, 10, 105, 147, 174, 363, 365
Adelman, H. M. 213 Adhikari S 3 7–11 13–20 22 41	Balmès, E. 14, 246, 265, 288, 293, 353, 367	Bishop, R. E. D. 6, 15, 16, 114, 136, 154–156, 160, 199
42, 71, 107, 168, 170, 175, 183, 186, 187, 199, 235, 246, 293	Bandstra, J. P. 3, 6, 8, 10, 305	Bland, D. R. 4, 135
361, 366, 368	Banks, H. T. 7, 20, 227, 305	Boltezar, M. 8, 11
Agirrebeitia, J. 11, 186	Baojiu, L. 15	Bonisoli, E. 20
Ahmadian, H. 4, 21	Bareille, O. 37	Bouhaddi, N. 17
Ahmadian, M. 15, 200, 204, 207	Baruch, M. 19	Brandon, J. A. 21
Ajavakom, N. 14, 168	Bathe, KJ. 4, 27, 37	Brown, D. L. 248
Ajuria, G. 11, 186	Beards, C. xiii, 5	Buhariwala, K. J. 10
Akkurt, F. G. 8	Beards, C. F. 3, 10, 227, 305	Burchett, B. T. 22
Ali, S. F. 3	Béliveau, JG. 12, 19	BÃlek, M. 8
Allemang, R. J. 248	Bellman, R. 41, 43, 189	Calim, F. F. 8
Allen, M. S. 18, 20	Bellos, J. 13, 15, 343, 351	Calkins, C. 12, 343
Alvin, K. F. 19	Belvin, W. K. 9, 363	Capecchi, D. 108
Amati, N. 7, 16	Benjeddou, A. 16	Caravani, P. 12, 19, 343
Andrianne, T. 20	Beran, J. 8	Cardoso, L. C. 5

Carpinteri, A. 11	Cook, R. D. 4	Earls, S. W. E. 3, 10, 227, 305
Caughey, T. K. 10, 11, 14, 18, 41,	Cortes, F. 8, 17, 20	Eckrat, C. 202
42, 63, 183, 187, 199, 204, 216, 245, 265	Courant, R. 65	ElBeheiry, E. 12, 168
Cavacece, M. 20	Crandall, S. H. 5, 6	Elejabarrieta, M. J. 8, 17, 20
Cha, P. D. 21	Cremer, L. 10, 71, 306, 363, 365	Elishakoff, I. 6
Chakrabarti, S. 134	Crighton, D. G. 269	Elvin, N. 3
Chakraborty, S. 20	Cronin, D. L. 14, 15, 343	Epstein, M. 17
Chang, JR. 20	da Silva, A. 17	Epureanu, B. 20
Chang, KH. 20	Dai, H. 22	Epureanu, B. I. 20
Chang, P. 19	Dalenbring, M. 20	Erlicher, S. 19
Chang, SY. 11	Dalli, U. 8	Erturk, A. 3
Chassiakos, A. G. 10, 18	Dargush, G. F. 5	Esfandiari, R. S. 13, 168
Chen, CS. 8	Dawe, D. 4	Ewins, D. J. 14, 18, 246, 248, 265, 293, 351, 367
Chen, KF. 6	Daya, E. M. 175, 186	Fabunmi, J. 19
Chen, SH. 21	de Felice, G. 108	Failla, G. 8
Chen, S. Y. 19, 246, 265, 353	de Lima, A. 17	Fang, J. 20, 305
Chen, WR. 8	Deng, H. 17	Fawzy, I. 15, 154–156, 159, 160,
Chen, YW. 20	Di Paola, M. 8	199, 291, 344
Chen, Z. 14	Dias Rodrigues, J. 5	Felszeghy, S. F. 13
Cherng, A. 200, 204	Dickson, L. E. 90	Fernandez-Saez, J. 8
Chiroiu, V. 8	Dimitriadis, G. 20	Filippi, S. 20
Choi, K. M. 22	Ding, Y. 20	Flannery, B. P. 136
Chou, SH. 15, 200, 204, 207	Donescu, S. 8	F.Masri, S. 10, 18
Chouchane, M. 22	Doyle, J. C. 285	Foss, K. A. 12, 291
Chronopoulos, D. 37	du Bois, J. L. 235	Fox, R. L. 21, 213, 218, 221, 225
Chung, K. R. 15	Duan, Z. 17	Friswell, M. I. 4, 8, 14, 16, 19, 21, 22, 147, 186, 248, 351
Clough, R. W. 15, 27, 343	Duncan, P. E. 15	Fritzen, CP. 19
Cole, D. J. 19	Dutt, J. K. 16	Fung, Y. C. 265

