Reliability Analysis in High Dimensions

S Adhikari



Department of Aerospace Engineering, University of Bristol, Bristol, U.K. Email: S.Adhikari@bristol.ac.uk

URL: http://www.aer.bris.ac.uk/contact/academic/adhikari/home.html



PMC 2004

Outline of the presentation

- Introduction to structural reliability analysis
- Limitation of current methods in high dimension
- Asymptotic distribution of quadratic forms
- Strict asymptotic formulation
- Weak asymptotic formulation
- Numerical result
- Open problems & discussions



Reliability analysis: basics

Probability of failure

$$P_f = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{g(\mathbf{X}) \le 0} e^{-\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}/2} d\mathbf{x}$$

 $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$: Gaussian parameter vector $g(\mathbf{x})$: failure surface Maximum contribution comes from the neighborhood where $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}/2$ is minimum subject to $g(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$. The design point \mathbf{x}^* :

$$\mathbf{x}^* : \min\{(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})/2\}$$
 subject to $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$.



Graphical explanation





FORM/SORM approximations

$$P_f \approx \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_n \ge \beta + \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}\right] = \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_n \ge \beta + U\right]$$
(1)

where

$$U: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \mapsto \mathbb{R} = \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y},$$

is a quadratic form in Gaussian random variable. The eigenvalues of A, say a_j , can be related to the principal curvatures of the surface κ_j as $a_j = \kappa_j/2$. Considering A = O in Eq. (1), we have the FORM:

$$P_f \approx \Phi(-\beta)$$



SORM approximations

Breitung's asymptotic formula (1984):

$$P_f o \Phi(-eta) \| \mathbf{I}_{n-1} + 2eta \mathbf{A} \|^{-1/2}$$
 when $eta o \infty$

Hohenbichler and Rackwitz's improved formula (1988):

$$P_f \approx \Phi(-\beta) \left\| \mathbf{I}_{n-1} + 2 \frac{\varphi(\beta)}{\Phi(-\beta)} \mathbf{A} \right\|^{-1/2}$$



PMC 2004

The curse of dimensionality

If n, i.e. the dimension is large, the computation time to obtain P_f using any tools will be high (no magic is possible!)



The curse of dimensionality

- If n, i.e. the dimension is large, the computation time to obtain P_f using any tools will be high (no magic is possible!)
- Question 1: What is a 'high dimension'?



The curse of dimensionality

- If n, i.e. the dimension is large, the computation time to obtain P_f using any tools will be high (no magic is possible!)
- Question 1: What is a 'high dimension'?
- Question 2: Suppose we have followed the 'normal route' and did all the calculations (i.e., x*, β and A). Can we still trust the results from classical FORM/SORM in high dimension?



Numerical example

Consider a problem for which the failure surface is exactly parabolic: $g = -y_n + \beta + y^T A y$

- We choose n and the value of Trace(A)
- When Trace (A) = 0 the failure surface is effectively linear. Therefore, the more the value of Trace (A), the more non-linear the failure surface becomes.
- It is assumed that the eigenvalues of A are uniform random numbers.



P_f for small n





P_f for large n





Asymptotic distribution of quadratic forms

Moment generating function:

$$M_U(s) = \|\mathbf{I}_{n-1} - 2s\mathbf{A}\|^{-1/2} = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (1 - 2sa_k)^{-1/2}$$

Now construct a sequence of new random variables $q = U/\sqrt{n}$. The moment generating function of q:

$$M_q(s) = M_U(s/\sqrt{n}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - 2sa_k/\sqrt{n}\right)^{-1/2}$$



Asymptotic distribution

Truncating the Taylor series expansion:

$$\ln (M_q(s)) \approx \operatorname{Trace} (\mathbf{A}) s / \sqrt{n} + (2 \operatorname{Trace} (\mathbf{A}^2)) s^2 / 2n$$

We assume n is large such that the following conditions hold

$$\frac{2}{n} \operatorname{Trace} \left(\mathbf{A}^2 \right) < \infty$$

and
$$\frac{2^r}{n^{r/2} r} \operatorname{Trace} \left(\mathbf{A}^r \right) \to 0, \forall r \ge 3$$



Asymptotic distribution

Therefore, the moment generating function of $U = q\sqrt{n}$ can be approximated by:

$$M_U(s) \approx e^{\operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A})s + (2\operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2))s^2/2}$$

From the uniqueness of the Laplace Transform pair it follows that U asymptotically approaches a Gaussian random variable with mean Trace(A) and variance $2Trace(A^2)$, that is

$$U \simeq \mathbb{N}_1 \left(\operatorname{Trace} \left(\mathbf{A} \right), 2 \operatorname{Trace} \left(\mathbf{A}^2 \right) \right) \quad \text{when} \quad n \to \infty$$



Minimum number of random variables

The error in neglecting higher order terms:

$$\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{2s}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^r$$
 Trace (\mathbf{A}^r) , for $r \ge 3$.

