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## Stochastic structural dynamics

- The equation of motion:

$$
\mathbf{M} \ddot{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{C} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)+\mathbf{K} \mathbf{x}(t)=\mathbf{p}(t)
$$

■ Due to the presence of uncertainty M, C and K become random matrices.

- The main objectives are:
- to quantify uncertainties in the system matrices
- to predict the variability in the response vector x


## Current Methods

Two different approaches are currently available

- Low frequency: Stochastic Finite Element Method (SFEM) - considers parametric uncertainties in details
- High frequency: Statistical Energy Analysis (SEA) - do not consider parametric uncertainties in details

Work needs to be done: Hybrid method - some kind of 'combination' of the above two

## Random Matrix Method (RMM)

- The objective: To have an unified method which will work across the frequency range.
- The methodology:
- Derive the matrix variate probability density functions of $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}$ and K
- Propagate the uncertainty (using Monte Carlo simulation or analytical methods) to obtain the response statistics (or pdf)


## Outline of the presentation

In what follows next, I will discuss:

- Introduction to Matrix variate distributions
- Maximum entropy distribution
- Optimal Wishart distribution
- Numerical examples

■ Open problems \& discussions

## Matrix variate distributions

- The probability density function of a random matrix can be defined in a manner similar to that of a random variable.
$\square$ If $\mathbf{A}$ is an $n \times m$ real random matrix, the matrix variate probability density function of $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}_{n, m}$, denoted as $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A})$, is a mapping from the space of $n \times m$ real matrices to the real line, i.e., $p_{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}): \mathbb{R}_{n, m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.


## Gaussian random matrix

The random matrix $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}_{n, p}$ is said to have a matrix variate Gaussian distribution with mean matrix $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}_{n, p}$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma \otimes \Psi$, where $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}$and $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}_{p}^{+}$provided the pdf of $\mathbf{X}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})=(2 \pi)^{-n p / 2}|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-p / 2}|\boldsymbol{\Psi}|^{-n / 2} \\
& \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M}) \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{M})^{T}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

This distribution is usually denoted as $\mathbf{X} \sim N_{n, p}(\mathbf{M}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Psi})$.

## Gaussian orthogonal ensembles

A random matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}_{n, n}$ belongs to the Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) provided its pdf of is given by

$$
p_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{H})=\exp \left(-\theta_{2} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathbf{H}^{2}\right)+\theta_{1} \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{H})+\theta_{0}\right)
$$

where $\theta_{2}$ is real and positive and $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{0}$ are real.

## Wishart matrix

An $n \times n$ random symmetric positive definite matrix $\mathbf{S}$ is said to have a Wishart distribution with parameters $p \geq n$ and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}$，if its pdf is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathbf{S}}(\mathbf{S})=\left\{2^{2^{\frac{1}{2} p p}} \Gamma_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} p\right)|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{\frac{1}{2} p}\right\}^{-1}|\mathbf{S}|^{\frac{1}{2}(p-n-1)} \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{S}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This distribution is usually denoted as $\mathbf{S} \sim W_{n}(p, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ．
Note：If $p=n+1$ ，then the matrix is non－negative definite．

## Matrix variate Gamma distribution

An $n \times n$ random symmetric positive definite matrix $\mathbf{W}$ is said to have a matrix variate gamma distribution with parameters $a$ and $\Psi \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}$, if its pdf is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{W})= & \left\{\Gamma_{n}(a)|\Psi|^{-a}\right\}^{-1} \\
& |\mathbf{W}|^{a-\frac{1}{2}(n+1)} \operatorname{etr}\{-\mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{W}\} ; \quad \Re(a)>(n-1) / 2 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

This distribution is usually denoted as $\mathbf{W} \sim G_{n}(a, \boldsymbol{\Psi})$. Here the multivariate gamma function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}(a)=\pi^{\frac{1}{4} n(n-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \Gamma\left[a-\frac{1}{2}(k-1)\right] ; \text { for } \Re(a)>(n-1) / 2 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Distribution of the system matrices

The distribution of the random system matrices M, C and K should be such that they are

- symmetric
- positive-definite, and
$\square$ the moments (at least first two) of the inverse of the dynamic stiffness matrix $\mathbf{D}(\omega)=-\omega^{2} \mathbf{M}+i \omega \mathbf{C}+\mathbf{K}$ should exist $\forall \omega$


## Distribution of the system matrices

- The exact application of the last constraint requires the derivation of the joint probability density function of $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{C}$ and K , which is quite difficult to obtain.
- We consider a simpler problem where it is required that the inverse moments of each of the system matrices M, C and K must exist.
■ Provided the system is damped, this will guarantee the existence of the moments of the frequency response function matrix.