Galdos, L. 8	Henderson, J. P. xiii, 5, 18, 305	Jung, HH. 22, 222
Gallina, P. 15	Hilbert, D. 65	Kapoor, M. P. 21, 213, 218, 221, 225
Garcia-Barruetabena, J. 8, 17	Hofmann, H. F. 3	Kawano D T 14
García-Palencia, A. 20	Holland, D. 20	Kample S 0.263
Gardonio, P. 16	Holland, D. E. 20	Kenigebuch P 10
Garg, S. 21	Hu, Y. 17, 22	Kemigsbuch, K. 19
Garvey, S. D. 4, 14, 22	Hua, D. 22	Khalil M 18 19
Gaul, L. 5, 9, 363	Hughes, P. C. 9, 10, 16, 71, 107,	Kim. B. W. 22
Gawronski, W. 13, 216, 343	114, 135, 136, 147, 175, 185, 228, 235, 339, 355	Kim, C. 199
Geng, Z. 19	Hughes, T. J. R. 4	Kim, DO. 22, 222
Genta, G. 7, 16	Hui-qing, X. 22	Kim, J. S. 13, 216, 343
Géradin, M. 4, 10, 15, 16, 27, 29,	Huseyin, K. 21, 199, 200, 203, 204	Kim, W. H. 22
144, 215, 254	Hutton, S. G. 7, 71, 76, 107, 174,	Klein, P. 9, 363
Glasgow, D. A. 12	175, 185	Kliem, W. R. 199, 201, 206, 207
Glover, K. 285	Hwang, J. H. 13, 343	Ko, M. G. 22
Golla, D. F. 9, 10, 16, 107, 135, 147, 175, 185, 228, 235, 339	Ibrahim, R. 12, 14, 154, 155, 216, 229, 265, 291, 344	Koruk, H. 14
Gonzalez-Lopez, S. 8	Ibrahim, S. R. 14, 19, 246, 265, 353	Kreyszig, E. 31, 34, 107, 108
Govindswamy K 9	Ibrahimbegovic, A. 13, 168	Kujath, M. R. 14, 352, 355
Guadria N. 22	Ichchou, M. 37	Kundra, T. K. 19
Ucuria, N. 22	Imam, A. 14	Kuo, CL. 20
Напка, К. 1. 21, 213, 230	Imregun, M. 14, 246, 351	Lallement, G. 14
Halevi, Y. 19	Inman, D. J. 3, 4, 7–9, 13–20, 27, 29, 147, 186, 200, 206, 227, 268, 200, 208, 305, 242, 351, 363	Lam, M. J. 16, 147, 186
Hansen, J. S. 175, 186		Lancaster, P. 12, 15, 19, 144, 153,
Hao, L. 22	$\operatorname{Lion ping} 7^{9}$	288
Harville, D. A. 249	Jian-ping, Z. 8	Law, S. S. 20
Hasselsman, T. K. 12, 13, 19, 168,	JinWu, X. 22	Lazan, B. J. 4, 10, 305
343	Jo, H. K. 22	Lázaro, M. 17
Heckl, M. 10, 71, 306, 363, 365	Jones, D. I. G. xiii, 5, 18, 305	Lee, C. G. 14
Hejnova, M. 8	Ju, M. S. 19, 246, 265, 353	Lee, C. W. 15