Using $s = \beta$ and assuming there exist a small real number ϵ (the error) we have

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{(2\beta)^r}{n^{r/2}} \operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^r\right) < \epsilon \text{ or } n > \frac{4\beta^2}{\sqrt[r]{r^2\epsilon^2}} \left(\sqrt[r]{\operatorname{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^r\right)}\right)^2$$



Strict asymptotic formulation

We rewrite (1):

$$P_f \approx \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_n \ge \beta + U\right] = \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_n - U \ge \beta\right]$$

Since *U* is asymptotically Gaussian, the variable $z = y_n - U$ is also Gaussian with mean $(-\operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}))$ and variance $(1 + 2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2))$. Thus,

$$P_{f_{\text{Strict}}} \to \Phi(-\beta_1), \ \beta_1 = \frac{\beta + \text{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2)}}, n \to \infty$$



Graphical explanation

Failure surface:
$$y_n - U \ge \beta$$
. Using the standard-
izing transformation $Y = (U - m)/\sigma$, modified
failure surface $\frac{y_n}{\beta + m} + \frac{Y}{-\frac{\beta + m}{\sigma}} \ge 1$.
From $\triangle AOB$, $\sin \theta = \frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}}$.
From $\triangle AOB$, $\sin \theta = \frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 \theta}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}}$.
From $\triangle AOB$, $\sin \theta = \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}} = \frac{\beta + \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2)}}$.
From $\triangle AOB$, $\sin \theta = \frac{\beta + m}{\sqrt{1 + \sigma^2}} = \frac{\beta + \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2)}}$.
If *n* is small, *m*, *σ* will be small. When *m*, *σ* $\rightarrow 0$,
AB rotates clockwise and eventually becomes
parallel to the Y-axis with a shift of $+\beta$. In this sit-
uation $y^* \to x^*$ in the y_n -axis and $\beta_1 \to \beta$ as ex-
pected. This explains why classical F/SORM ap-
proximations based on the original design point
 x^* do not work well when a large number of ran-

PMC 2004

University of BRISTOL

dom variables are considered.

$$P_f \approx \operatorname{Prob}\left[y_n \ge \beta + U\right]$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \int_{\beta+u}^{\infty} \varphi(y_n) dy_n \right\} p_U(u) du = \operatorname{E}\left[\Phi(-\beta - U)\right]$$

Noticing that $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ as A is positive definite we rewrite

$$P_f \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} e^{\ln[\Phi(-\beta-u)] + \ln[p_U(u)]} \, du$$



PMC 2004

For the maxima of the integrand (say at point u^*)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left\{ \ln \left[\Phi(-\beta - u) \right] + \ln \left[p_U(u) \right] \right\} = 0$$

Recalling that

$$p_U(u) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \sigma^{-1} e^{-(u-m)^2/(2\sigma^2)}$$

we have

$$\frac{\varphi(\beta+u)}{\Phi(-(\beta+u))} = \frac{m-u}{\sigma^2}$$



PMC 2004

Because this relationship holds at the optimal point u^* , define a constant η as

$$\eta = \frac{\varphi(\beta + u^*)}{\Phi(-(\beta + u^*))} = \frac{m - u^*}{\sigma^2}$$

Taking a first-order Taylor series expansion of $\ln \left[\Phi(-\beta-u) \right]$ about $u=u^*$:

$$\Phi(-\beta-u) \approx e^{\ln[\Phi(-(\beta+u^*))] - \frac{\varphi(\beta+u^*)}{\Phi(-(\beta+u^*))}(u-u^*)}$$