## Maximum Entropy Distribution

Suppose that the mean values of $M, C$ and $K$ are given by $\overline{\mathbf{M}}, \overline{\mathbf{C}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ respectively. Using the notation G (which stands for any one the system matrices) the matrix variate density function of $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+}$is given by $p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}): \mathbb{R}_{n}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have the following constrains to obtain $p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbf{G}>0} p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) d \mathbf{G}=1 \quad \text { (normalization) } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\int_{\mathbf{G}>0} \mathbf{G} p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) d \mathbf{G}=\overline{\mathbf{G}} \quad$ (the mean matrix)

## Further constraints

- Suppose the inverse moments (say up to order $\nu$ ) of the system matrix exist. This implies that $\mathrm{E}\left[\left\|\mathrm{G}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{\nu}\right]$ should be finite. Here the Frobenius norm of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is given by

$$
\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\mathrm{F}}=\left(\operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

- Taking the logarithm for convenience, the condition for the existence of the inverse moments can be expresses by

$$
\mathrm{E}\left[\ln |\mathbf{G}|^{-\nu}\right]<\infty
$$

## MEnt Distribution - 1

The Lagrangian becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}\left(p_{\mathbf{G}}\right)=-\int_{\mathbf{G}>0} p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) \ln \left\{p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})\right\} d \mathbf{G}+ \\
& \left(\lambda_{0}-1\right)\left(\int_{\mathbf{G}>0} p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) d \mathbf{G}-1\right)-\nu \int_{\mathbf{G}>0} \ln |\mathbf{G}| p_{\mathbf{G}} d \mathbf{G} \\
& \quad+\operatorname{Trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1}\left[\int_{\mathbf{G}>0} \mathbf{G} p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G}) d \mathbf{G}-\overline{\mathbf{G}}\right]\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Note: $\nu$ cannot be obtained uniquely!

## MEnt Distribution - 2

## Using the calculus of variation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}\left(p_{\mathbf{G}}\right)}{\partial p_{\mathbf{G}}}=0 \\
& \text { or }-\ln \left\{p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})\right\}=\lambda_{0}+\operatorname{Trace}\left(\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1} \mathbf{G}\right)-\ln |\mathbf{G}|^{\nu} \\
& \text { or } p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})=\exp \left\{-\lambda_{0}\right\}|\mathbf{G}|^{\nu} \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\boldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1} \mathbf{G}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## MEnt Distribution - 3

Using the matrix variate Laplace transform $\left(\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}_{n, n}, \mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{C}_{n, n}, a>(n+1) / 2\right)$

$$
\int_{\mathbf{T}_{>0}} \operatorname{etr}\{-\mathbf{S T}\}|\mathbf{T}|^{a-(n+1) / 2} d \mathbf{T}=\Gamma_{n}(a)|\mathbf{S}|^{-a}
$$

and substituting $p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})$ into the constraint equations it can be shown that

$$
p_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{G})=r^{-n r}\left\{\Gamma_{n}(r)\right\}^{-1}|\overline{\mathbf{G}}|^{-r}|\mathbf{G}|^{\nu} \operatorname{etr}\left\{-r \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1} \mathbf{G}\right\}
$$

where $r=\nu+(n+1) / 2$.

## MEnt Distribution - 4

## Comparing it with the Wishart distribution we have:

Theorem 1. If $\nu$-th order inverse-moment of a system matrix $\mathbf{G} \equiv\{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\}$ exists and only the mean of $\mathbf{G}$ is available, say $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$, then the maximum-entropy pdf of $\mathbf{G}$ follows the Wishart distribution with parameters $p=(2 \nu+n+1)$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\overline{\mathbf{G}} /(2 \nu+n+1)$, that is
$\mathbf{G} \sim W_{n}(2 \nu+n+1, \overline{\mathbf{G}} /(2 \nu+n+1))$.