Lee, G. C. 5, 14, 351	Lyon, R. H. 6	Mook, D. J. 19
Lee, IW. 22, 222	Lyons, G. J. 20, 305	Moon, Y. J. 22
Lee, U. 9	Ma, AJ. 21	Moreira, R. A. S. 5, 16, 20
Lees, A. W. 4, 16, 19	Ma, F. 12–15, 168, 183, 199, 200,	Morzfeld, M. 14, 168
Lei, J. 17	204, 206, 207, 216, 343	Mottershead, J. E. 4, 19–21, 248
Lei, Y. 8	Mace, B. R. 16	Muller, P. 7, 186
Leissa, A. W. 224	Maia, N. M. M. 9, 20, 248, 363	Munro, C. 14
Lesieutre, G. A. 3, 8–10, 175, 185	Makris, N. 6	Munteanu, L. 8
Lewandowski, R. 17	Malkus, D. S. 4	Muravyov, A. 7, 16, 71, 76, 107,
Li, L. 17, 22	Malone, D. P. 15	135, 174, 175, 185, 306
Li. W. 19	Manohar, C. S. 7, 20	Murmu, T. 8
Li X Y 20	Mateos, M. 17	Murthy, D. V. 21, 230
Liang 7 5 14 351	Matsukai, Y. 10, 227	Muscolino, G. 7, 16, 186
Liang, 2. 5, 14, 551	McIntyre, M. E. 69	Nair, S. S. 13, 351
Liepholz, H. H. E. 199, 200	McTavish, D. J. 9, 10, 16, 71, 107, 114, 136, 147, 175, 185, 235, 339, 355	Nashif, A. D. xiii, 5, 18, 305
Lieven, N. A. J. 255		Naylor, V. D. 5
Lin, R. M. 11, 19	Mead, D. J. 5	Nelson, H. D. 12
Ling, L. 22	Meerbergen, K. 168	Nelson, R. B. 21, 213, 215, 222
Liu, B. 19, 20	Meirovitch, L. 4, 10–12, 15, 16, 27,	Neto, F. L. 17
Liu, C. Q. 15	29, 64, 69, 111, 167, 176, 187	Newland, D. E. 4–6, 11, 27, 29, 64, 107, 146, 147, 149, 215, 291, 348
Liu, CS. 20	Mendiguren, J. 8	Ng C H 14
Liu, H. 19, 20	Mentrasti, L. 13	Nicholson D W 15
Liu, K. 14, 352, 355	Min, C. 20	Nicrom N. C. 150
Liu, M. H. 15	Minas, C. 19	Observer D. 16
Liu, Q. K. 14	Mingori, D. L. 9, 10, 175, 185	Onayon, K. 10
Liu, Z. 21	Mitchell, L. 14	183, 187, 216, 245, 265
Lu, Y. P. xiii, 5	Mitra, S. K. 116	Oliveto, G. 14
Luca, A. D. 16, 186	Modak, S. V. 20	Omenzetter, P. 22
Luo, D. Z. 348	Mojtahedi, S. 15, 343	Otrin, M. 8, 11

Ottman, G. K. 3	Poggi, F. 14	Rogers, L. C. 21
Palmeri, A. 7, 8, 16, 186	Pommer, C. 201, 207	Rossikhin, Y. A. 9, 363
Pan, Y. 8, 17	Popov, A. A. 19	Roy, S. 20
Papai, F. 11	Potapov, V. D. 8	Rudisill, C. S. 21
Park, C. H. 16	Potier-Ferry, M. 175, 186	Ruge, P. 17, 123
Park, H. 20	Pradhan, S. 20	Ruzcka, J. E. 10
Park, I. W. 13, 216, 343	Prandina, M. 20	Ryland, G. 15
Park, K. C. 19	Prells, U. 14, 351	Sabater, A. B. 21
Park, S. 13, 20, 343	Press, W. H. 136	Sanliturk, K. Y. 14
Parlett, B. N. 14	Price, W. G. 6, 16, 114, 136, 199	Santini, A. 8, 14
Parter, G. 13, 351	Pugno, N. 11	Santini-Bell, E. 20
Pascual, B. 7, 17	Qian, D. 175, 186	Sapora, A. 11
Pawlak, Z. 17	Qiu, Z. 21	Sapri, R. 20
Paz, M. 4, 27, 29	Rade, D. 17	Sarkar, A. 18, 19
Pearl, M. H. 202	Ramadan, K. 8	Sawicki, J. T. 13, 216, 343
Penny, J. E. T. 4, 14	Randolph, T. W. 15	Scanlan, R. H. 4, 5
Penzien, J. 27	Rao, C. R. 116	Segalman, D. J. 3, 186, 187
Peres-Da-Silva, S. S. 15	Rao, J. 19, 20	Sestieri, A. 12, 14, 154, 155, 216, 229, 265, 291, 344
Pérez-Aparicio, J. L. 17	Rao, S. S. 27, 29	Shahruz, S. M. 12–15, 168, 183, 200, 204, 206, 207, 343, 351
Peterson, L. D. 19	Rayleigh, J. W. 4, 8, 12, 37, 41, 42,	Shen, H. 17
Petyt, M. 4, 27	04, 00, 09, 71, 108, 213, 200, 555	Shitikova, M. V. 9, 363
Phani, A. 11	Ren, G. 12	Siddhi, V. 21
Phani, A. S. 11, 19, 255	Ribeiro, A. M. R. 9, 20, 363	Sieber, J. 7
Phani, S. A. 13	Ricciardelli, F. 16, 186	Silva, J. M. M. 9, 20, 248, 363
Pilkey, D. F. 18, 19, 268, 290, 298	Rixen, D. 4, 10, 15, 16, 27, 29, 144, 215, 254	Sing, M. P. 12
Placidi, F. 14	Rodgers, J. R. 20	Sing, R. 13, 351
Plaut, R. H. 21	Rodrigues, J. D. 5, 16, 20	Singh, S. P. 19
Plesha, M. E. 4	Roemer, M. J. 19	Sivaprasad, R. 20