Using η we have

$$\Phi(-\beta - u) \approx \Phi(-\beta_2)e^{\eta u^*}e^{-\eta u}$$

where the modified reliability index

$$\beta_2 = \beta + u^*$$

Taking the expectation of (1) and using the expression of the moment generating function:

$$P_f \approx \operatorname{E}\left[\Phi(-\beta - U)\right] = \Phi(-\beta_2)e^{\eta u^*} \|\mathbf{I}_{n-1} + 2\eta \mathbf{A}\|^{-1/2}$$



Considering the asymptotic expansion of the ratio

$$\eta = \frac{\varphi(\beta + u^*)}{\Phi(-(\beta + u^*))} \approx (\beta + u^*) = \beta_2 \approx \frac{m - u^*}{\sigma^2}$$

We obtain

$$u^* \approx \frac{m - \beta \sigma^2}{1 + \sigma^2}, \ \beta_2 = \beta + u^* \approx \frac{\beta + m}{1 + \sigma^2} = \frac{\beta + \text{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{1 + 2 \text{ Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2)}$$

Since $\eta \approx \beta_2$, u^* can be expressed in terms of β_2 as

$$u^* \approx -(\beta_2 \sigma^2 - m) = -(2\beta_2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2) - \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}))$$



Using the expression of η and u^* , the failure probability using weak asymptotic formulation:

$$P_{f_{\text{Weak}}} \rightarrow \frac{\Phi\left(-\beta_{2}\right) e^{-\left(2\beta_{2}^{2} \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right) - \beta_{2} \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}}{\sqrt{\|\mathbf{I}_{n-1} + 2\beta_{2}\mathbf{A}\|}},$$

where $\beta_{2} = \frac{\beta + \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)}{1 + 2 \text{ Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right)}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$

For the small *n* case, Trace (A), Trace $(A^2) \rightarrow 0$ and it can be seen that $P_{f_{\text{Weak}}}$ approaches to Breitung's formula.



P_f from asymptotic analysis



Failure probability for n - 1 = 35, Trace (A) = 1 [$n_{min} = 176$]



P_f from asymptotic analysis





Summary & conclusions

Geometric analysis shows that the classical design point should be modified in high dimension. This also explains why classical FORM/SORM work poorly in high dimension.



Summary & conclusions

Geometric analysis shows that the classical design point should be modified in high dimension. This also explains why classical FORM/SORM work poorly in high dimension.

$$P_{f_{\text{Strict}}} \to \Phi(-\beta_1), \ \beta_1 = \frac{\beta + \text{Trace}(\mathbf{A})}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}^2)}}, n \to \infty$$

The strict asymptotic formula can viewed as

the 'correction' needed to the existing **FORM** formula in high dimension.



Summary & conclusions

$$P_{f_{\text{Weak}}} \rightarrow \frac{\Phi\left(-\beta_{2}\right) e^{-\left(2\beta_{2}^{2} \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right) - \beta_{2} \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)\right)}}{\sqrt{\|\mathbf{I}_{n-1} + 2\beta_{2}\mathbf{A}\|}},$$

where $\beta_{2} = \frac{\beta + \text{Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}\right)}{1 + 2 \text{ Trace}\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right)}$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$

The weak asymptotic formula can viewed as the

correction needed to the existing **SORM** formula in high dimension.



Why the design points for the two asymptotic formulations are different?



- Why the design points for the two asymptotic formulations are different?
- Any geometric interpretation for the weak formulation?



- Why the design points for the two asymptotic formulations are different?
- Any geometric interpretation for the weak formulation?
- Why these asymptotic results degrade as β becomes high?



- Why the design points for the two asymptotic formulations are different?
- Any geometric interpretation for the weak formulation?
- Why these asymptotic results degrade as β becomes high?
- Any expression of n_{min} for the weak formulation?



Open Questions



 $\beta \downarrow, \, n \downarrow \checkmark \qquad \qquad \beta \uparrow, \, n \downarrow \checkmark (\text{Asymptotic:} \, \beta \to \infty)$

 $\beta \downarrow, n \uparrow \checkmark (\text{Asymptotic: } n \to \infty) \quad \beta \uparrow, n \uparrow \times (\text{Joint asymptotic: } n, \beta \to \infty ?)$



PMC 2004

References

- Breitung, K. 1984. Asymptotic approximations for multinormal integrals. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE, **110**(3), 357–367.
- Hohenbichler, M., and Rackwitz, R. 1988. Improvement of second-order reliability estimates by importance sampling. Journal of Engineering Mechanics, ASCE, 14(12), 2195-2199.