## Properties of the Distribution

－Covariance tensor of G ：

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(G_{i j}, G_{k l}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \nu+n+1}\left(\bar{G}_{i k} \bar{G}_{j l}+\bar{G}_{i l} \bar{G}_{j k}\right)
$$

－Normalized standard deviation matrix

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{\mathbf{G}}^{2} \\
&=\frac{\mathrm{E}\left[\|\mathbf{G}-\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}\right]}{\|\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]\|_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 \nu+n+1}\left\{1+\frac{\{\operatorname{Trace}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})\}^{2}}{\operatorname{Trace}\left(\overline{\mathbf{G}}^{2}\right)}\right\} \\
& ■ \delta_{\mathbf{G}}^{2} \leq \frac{1+n}{2 \nu+n+1} \text { and } \nu \uparrow \Rightarrow \delta_{\mathbf{G}}^{2} \downarrow .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Distribution of the inverse - 1

- If $\mathbf{G}$ is $W_{n}(p, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ then $\mathbf{V}=\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ has the inverted Wishart distribution:

$$
P_{\mathbf{V}}(\mathbf{V})=\frac{2^{m-n-1} n / 2|\Psi|^{m-n-1} / 2}{\Gamma_{n}[(m-n-1) / 2]|\mathbf{V}|^{m / 2}} \operatorname{etr}\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{\Psi}\right\}
$$

where $m=n+p+1$ and $\Psi=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$ (recall that $p=2 \nu+n+1$ and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\overline{\mathbf{G}} / p)$

## Distribution of the inverse - 2

- Mean: $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{G}^{-1}\right]=\frac{p \overline{\mathrm{G}}^{-1}}{p-n-1}$
$■ \operatorname{cov}\left(G_{i j}^{-1}, G_{k l}^{-1}\right)=$

$$
\frac{(2 \nu+n+1)\left(\nu^{-1} \bar{G}_{i j}^{-1} \bar{G}_{k l}^{-1}+\bar{G}_{i k}^{-1} \bar{G}_{j l}^{-1}+\bar{G}^{-1} i l \bar{G}_{k j}^{-1}\right)}{2 \nu(2 \nu+1)(2 \nu-2)}
$$

## Distribution of the inverse - 3

$■$ Suppose $n=101 \& \nu=2$. So $p=2 \nu+n+1=106$ and $p-n-1=4$. Therefore, $\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]=\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{G}^{-1}\right]=\frac{106}{4} \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}=26.5 \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}$ !!!!!!!!!!!

- From a practical point of view we do not expect them to be so far apart!

■ One way to reduce the gap is to increase $p$. But this implies the reduction of variance.

## Optimal Wishart Distribution - 1

- My argument: The distribution of $G$ must be such that $\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]$ and $\mathrm{E}\left[\mathrm{G}^{-1}\right]$ should be closest to $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{G}}^{-1}$ respectively.
■ Suppose $\mathbf{G} \sim W_{n}(n+1+\theta, \overline{\mathbf{G}} / \alpha)$. We need to find $\alpha$ such that the above condition is satisfied.
- Therefore, define (and subsequently minimize) 'normalized errors':

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varepsilon_{1}=\|\overline{\mathbf{G}}-\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]\|_{\mathrm{F}} /\|\overline{\mathbf{G}}\|_{\mathrm{F}} \\
& \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}=\left\|\overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}-\mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{G}^{-1}\right]\right\|_{\mathrm{F}} /\left\|\overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}\right\|_{\mathrm{F}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Optimal Wishart Distribution - 2

Because $\mathbf{G} \sim W_{n}(n+1+\theta, \overline{\mathbf{G}} / \alpha)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}[\mathbf{G}]=\frac{n+1+\theta}{\alpha} \overline{\mathbf{G}} \\
\text { and } & \mathrm{E}\left[\mathbf{G}^{-1}\right]=\frac{\alpha}{\theta} \overline{\mathbf{G}}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the objective function to be minimized as
$\chi^{2}=\varepsilon_{1}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon_{2}{ }^{2}=\left(1-\frac{n+1+\theta}{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\alpha}{\theta}\right)^{2}$