Skarolek, A. 8	Thompson, R. C. 205	Wang, B. 11
Slater, J. C. 9, 363	Thomson, W. T. 12, 19, 343	Wang, F. 17
Smaoui, H. 22	Tisseur, F. 14, 168	Wang, L. 17
Smith, D. E. 21	Tong, M. 14, 351	Wang, X. 17, 22
Smyth, A. W. 10, 18	Torvik, P. J. 9, 10, 175, 185, 363	Wang, Y. 8, 17, 20
Sneckenberger, J. E. 14	Trindade, M. A. 16	Warburton, G. B. 12, 343
Sofi, A. 8	Trinks, C. 17	Wilkinson, J. H. 37, 64, 65, 69, 172, 183
Song, DT. 21	Troclet, B. 37	Williams, J. L. 3, 10, 227, 305
Song, J. 5	Tsai, TC. 8	Williamson, J. 201
Soni, S. R. 12, 343	Tsau, JH. 8	Wilson, E. L. 4, 13, 64, 168
Soom, A. 199	Tsuei, Y. G. 19, 246, 265, 353	Witt, R. J. 4
Spanos, P. 6	Udwadia, F. E. 13, 168, 171	Woodhouse, J. 9, 10, 15, 17, 19, 20, 66, 67, 69, 71, 107, 140, 144
Sracic, M. W. 18, 20	Ungar, E. E. 5, 250, 305	186, 307
Srimatsya, P. A. 13, 343	Valentini, P. P. 20	Wu, X. 142
Starek, L. 19	Vasques, C. 5	Wu, X. M. 21
Stegun, I. A. 73	Vasques, C. M. A. 5, 16, 20	Xie, H. 22
Strang, G. 64, 65, 69	Veletsos A S 11	Xu, T. 22
Su, H. C. 21	Velesos, A. S. 11	Xue-chuan, Z. 8
Su, Y. 37	Venkatesha, S. 20	Yae, K. H. 15
Suarez I F 12	Ventura, C. E. 11	Yang, B. 15, 142
Subre C 11	Veselic, K. 5	Yang, S. 20
Sultan, C. 11	Vetterling, W. T. 136	Yang, Z. J. 21
Sun, C. T. xiii, 5	Vigneron, F. R. 12, 15, 144, 154,	Yiu, Y. C. 16
Swamy, M. N. S. 134	229, 291, 344	Yong-jun, L. 8
Tan, G. B. 9	Vinokur, R. 87	Young, G. 202
Taussky, O. 199–201	Vita, L. 20	Yuan, D. 19, 20
Taylor, R. E. 15	Vorwald, J. 19	Yuksel, S. 8
Taylor, R. L. 4, 103	Wagg, D. J. 7	Zabka, P. 8
Teukolsky, S. A. 136	Wagner, N. 16, 17, 71, 123, 175	Zassenhaus, H. 200
Zeng, Q. H. 22	Zheng, G. T. 15, 16, 20, 174	Zibdeh, H. S. 8
-----------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------
Zhang, H. S. 21	Zheng, W. 14, 352, 355	
Zhang, J. 16, 20, 174	Zheng, Z. 12	Zienkiewicz, O. C. 4, 103
Zhang, SW. 6	Zhi, J. Y. 21	Zingales, M. 8
Zhang, Zy. 22	Zhou, K. 285	
ZhangPing, L. 22	Zhu, J. 11, 19	Zuo, W. 22

Index

A

Acceleration 30, 32 ADF model 175 Adjoint matrix 141 Adjoint modes 203 after-effect functions 9 Alternative normalisation methods numerical examples 360 Theory 357 Amount of nonviscosity 363 Amplitude damped SDOF response 34 undamped SDOF response 31 Anelastic Displacement Field 8 Asymmetric matrix 11 Asymmetric undamped systems 204 Axially vibrating rod 127

B

Backsubstitution 127 Biorthogonality relationship 12 Biorthogonal transformation 200 Biorthonormal eigenvectors 217 Biot model 197 Biot viscoelastic model 16 Bladed disks 213 Block-diagonal matrices 110

С

Cardanos formula 74 Caughey and O'Kelly's condition 41, 63, 216, 245 Caughey series 42, 43, 135, 264 Caughey series Method 254 Causal model 6 Characteristic equation 25, 48, 74, 80, 84, 136, 142, 145, 151, 161, 165, 176, 178, 183, 187, 188 undamped SDOF systems 30, 32 Characteristic equationviscously damped SDOF systems 32 Characteristic polynomial 12, 56, 136, 151, 161, 176, 179, 182, 339

Characteristic time constant 105, 270, 279, 310, 313, 321-323 Cholesky decomposition 127 Classical damping 24, 41 Classical modal analysis 103, 203 Classical normal modes 24, 41 nonviscously damped systems 134 viscously damped systems 11, 41 commute in product 41 Complex conjugate pair 176 nonviscously damped systems 73 viscously damped systems 33 Complex conjugate pairs 30, 33 Complex frequencies 58 Complex modes 246 Computational complexity 172 Congruence transformation 134, 200 Constrained error minimisation 26 Constrained laver sandwich beams 8 Convolution integral 9, 23, 24, 31, 34, 51, 105, 130, 145, 165, 227, 243, 269, 306, 362 Convolution integral approach 363 Convolution theorem 31 Coulomb damping 6 Critical damping factor 32 nonviscous 77 Critical damping factors 72 Critically damped motion 33 Critically damped system nonviscously damped system 75 viscously damped system 33 Cubic equation 73 Curve-veering 216 Cyclic loading 10

D

Damped asymmetric systems 206 Damped natural frequency MDOF systems 46 SDOF systems 33 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 194 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models

Damping identification SDOF energy dissipation 18 harmonic response of the system 18 transient response of the system 18 Damping kernel functions 105 Damping matrix 40 modal coordinates 41 Damping ratio 24 Decay rate 78, 82 Determinant of dynamic stiffness matrix 141 Diagonal dominance 67, 69, 70, 139, 166, 168, 172 Diagonally dominant 12, 139, 171, 190 Diagonally dominant matrix 343 Differentiable function 213 Dirac-delta function 9 Dirac delta function 31, 34 Discrete Fourier Transform 17 **Discriminant** 75 Dissipation coordinates 108, 193 Dissipation modes 17 Distinct eigenvalues 217 Distributed nonviscous damping 8 Double-exponential model 111, 119, 127, 146, 179 Dry friction 6 Duffing oscillator 7 Duncan form 12, 57, 111 Dynamic response 24 nonviscously damped 144 state-space 60 time-domain 40 undamped 39 viscously damped 60 dynamic response frequency domain 39 Dynamic stiffness 176 Dynamic stiffness matrix 142, 182, 293 nonviscously damped systems 362 second-order 191

E

Eigenfrequencies 24 Eigenmodes 24 Eigenvalue dynamic stiffness matrix 142 nonviscously damped MDOF systems 135 SDOF systems 73, 176, 182 state-space 57 undamped 37 viscously damped 56 Eigenvalue problem state-space 57 undamped 37 viscously damped 56, 57, 168, 216 Eigenvector