## Optimal Wishart Distribution - 3

The optimal value of $\alpha$ can be obtained as by setting $\frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial \alpha}=0$ or
$\alpha^{4}-\alpha^{3} \theta-\theta^{4}+(-2 n+\alpha-2) \theta^{3}+$
$\left((n+1) \alpha-n^{2}-2 n-1\right) \theta^{2}=0$.
The only feasible value of $\alpha$ is

$$
\alpha=\sqrt{\theta(n+1+\theta)}
$$

## Optimal Wishart Distribution - 4

From this discussion we have the following:
Theorem 2. If $\nu$-th order inverse-moment of a system matrix $\mathbf{G} \equiv\{\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{K}\}$ exists and only the mean of $\mathbf{G}$ is available, say $\overline{\mathbf{G}}$, then the unbiased distribution of $\mathbf{G}$ follows the Wishart distribution with parameters $p=(2 \nu+n+1)$ and
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\overline{\mathbf{G}} / \sqrt{2 \nu(2 \nu+n+1)}$, that is
$\mathbf{G} \sim W_{n}(2 \nu+n+1, \overline{\mathbf{G}} / \sqrt{2 \nu(2 \nu+n+1)})$.

## Simulation Algorithm

$■$ Obtain $\theta=\frac{1}{\delta_{\mathbf{G}}^{2}}\left\{1+\frac{\{\operatorname{Trace}(\overline{\mathbf{G}})\}^{2}}{\operatorname{Trace}\left(\overline{\mathbf{G}}^{2}\right)}\right\}-(n+1)$
■ If $\theta<4$, then select $\theta=4$.

- Calculate $\alpha=\sqrt{\theta(n+1+\theta)}$

■ Generate samples of $\mathbf{G} \sim W_{n}(n+1+\theta, \overline{\mathbf{G}} / \alpha)$
(MATLAB ${ }^{\circledR}$ command wishrnd can be used to generate the samples)

- Repeat the above steps for all system matrices and solve for every samples


## Example: A cantilever Plate



Cantilever plate with a slot: $\mu=0.3, \rho=8000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}, t=5 \mathrm{~mm}$,

## Plate Mode 4

Mode 4, freq. $=9.2119 \mathrm{~Hz}$


## Plate Mode 5

## Mode 5, freq. $=11.6696 \mathrm{~Hz}$



Fifth Mode shape

## Deterministic FRF



FRF of the deterministic plate

## Random FRF - 1



Direct finite-element MCS of the amplitude of the cross-FRF of the plate with randomly placed masses; 30 masses, each weighting $0.5 \%$ of the total mass of the plate are simulated.

## Random FRF - 2



Direct finite-element MCS of the amplitude of the driving-point FRF of the plate with randomly placed masses; 30 masses, each weighting $0.5 \%$ of the total mass of the plate are simulated.

## Wishart FRF - 1



MCS of the amplitude of the cross-FRF of the plate using optimal Wishart mass matrix,

$$
n=429, \delta_{M}=2.0449
$$

## Wishart FRF - 2



MCS of the amplitude of the driving-point-FRF of the plate using optimal Wishart mass

$$
\text { matrix, } n=429, \delta_{M}=2.0449
$$

## Comparison of Mean-1



Comparison of the mean values of the amplitude of the cross-FRF.

## Comparison of Mean-2



Comparison of the mean values of the amplitude of the driving-point-FRF.

## Comparison of Variation - 1



Comparison of the $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ probability points of the amplitude of the cross-FRF.

## Comparison of Variation-2



Comparison of the $5 \%$ and $95 \%$ probability points of the amplitude of the driving-point-FRF.

## Summary \& conclusions

- Wishart matrices may be used as the model for the system matrices in structural dynamics.
- The parameters of the distribution were obtained in closed-form by solving an optimisation problem.
- Only the mean matrix and and normalized standard deviation is required to model the system.
■ Numerical results show that uncertainty in the response is not very sensitive to the details of the correlation structure of the system matrices.


## Next steps

- Eigenvalue and eigenvector statistics

■ Steady-state and transient dynamic response statistics

- Distribution of the dynamic stiffness matrix (complex Wishart matrix?) and its inverse (FRF matrix)
- Cumulative distribution function of the response (reliability problem)


## Open issues \& discussions

$■ \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ is just one 'observation' - not an ensemble mean.

- Are we taking account of model uncertainties ('unknown unknowns')?
■ How to incorporate a given covariance tensor of G (e.g., obtained using the Stochastic Finite element Method)?
■ What is the consequence of the zeros in G are not being preserved?


## Structure of the Matrices




Nonzero elements of the system matrices