nonviscously damped 135 undamped 37 viscously damped 56 Eigenvector corresponding to internal variables 115 Eigenvector derivative 213 Elastic modes 24, 114, 136, 137, 176 approximate 140 approximations 140 first-order approximation 141 second-order approximation 141 Energy dissipation functional 9 Equation of motion general nonviscously damped MDOF 133 general nonviscously damped SDOF 174 nonviscously damped MDOF 103, 181 nonviscously damped SDOF 174 nonviscously damped SDOF systems 72 undamped MDOF 36 undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped MDOF 40, 167 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 Equivalence transformation 27 Equivalence transformations 199 Equivalent second-order system 186 Equivalent viscous damping coefficient 176 Equivalent viscous damping factor 177 Equivalent viscous damping matrix 107 Euler-Bernoulli beams 8 Evolution equation 108 Existence of classical normal modes nonviscously damped systems 134 viscously damped systems 13, 41 Exponential damping SDOF 71 single kernel 71 Exponential damping model 363 Exponentially decaying 33 Exponential model 104, 146 MDOF systems 106 SDOF systems 72 Exponential nonviscous damping 7, 8, 16, 17, 20, 24, 71, 76, 104, 107, 174, 184, 185, 195, 306, 309, 321, 326 Extended state-space 24

F

First-order perturbation 66 complex modes 59
First-order perturbation method 58
forcing function in the modal coordinates 38, 187
Fractional derivative damping model 17, 363
Fractional derivative model 175
Fractional derivative operator 9
Frame structure 106
Free-fixed rod 128
Free vibration
 nonviscously damped MDOF systems 135 undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 Frequency-dependent damping 16 Frequency-domain analysis proportionally damped 45 state-space nonviscously damped 117 viscously damped 60 undamped 38 Frequency response function 24, 168 nonviscously damped SDOF systems 85 viscously damped SDOF systems 35 Frequency separation 12 Full rank 24 Full rank matrices 107

G

Galerkin error minimisation nonviscously damped systems 137 viscous damping identification 267 viscously damped systems 169 Gamma function 9 Gaussian model 324 General higher-order systems 186 Generalised coordinates 36, 203 Generalised Maxwell's model 7 Generalised proportional damping 11, 24-26, 42, 186, 188, 196, 246, 253, 301 General quadratic eigenvalue problem 70 Generic nonviscous damping model 103 GHM damping 151, 160, 175 Global damping coefficient matrices 129 Grainboundary viscosity 10 Guass integration approach 17

H

Half-power bandwidth 18 Hardening nonlinearity 7 Harmonic vibration undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 heredity functions 9 Hermitian matrix 200 Highest undamped natural frequency 129 Hilbert transform 6 Hysteresis loop 10 Hysteretic damping 6

I

Identification of damping non-proportional viscous 264 direct method 288 error analysis 275 numerical examples 268, 285 symmetry preserving 282 theory 266

nonviscous 306 coefficient matrix 319 direct method 333 numerical examples 321, 330 relaxation parameter 307 symmetry preserving 326 proportional viscous 245 clamped plate with slots 255 error analysis 248 experiments 250 free-free beam 250 numerical examples 249 point coupled beams 260 theory 246 Impulse response function 24 nonviscous 87 undamped SDOF systems 31 viscously damped SDOF systems 34 Independent equations 38 Initial conditions 24, 36 state-space 60 undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 initial conditions 103 Integration in the time-domain 122 axial vibration of a rod 127 summary 126 three DOF system 127 Integro-differential equation 175 Integro-differential equations 103 Internal dissipation coordinates 16 Internal material friction 6 Internal variables 16, 107, 127 Inverse Laplace transform 30, 31, 34 Inverse modal transformation method 253 Inverse of the dynamic stiffness matrix 231 Iteration 171 Iterative approach viscously damped systems 168

K

Kelvin-Voigt damping 7 Kernel functions 104 Kinetic energy 8 Kramers-Kronig result 6 Kroneker delta 37, 168 Krylov subspace 37

L

l'Hôspital's rule 143, 233 Lagrange's equation 10 Lagrange multiplier 75, 81, 92, 93 Lagrangian 75, 81, 92, 93 Laguerre's polynomial approximation 7 Lancaster's method nonviscous identification 196 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models

numerical examples 339 theory 334 viscous identification numerical examples 297 theory 290 Lancaster method 26 Laplace transform 30, 33, 38 Least-square error minimisation 267, 283, 329, 345 Left-functional form nonviscous 135 viscous 43 Left eigenvector 217 Left eigenvectors 203 Leibniz's rule 108 Lie-group estimation method 20 Light damping assumption nonviscous damping 140 viscous damping 58 Lightly nonproportionally damped 190 Lightly nonviscous systems 164 Linear interpolation scheme 125 Linearly independent eigenvectors 200 Liouville's theorem 324 Locally reacting damping 269, 274, 309, 311, 321, 325 Longitudinally vibrating elastic rods 8 Lower critical damping factor 80 LU factorisation 123 Lumped-parameter 25

М

Mass matrix 36 Mass orthogonality relationship 215 Mass orthonormality 37 Matrix pencil 217 Maximum amplitude 98 Maximum vibration amplitude nonviscously damped systems 87, 93 viscously damped systems 36 Maxwell-Weichert viscoelastic damping 8 Measured natural frequencies clamped plate with slots 257 coupled beams 263 free-free beam 252 Minimum time period 129 Modal analysis 36 undamped 37 viscously damped 60 Modal damping factor 24, 45 Modal damping matrix 41, 168 Modal matrix satte-space 114, 116 undamped 38, 168 Modal superposition 47 Modal superposition method 24 Modal transformation 38, 135 mode-orthogonality 25

Mode normalisation state-space 114 undamped 37 viscously damped 57 Mode orthogonality 24 damped 57 state-space 57 undamped 37 mode orthogonality 11 Mode shapes state-space 57 undamped 37 viscously damped 59 Modified dissipation function 9, 15 Moore-Penrose generalised inverse 116 Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse 20

N

Natural frequencies undamped 37 viscously damped 58 Natural frequency 24 undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 32 Nelson's method 22, 25 Neumann expansion 15, 137, 138 Neumann expansion method 17, 170 Neumann series 21, 25 convergence nonviscously damped systems 139 viscously damped systems 171 Non-classically damped systems 11 Non-dimensional frequency 35, 73 Non-locally reacting damping 269, 311, 312, 321 Non-oscillating mode 84 Nonlinear eigenvalue problem 175, 182, 333 SDOF systems 176 Nonlocal damping 8 Nonlocal Euler-Bernoulli beams 8 Nonlocal Timoshenko beams 8 Nonlocal viscoelastic Kelvin bar 8 Nonoscillatory motion 33 Nonviscosity index 363 based on complex modes 367 based on first moment of the nonviscous damping matrix 363 based on the Laplace transform of the nonviscous damping matrix 364 based on the nonviscous damping matrix 363 based on transfer function residues 365 numerical examples 368 Nonviscosity indices 343 Nonviscous damping factor 24, 72 Nonviscous damping force 71, 119 Nonviscous damping model MDOF systems 103

SDOF systems 71, 119 Nonviscous damping models 362 Nonviscous modes 24, 114, 136, 139 Normalisation dynamic stiffness eigenvectors 142 nonviscous eigenvectors 153 undamped eigenvectors 37 viscously damped eigenvectors 57 Normalisation matrix 154 Normalisation of complex modes 57, 114, 154, 344 Normalised damping functions 104 normalised frequency response 36 Normalised frequency response function 35 nonviscously damped systems 86 viscously damped systems 36 Normalised natural frequency nonviscously damped systems 79

0

Off-diagonal elements 140 Optimal complex modes 344, 345 Optimally normalised complex modes 343-345 Optimal normalisation 344 Theory 345 Orthogonality of the eigenvectors 214 Orthogonality relationship dynamic stiffness eigenvectors 142 nonviscously damped systems 154 state-space eigenvectors exponentially damped systems 114 viscously damped systems 57, 217 undamped eigenvectors 38 Orthogonal matrix 112 orthogonal transformation 41 Oscillating mode 82 Oscillatory motion 33 Overdamped motion 33 Overdamped system nonviscously damped system 73 viscously damped system 33

Р

Parameter vector 213 Parametric sensitivity undamped 213 viscously damped 215, 227 Pasternak viscoelastic foundation 8 Perturbation method 70 Phase angle damped SDOF response 34 undamped SDOF response 31 Physically realistic damping model 104, 307 Point-defect relaxation 10 Poles 141 Polynomial fit method 254 Positive definite 129 Potential energy 8 power spectral density 150 Projection of the dissipative modes 16 Proper orthogonal decomposition 19 Proportional damping 24, 41, 129, 264 generalised 42 Proportional damping assumption 41 Proportional damping functions 43 Proportionally damped 216 Proportionally damped system 343

Q

Q-factor 18 Quantification of damping 343 Quantification of nonviscous damping 361

R

Rank deficient 115 full 114 Rank deficiency 24 Rayleigh's dissipation function 8, 327 Rayleigh's proportional damping Method 254 Rayleigh damping 41 Rayleigh quotient 17, 24, 25, 64, 133 classical 65 discrete systems 64 non-proportional damping 66 nonviscous damping 162 proportional damping 66 viscous damping 66 Real orthogonal matrix 200 Reconstructed transfer functions 324 Reduced approximate methods 17 Reduced second-order systems 185 Regularisation method 20 Relationships in terms of \mathbf{K}^{-1} 158 Relationships in terms of M^{-1} 157 relaxation functions 9 Relaxation parameter 72 Repeated eigenvalues 22 Repeated roots 33 Residue 86 matrix 143 nonviscously damped MDOF systems 142 nonviscously damped SDOF systems 86 Residue theorem 141 Resonance undamped SDOF systems 30 Resonance frequency 24 retardation functions 9 Right-functional form nonviscous 135 viscous 43 Right eigenvector 217 Right eigenvectors 203

198 Dynamic Analysis with Generalised Damping Models

Root loci 223 Rotating Timoshenko beam 8 Rotor dynamics 16

S

Scaling of complex modes 345 Second-order ordinary-differential equations 36 Sensitivity damping factors 218 Sensitivity analysis 213 Sensitivity of eigenvalues nonviscously damped 229 undamped 214 viscously damped 216 Sensitivity of eigenvectors modal approach nonviscously damped 230 undamped 215 viscously damped 218 Nelson's approach nonviscously damped 236 undamped 21 viscously damped 22, 222 undamped 214 Series expansion method 133 Shifted inverse power method 64 Ship dynamics 16 Similarity transformation 199 Similar matrices 200 Simple pole 141 Simultaneous diagonalisation 187 Simultaneous symmetrisability 209 Simultaneous symmetrisation three matrices 206 two matrices 203 Single-exponential model MDOF systems 184 SDOF systems 178 Singularities 141 Smooth differentiable functions 213 Softening nonlinearity 7 Sparsity 123 Spatial hysteresis damping 7 Specific damping capacity 10 Spring-mass oscillators 26 State-space method 24 exponentially damped systems 107 viscously damped systems 56 State vector 107 Stationarity 65 Stationarity of $R_1(\psi)$ nonviscously damped systems 163 viscously damped systems 67 Stationarity properties 64 stationary random vibration 150 Steady-sate response

undamped MDOF systems 38 undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 33 Stiffness matrix 36 Structural joints 9 Structure preserving transformation 14 Sufficient condition convergence 171 Superposition of complex modes 25 Symmetric matrix 200 Symmetric state-space approach 133 Symmetrisability 203 Symmetrisable damped systems 199 Symmetrisation of first kind 199 Symmetrisation of second kind 199, 201

Т

Tausskey's factorisation 204, 209 Tausskey's symmetrisation 199 Taylor series 136, 186, 188 Time-domain analysis direct integration 122 axial vibration of a rod 127 summary 126 three DOF system 127 proportionally damped 45 state-space nonviscously damped 118 viscously damped 62 undamped 40 Time-domain response exponentially damped linear systems 126 Time-stepping procedure 129 Time hysteresis damping 7 Time period undamped SDOF systems 30 viscously damped SDOF systems 33 Timoshenko beam finite element 252 Tip displacement 129 Transfer function matrix nonviscously damped 141 proportionally damped 45 undamped 39, 144 viscously damped 61, 144 Transfer function residues 290, 333 Triangle inequality 164 Turbomachinery 213 Two exponential kernels 179

U

Unconditionally stable 125 Undamped asymmetric systems 203 Underdamped motion 33 Underdamped system nonviscously damped system 73 viscously damped system 33 Uniform time-steps 131 Unitary matrix 200 Unity mass normalisation 37 Upper critical damping factor 80

v

Variable time-stepping algorithms 123 Veering 223 Velocity 32 Velocity squared damping 6 Velocity vector 109 Vibration modes 176 Vibrometer 251 sensitivity scale 251 Viscous air damping 7 Viscous damping factor 24, 32, 72

W

Wavelet transform 19 White noise 150 Wishart random matrix 361